

Mapping of Chemical Substances in Consumer Products

nr. 49 2004

Emission of chemical substances from products made of exotic wood

Thomas Witterseh

Danish Technological Institute

.....
MILJØMINISTERIET

*MINISTRY OF THE ENVIRONMENT
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY*

.....
MILJØMINISTERIET

*MINISTRY OF THE ENVIRONMENT
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY*

List of Contents

LIST OF CONTENTS	3
PREFACE	5
SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	7
1 INTRODUCTION	10
1.1 WOOD SPECIES	10
1.2 COMPOSITION OF WOOD	10
1.3 THE USE AND IMPORT OF WOOD	10
1.4 EXOTIC WOOD	11
1.5 SUSTAINABLE FOREST MANAGEMENT	13
1.6 SURFACE TREATMENT	14
2 SURVEY OF PRODUCTS MADE OF EXOTIC WOOD ON THE DANISH MARKET	16
3 MATERIALS	20
3.1 ALLERGIC SYMPTOMS CAUSED BY THE CONTENT AND THE EMISSION OF CHEMICAL COMPOUNDS FROM EXOTIC WOOD SPECIES	20
3.2 SELECTION OF PRODUCTS	23
3.3 DETERMINATION OF WOOD SPECIES	24
3.3.1 <i>Sheesham</i>	24
3.3.2 <i>Belalu</i>	25
4 METHOD	26
4.1 INITIAL QUALITATIVE SCREENING	26
4.2 QUANTITATIVE DETERMINATION OF THE EMISSION IN CLIMATE CHAMBER	26
4.2.1 <i>Preparation of Test Specimens</i>	26
4.2.2 <i>Test Conditions</i>	26
4.2.3 <i>Measuring Method</i>	27
4.2.4 <i>Chemical Analysis</i>	27
4.3 DETERMINATION OF NATURAL RUBBER LATEX ALLERGEN	27
4.4 DETERMINATION OF CONTENT OF FUNGICIDE	28
4.4.1 <i>Organic Compounds</i>	29
4.4.2 <i>Elements</i>	29
4.5 DETERMINATION OF THE EMISSION OF COMPOUNDS BY MIGRATION INTO ARTIFICIAL SALIVA	29
4.6 EVALUATION OF THE ALLERGIC POTENTIAL OF THE WOOD SPECIES	30
4.7 PRINCIPLES FOR EVALUATION OF CHEMICAL COMPOUNDS	30
4.7.1 <i>Lowest Concentration of Interest to the Indoor Climate</i>	30
4.7.2 <i>Determination of LCI- and S-Values</i>	32
4.7.3 <i>Indoor-Relevant Time-Values</i>	33
5 RESULTS	36
5.1 QUALITATIVE SCREENING	36
5.2 QUANTITATIVE CLIMATE CHAMBER MEASUREMENT	37
5.3 DETERMINATION OF CONTENT OF NATURAL RUBBER LATEX ALLERGENS IN RUBBER TREE	38

5.4	DETERMINATION OF CONTENT OF FUNGICIDE IN RUBBER TREE	38
5.5	ANALYSIS FOR MIGRATION INTO ARTIFICIAL SALIVA	39
5.6	RESULTS FROM LITERATURE SURVEY	39
5.6.1	<i>Allergic Respiratory Symptoms and Allergic General Reactions</i>	40
5.6.2	<i>Allergic Skin Symptoms</i>	41
6	ASSESSMENT OF EMISSIONS	44
6.1	RESULTS	44
6.1.1	<i>Compounds Emitted to the Air</i>	44
6.2	ASSESSMENT OF EMISSIONS OF INDIVIDUAL COMPOUNDS	46
6.3	ASSESSMENT OF THE TOTAL EMISSIONS	46
6.3.1	<i>Compounds emitted by Migration of Artificial Saliva</i>	47
6.4	HEALTH ASSESSMENT OF ELEMENTS	49
6.4.1	<i>Assessment of Risk of Allergic Reactions</i>	49
7	CONCLUDING DISCUSSION	52
7.1	COMPOUNDS FOUND IN THE EMISSION	52
7.2	COMPOUNDS FOUND BY MIGRATION INTO ARTIFICIAL SALIVA	53
7.3	RISK OF ALLERGIC REACTIONS	53
7.4	FUTURE EXAMINATIONS	54
8	REFERENCES	56

Appendix A Tropical Wood Species

Appendix B Description of wood species

Appendix C Results of Headspace-analyses

Appendix D Results of quantitative analyses

Appendix E List of all compounds found in emission

Appendix F List of all compounds found at migration into artificial saliva

Appendix G Evaluation of individual compounds

Appendix H Explanation to classification, danger symbols and R-phrases

Preface

This project "Emission of chemical compounds from products made of exotic wood" was carried through for the Danish Environmental Protection Agency under the programme "Survey of chemical compounds in consumer products" in the period May-November 2003.

The target group for this report is authorities, manufacturers, importers and consumers of products made of exotic wood that will obtain information about environmental and health aspects in relation to products made of exotic wood.

The purpose of the project is to analyse the emission of chemical compounds and to determine whether there are health risks by consumer products made of exotic wood, when used at home. The first priority is given to the emission of volatile organic compounds to the air and less to ingredients and compounds, which can be emitted from the products by migration into artificial saliva. The emitted compounds have been evaluated in relation to LCI-values and indoor-relevant time-values, and it has been evaluated whether the wood species examined could cause allergic reactions.

The project has been divided into 3 phases:

1. Survey of the products made of exotic wood species on the Danish market
2. Measurement of emissions of volatile organic compounds (VOCs)
3. Evaluation of emissions and constituents

The following project group carried out the project:

Lis Winther Funch	Laboratory technician, Danish Technological Institute Timber
Mogens Kragh Hansen	MSc pharmacy, Ph.D., Danish Painters Occupational Health Service
Lilli Kirkeskov Jensen	Head doctor, Ph.D. Department of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, Skive Hospital
Bodil B. Knudsen	Medical assistant, specialist in dermatology, Department of Dermatology, Gentofte University Hospital
Eva Kristiansen	Toxicologist, MSc medicine vet. Ph.D., Department of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, Viborg Hospital
Lars Mølhave	Ph.D., MSc., associate professor, head of the Air Pollution Unit at the Department of Environmental and Occupational Medicine (IMA), Aarhus University
Thomas Witterseh	MSc., Ph.D., Danish Technological Institute Timber (project responsible)

The Danish Technological Institute Timber and Chemical Department carried out the experimental part (phase 2) of the project.

Summary and Conclusions

The purpose of this project is to analyse the emission of chemical compounds and to determine whether there are health risks connected with consumer products made of exotic wood when used at home.

The main stress has been laid on the emission of volatile chemical compounds to the indoor air and to a less extent to the ingredients and compounds emitted from the products by migration into artificial saliva. The emitted compounds have been evaluated in relation to LCI-values and indoor-relevant time-values. It was also evaluated, whether the examined wood species can cause allergic reactions.

It should be remarked that the results presented in the report solely concerns the products examined, the results should, therefore, be considered as being based on random sampling. The results are thus not representative of the respective wood species or of exotic wood in its entirety.

The experimental part includes an examination of 10 selected products made of exotic wood. The products partly represent the product groups, which have been found on the market, and partly, wood species, which are widely used for these product groups. The 10 products have been listed in Table 1.

TABLE 1 SELECTED PRODUCTS

SPECIMEN NO.	WOOD SPECIES	BOTANICAL NAME	PRODUCT	SURFACE TREATMENT, IF ANY
1	Rubber tree	<i>Hevea brasiliensis</i>	Dining table	Lacquer**
2	Ramin	<i>Gonystylus bankanus</i>	Venetian blind	Stain
3	Sheesham	<i>Dalbergia latifolia</i>	Bed table	Wax
4	Teak	<i>Tectona grandis</i>	Tray	
5	Jatoba	<i>Hymenaea courbaril</i>	Floor	Oil
6	Merbau	<i>Intsia bijuga</i>	Floor	Oil
7	Khaya mahomangane-sey	<i>Khaya ivorensis</i>	Kitchen table	Oil *
8	Iroko	<i>Chlorophora excelsa</i>	Kitchen table	Oil *
9	Cherry wood, American	<i>Prunus serotina</i>	Kitchen table	Oil *
10	Belalu	<i>Albiz(z)ia falcata</i>	Figure	Ink

* THE PRODUCTS, WHICH NORMALLY ARE OIL TREATED, BUT WHICH IN THIS EXAMINATION FORM PART AS UNTREATED

** THE DISTRIBUTOR INFORMS THAT THIS PRODUCT IS WAX TREATED. IT HAS BEEN EVALUATED TO BE LACQUERED

The products have what regards the majority been examined with the surface treatment, which normally occur with the consumer. What regards oil treated products, products have been bought – when possible – without oil treatment. This does not represent a typical situation of use, but it does, however, give a better picture of which chemical compounds are emitted from the wood itself. Notoriously, oil treatment emits a number of compounds, which might mask the emission from the wood itself.

On basis of the results from the initial qualitative screening of the emission from the 10 products, 5 products were chosen for a further quantitative analysis of the

emission in climate chamber. The selection of the 5 products was based on the following criteria:

- Products, which are used on the largest surfaces
- Products, which emit the most
- Products, which are mostly used
- The type of the emission from the product

The 5 products are listed in Table 2.

TABLE 2 PRODUCTS SELECTED FOR QUANTITATIVE ANALYSIS OF EMISSIONS

SPECIMEN NO.	WOOD SPECIES	BOTANICAL NAME	PRODUCT	SURFACE TREATMENT
1	Rubber tree	<i>Hevea brasiliensis</i>	Dining table	Lacquer
2	Ramin	<i>Gonystylus bankanus</i>	Blind	Stain
3	Sheesham	<i>Dalbergia latifolia</i>	Bed table	Wax
6	Merbau	<i>Intsia bijuga</i>	Floor	Oil
8	Iroko	<i>Chlorophora excelsa</i>	Kitchen table	

Only minor amounts of chemical compounds were found in the examined products of exotic wood (with and without surface treatment) by the climate chamber measurements.

Totally 25 individual compounds were demonstrated by the climate chamber measurements, out of which more of the individual compounds could be retrieved in a lot of the products. A part of these compounds origins predominantly from the surface treatments of the products in question.

The assessment of possible comfort and health effects from emission of compounds from products made of exotic wood includes exposure via inhalation, contact and migration into artificial saliva. The assessment is based on toxicological principles and literature data. At assessment a typical scenario from a home forms the basis.

The impact of exotic wood products on the indoor climate was partly assessed by an S-value, which is a sum of concentrations in the indoor climate divided by the “lowest concentration of interest for the indoor climate” (LCI), and partly by an indoor-relevant time-value based on odour and irritation thresholds. The lower the S-value the more acceptable the emission from the exotic wood and/or its surface treatment. For S-values below 1 no harmful health effects are expected.

The measurements stated draw a picture indicating that emission of individual compounds only to a very limited extent takes place from the examined exotic wood species or their surface treatments. None of the products will at the stated emission concentrations cause health problems.

Rubber tree is the only product among the examined ones, which has an S-value that in the measuring period exceeds 1. The individual compounds important in relation to the calculated S-value are formaldehyde and acrolein. It is deemed less likely that they are constituents of the rubber tree itself. There is on the contrary every probability that they origin from the surface treatment.

Products made of rubber tree and belalu were subjected to an analysis for the emission of chemical compounds by migration into artificial saliva. The analyses showed that none of the compounds emitted by migration form part in concentrations, which exceed the calculated TDI-values (Tolerable Daily Intake). However, compounds occur in both the examined products, which have been entered on the

list of The Danish Working Environment Service of allergy or hypersensitivity causing compounds in the working environment – including vanillin and anhydride and phtalacidanhydride. The emitted compounds origin most probably from the surface treatment. The problem is of course of greatest importance in case the products are used for storage of food (salad bowls, chopping boards, kitchen table tops etc.), or if they are used for purposes, in which they will get into close contact with the skin for a long time e.g. musical instruments and trinkets.

Further analyses were carried out to demonstrate content of allergen proteins in the rubber tree. No content of allergen proteins could be demonstrated.

As rubber tree degrades very fast, this wood species is always treated with fungicide. Samples of the rubber tree were, therefore, subjected to analyses to demonstrate residues of relevant active compounds (including numerous elements). The analyses demonstrated content of boron, which indicates that the rubber tree has been treated with a boron fungicide. Taking the content of boron into consideration it would be inadvisable to use *Hevea brasiliensis* without surface treatment, in case the wood has been treated with a boron fungicide.

On basis of the examined consumer products of exotic wood forming part of the project, the following can be concluded:

LCI-values laid down for emitted compounds are predominantly based on irritation and only in individual cases on the health effects of the compounds.

The products (with and without surface treatment) of the wood species Ramin, Sheesham, Merbau and Iroko emit only to a limited extent compounds to the indoor air. The indoor-relevant time-value is for all these products less than 3 days. S-values are less or equal to 0.2.

The product made of rubber tree (with surface treatment) was the examined product, which has the most extensive emission. The indoor-relevant time-value for the product is higher than 28 days and the S-value is 0.6 (after 28 days).

The surface treatment of the examined product is not suitable for products in contact with food due to the emission of chemical compounds by migration into artificial saliva.

The content of boron in the wood of the product examined is so high that it cannot be recommended that the product be used without surface treatment.

Among the 5 examined wood species Ramin should be considered to be more irritating to the skin and airways than the other 4 wood species. Allergic reactions in the airways can be seen by inhalation of dust from Iroko and Ramin. Allergic contact dermatitis can, especially, be seen from Iroko and Sheesham and, presumably, to a lesser extent from Ramin. Some of the contact allergens have been identified, i.e. chlorophorin, R- and S-4 methoxydalbergione and 1,4 quinone latinone.

With the proviso that we have only examined one product of rubber tree, the products made of this wood species do not seem to present risks for persons, who are allergic to natural rubber latex.

1 Introduction

1.1 WOOD SPECIES

Approximately 12,000 truly large wood species are identified; out of which approx. 1,000 are used industrially. Europe is very poor in species, and in Denmark there are only approx. 20-30 wild species, which are used industrially. For comparison there are in Brazil and Java approx. 3,000 species.

1.2 COMPOSITION OF WOOD

Wood consists mainly of carbon (C), oxygen (O), hydrogen (H) and nitrogen (N) and inorganic sub-compounds. The elements form cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin, which are the main ingredients of the cell walls.

Cellulose, which consists of polymers of glucose anhydrides, constitutes 40-50% of the cell wall. The cellulose molecule forms chains, in which the number of internodes of a chain (the polymerisation degree) in average is 10,000.

Hemicellulose consists primarily of chains of pentosanes and hexosanes. Hemicellulose constitutes 25-30% of the cell wall and contributes to stabilise the cellulose structure.

Lignin, which is amorphous constitutes 20-35% of the wood, and contributes like hemicellulose to strengthen the cellulose structure.

Together with the main components of the wood wood contains numerous other organic and inorganic compounds. Content and composition of these compounds vary from species to species; like the variation within same species and within the individual tree can be extensive. The compounds contribute to the resistance to biological degradation.

Among the compounds there are terpenes and resins, which primarily are composed of abetin, sylvinit, sapin and pimaric acid. Often complex nitrogen containing compounds named alkaloids are present. These can be very toxic. The odour of the wood origins a.o. from the ethereal oils, which are emitted from the wood during drying.

1.3 THE USE AND IMPORT OF WOOD

There are approx. 2,000 wood industrial companies in Denmark (Straarup, 2002). The furniture industry constitutes approx. 1,000. The primary wood industry, which is the part of the industry that buys and processes raw wood, is sawmills, parquet factories, packing factories etc. Furniture factories, workmen, manufacturers of construction parts, glulam manufacturers and manufacturers of panels belong to the secondary industry.

The wood applied origins partly from the Danish forestry and partly from import. The annual consumption of wood and wood products in Denmark is 3-4 times larger than the annual felling in Denmark. Thus some wood and wood products should be imported to cover the consumption.

Statistics Denmark makes estimates of the import to Denmark of all kinds of timber and all types of wood. The category “wood and products made of wood” all consist of wood products, which are categorised under chapter 44 in Statistics Denmark’s Foreign Trade distributed on products and tasks (Statistics Denmark, 2002). The category covers timber, doors, windows, boxes, and kitchen fittings, but not furniture, which is summed up under “Miscellaneous goods”, chapter 94 “furniture”.

The category “timber” is a part amount of the imported woods, which is not processed to anything but round timber, sawn timber, veneer or plywood. In 2001 timber constituted approx. 2/3 of Denmark’s total import of wood, when evaluated according to value. It should be noted that tropical timber the same year only constituted approx. 3% of the imported volume, and that the import of all types of tropical wood constituted approx. 6% of the total import of all types of wood.

What the designation “tropical wood” covers, will be elaborated in the following paragraph.

As for the timber it is to a great extent transported to Denmark by ships in regular traffic. A lot of these ships sail depending on type and container content, not longer north than Holland or Germany, where the cargo will be re-loaded. At the re-loading the content of the cargo will be recorded by the customs authorities of the country in question. This means that when a ship unloads tropical wood in a European port to go on to Denmark, the cargo will be recorded as originating from the European country in question and not the country of origin. This is the primary reason why large parts of the Danish import of tropical wood seem to origin from countries such as Germany and Holland. This practice makes it at the same time hard to trace the country of origin of the timber.

The use of different wood species indoors is in excess of completely mechanical properties also due to the colour of the wood. E.g. the use of wood for floorings, furniture and kitchen fittings is to a high extent dependent on changing fashions. In some periods dark wood is fashionable, while it in other periods is the light wood that “sells”.

1.4 EXOTIC WOOD

Tropical wood, exotic wood and overseas wood are some of the designations that are used for wood species imported from far away. In this project we will use the designation exotic wood, as we not only describe tropical or overseas wood species.

Statistics Denmark uses the designation “tropical wood” and in Appendix A, a list is given of the wood species, which Statistics Denmark includes under this designation.

Of the more than 500 of the most known exotic wood species there is in Table 3 stated the most common exotic wood species imported to Denmark (Straarup, 2002; Kopp, 2003). The mentioned wood species are all used indoors and some also outdoors e.g. in connection with water construction and external cladding. Of these wood species the following can be mentioned: Azobé, Purpleheart and Western Red Cedar (Thuja).

The original designations of the trees are often of popular origin and on the language of the individual country. Simultaneously, many of the names are just trade

names covering more wood species, which in some cases have very different appearance and properties. It is, therefore, necessary to use the botanical name in order unambiguously to identify a given wood species. In Table 3 and in the following the trade name has been applied in connection with the botanical name.

Unambiguous identification of the wood species is also essential by statistical survey of their health effect on human beings. Unfortunately, such a safe identification is not always available. Information in the literature should therefore be taken with reservations (Mitchell and Rook, 1976).

For more of the wood species mentioned in Tables 3, 4, and 7 there is from literature knowledge of health symptoms due to contact with the wood and/or wood dust. These wood species are listed in Table 9.

TABLE 3 TRADE NAME, BOTANICAL NAME, ORIGIN AND TYPICAL INDOOR USE OF THE MOST COMMON EXOTIC WOOD SPECIES IMPORTED TO DENMARK (STRAARUP, 2002; KOPP, 2003; MORSING, 2003)

TRADE NAME	BOTANICAL NAME	ORIGIN	APPLICATION
Balsa	<i>Ochroma pyramidale</i>	South America	Insulation, model-making
Bilinga	<i>Nauclea diderrichii</i>	Africa	Furniture, floorings
Blue gum	<i>Eucalyptus globulus</i>	Australia	Floorings
Dibetoú	<i>Lovoa klaineana</i>	Africa	Furniture
Rubber tree (Hevea)	<i>Hevea brasiliensis</i>	Asia	Furniture, floorings, kitchen fittings
Ilomba	<i>Pycnanthus angolensis</i>	Africa	Furniture
Imbuia	<i>Phoebe porosa</i>	South America	Furniture
Ipé	<i>Tabebuia ipé</i>	South America	Furniture, floorings
Iroko	<i>Chlorophora excelsa</i>	Africa	Cabinet-making
Jatoba	<i>Hymenaea courbaril</i>	South America	Furniture, floorings
Jelutong	<i>Dyera costulata</i>	Asia	Cabinet-making
Kapur	<i>Dryobalanops lanceolata</i>	Asia	Building material
Karri	<i>Eucalyptus diversicolor</i>	Australia	Floorings (furniture)
Kempas	<i>Koompassia malaccensis</i>	Asia	Floorings
Keruing	<i>Dipterocarpus warburgii</i>	Asia	Furniture, floorings
Limba	<i>Terminalia superba</i>	Africa	Furniture
Louro preto	<i>Cordi spp.</i>	South America	Furniture, articles
Mahogany, African	<i>Khaya ivorensis</i>	Africa	Furniture, floorings
Mahogany, American	<i>Swietenia spp.</i>	South America	Furniture, doors, windows
Mansonina	<i>Mansonina altissima</i>	Africa	Cabinet-making
Massaranduba	<i>Manilkara bidentata</i>	South America	Furniture
Meranti	<i>Shorea spp.</i>	Asia	Floorings, doors, windows
Merbau	<i>Intsia bijuga</i>	Asia	Furniture, floorings
Nyato	<i>Palaquium spp.</i>	Asia	Furniture, floorings
Obeche	<i>Triplochiton scleroxylon</i>	Africa	Furniture
Okumé	<i>Aucoumea klaineana</i>	Africa	Veneer, laminates
Ramin	<i>Gonystylus bankanus</i>	Asia	Furniture, frames, articles
Sapelli	<i>Entandrophragma cylindricum</i>	Africa	Furniture
Sipo	<i>Entandrophragma utile</i>	Africa	Furniture, doors, windows
Tasmanian oak	<i>Eucalyptus spp.</i>	Australia	Floorings
Teak	<i>Tectona grandis</i>	Asia	Furniture, floorings, windows

TRADE NAME	BOTANICAL NAME	ORIGIN	APPLICATION
Tiama	<i>Entandophragma angolense</i>	Africa	Replacement for mahogany
Virola	<i>Virola surinamensis</i>	South America	Furniture, constructions
Wengé	<i>Millettia laurentii</i>	Africa	Furniture, floorings

In excess of these exotic wood species cherry is also used indoors. Products made of cherry are, therefore, included in this project. Cherry is imported from different countries. In Table 4 cherry is mentioned with different origin.

TABLE 4 TRADE NAME, BOTANICAL NAME, ORIGIN AND TYPICAL APPLICATION FOR CHERRY

TRADE NAME	BOTANICAL NAME	ORIGIN	USE
Cherry	<i>Prunus serotina</i>	North America	Furniture, floorings
Scandinavian cherry	<i>Prunus avium</i>	Scandinavia	Furniture, floorings
Oriental cherry			Furniture, floorings

1.5 SUSTAINABLE FOREST MANAGEMENT

Formerly, exotic wood species were primary used within very specific areas. There were less wood species on the market, which then seemed clearer. An increased concern for environmental problems and sustainability by the consumers, scarcity of wood species and more focus on the tropical rain forests have, however, changed the demand and the trade with exotic wood (Morsing, 2003).

Certification of exotic wood have had the effect that there now are numerous wood species, which have not formerly been on the Danish market. As importer and manufacturer it is today very important to run an environmental policy, which signals that the company in question contributes to sustainable exploitation of the exotic wood.

Now an environmental guideline for tropical wood is available (the Danish Environmental Protection Agency, 2003).

Close to a fourth of the exotic wood imported to Denmark is sold to the public sector. This sector has, therefore, a great influence on the demand. All public institutions were as per 1st June 2001 by the Folketing (the Danish Parliament) encouraged to ensure that all wood applied is legally and sustainably grown (Parliamentary Resolution B197). FSC (Forest Stewardship Council) is at the same time acknowledged as an example of a guarantee that wood with this certificate meets the requirements made by the Folketing.

The purpose of the certification of forestry is to ensure that the forests are operated sustainably and thereby maintained for the future generations. The idea was created in the end of the 1980's and was seriously developed, when FSC was founded in 1994. At present there are some national and international certification schemes, which can be hard to distinguish from each other.

Examples of international certification schemes:

- FSC (Forest Stewardship Council)
- PEFC (Pan European Certification System)

Examples of national certification schemes:

- SFI (USA)
- CSA (Canada)
- LEI (Indonesia)

- MTCC (Malaysia)

It falls outside the scope of this report to describe these certification schemes closer, like a potential certification does not form part of the subsequent selection of products in this project.

1.6 SURFACE TREATMENT

In many cases the products made of wood are surface treated. This surface treatment can have an aesthetic function giving the product more constancy towards use and wear, dirt and/or discoloration/bleaching of sunlight. This surface treatment can in excess also ease the cleaning and the resistance of the products to it.

A potential surface treatment can contribute to reduce the emission of chemical compounds from the wood itself, but the surface itself can at the same time imply emission of (other) compounds.

As described formerly the selection of wood species is partly conditioned by the aesthetic guidelines, which the changing fashion dictates. Same conditions are valid for a potential surface treatment, the type and colour of which are partly dependent on the architectonic and design trends.

Typical surface treatments for different product categories are listed in Table 5 below.

TABLE 5 TYPICAL SURFACE TREATMENTS FOR DIFFERENT PRODUCT CATEGORIES

PRODUCT CATEGORY	SURFACE TREATMENT
Floorings	Lacquer, oil, lye
Kitchen table tops	Oil, soap, lacquer, lye, stain
Furniture (interior furnishings for kitchen and bath)	Lacquer, wax, stain, lye
Articles for everyday use	Lacquer/paint, lye, oil, stain, wax
Art articles	Stain, lacquer/paint, oil, wax

In Asian countries acid-hardening lacquers are greatly used, which emit formaldehyde. Lacquered products imported from Asia will, therefore, often be treated with acid-hardening lacquer.

2 Survey of Products Made of Exotic Wood on the Danish Market

To get an overview of, which exotic wood species and products presently are on the Danish market, a market survey has been carried out.

The market survey is based on information from Statistics Denmark and on contact with numerous importers, distributors and manufacturers of floorings, furniture, kitchen fittings and articles for everyday use, art and ornaments.

Importers, distributors and manufacturers have been chosen on basis of the project group's knowledge of the market and recommendations from the companies contacted as well as Internet searching.

Information about amount and value of articles made of wood, which in 2002 were imported to Denmark, has been obtained from Statistics Denmark. The imported amounts are in the statement stated in different groups. In the statements from the Danish foreign trade there is a differentiation between "tropical wood" and "other wood". Appendix A states, which wood species are included in "tropical wood".

Unfortunately, Statistics Denmark does not distinguish between different wood species, but only between "tropical wood" and "other wood" and which product categories are in question. Far the main part of the Danish import of exotic wood (stated in amount) is constituted of timber. This product category is outside the scope of this project. Table 6 below states the Danish import of the remainder product categories of "tropical wood" in 2002 (Statistics Denmark, 2002).

TABLE 6 DANISH IMPORT OF "TROPICAL WOOD" STATED ACCORDING TO PRODUCT CATEGORY (2002 FIGURES)

CATEGORY	AMOUNT [KG]	VALUE [DKK]
Frames for paintings, photos, mirrors etc.	41,849	1,758,838
Windows, glass doors and frames for these	602,550	24,955,369
Doors and door frames, doorsteps	156,621	3,794,288
Dinner service and kitchen fittings	254,863	11,871,483
Boxes, chests, fittings (excl. furniture)	84,749	4,798,922
Statuettes and other decorative articles	101,362	3,901,290
Totally	1,241,994	51,080,190

Statistics Denmark's Foreign Trade distributed on articles and tasks states in chapter 94 "Miscellaneous articles" the import of furniture. The statement does not distinguish between furniture of different wood species, but only between furniture of wood, plastic and of other materials.

Thus it is impossible via the statistical surveys to find information, about which wood species are imported. It is likewise impossible to find information about which wood species are primarily used for different products. Information about these matters has therefore been retrieved by contact with importers, manufacturers and distributors of products made of exotic wood. Information about specific products has been obtained from (Johansen, Jensen, Lorentzen, Rubæk, Bendtsen, Møller, Andersen, Konge, Frederiksen, Gottlieb, Indo Art, IQI, IKEA 2003). This information is stated in Table 7. The table also states the companies' information about potential surface treatment.

When available, information has been retrieved information about imported amount and country of origin (Johansen, Lorentzen, Bendtsen, Andersen, Konge, Frederiksen, Indo Art, 2003). This information is stated in Table 8.

TABLE 7 INFORMATION ABOUT PRODUCTS MADE OF EXOTIC WOOD

IMPOR- TER/MANUFACTURER/- DISTRIBUTOR	PRODUCT	WOOD SPECIES	SURFACE TREATMENT, IF ANY
Tarkett Sommer A/S	Floorings	Merbau, Cherry	Oil* Lacquer*
Faxe Design A/S	Floorings	Merbau, Cherry	Oil**
SPEKVA A/S	Kitchen table tops	Bubinga, Iroko, Jatoba, Khaya Mahogany, Merbau, Sipo, Teak, Walnut, Cherry, Scandi- navian Cherry, Oriental Cherry	Oil, soap, lacquer, stain
	Bathroom furniture	Cherry, Sipo, Teak	Oil, soap, lacquer, stain
IKEA A/S	Bed	Rubber tree	Lacquer
Trip Trap Denmark a/s	Floorings	Teak, Merbau, Jatoba, Keruing, Wengé, Ipé	Untreated or oil
	Articles	Teak	Untreated
HTH Køkkener a/s	Cabinet doors	Karri (omitted), Cherry, Mas-saranduba, Mahogany	Lacquer
BIVA Møbler A/S	Dining table	Rubber tree	Wax
Rustic Møbler A/S	Furniture	Sheesham, Mahogany	Stain, wax
Inbodan A/S	Furniture	Sheesham, Rubber tree, Cherry	Wax, lacquer, stain
Asian House	Articles/art	Unknown ("monkey pot wood")	Stain
Ejnar Debel A/S	Articles (blinds)	Ramin	Stain, lye, lacquer***
International Quality Import	Art	belalu (Batai)	
Indo Art Aps	Art, articles	belalu (Batai)	Lacquer

* The product is indoor climate labelled, ** The oil is indoor climate labelled, *** Surface treatment acc. to manufacturer without content of e.g. azo-pigments

Articles for everyday use are kitchen utensils, service and cutlery, trays, napkin holders, hall racks, blinds etc.

TABLE 8 LIST OF INFORMED AMOUNT AND ORIGIN FOR IMPORT OF PRODUCTS MADE OF EXOTIC WOOD

IMPORTER/MANUFACTURER/ DISTRIBUTOR	WOOD SPECIES	AMOUNT PER YEAR	ORIGIN
BIVA Møbler A/S	Rubber tree	approx. 600 pcs.	Malaysia
Rustic Furniture A/S	Sheesham	approx. 95% of turnover	India
	Mahogany	approx. 500 m ³	Indonesia
Inbodan A/S	Sheesham	600-700 m ³	India
Asian House	-	-	Thailand
Indo Art Aps	belalu (Batai)	10 m ³	Indonesia
HTH Køkkener A/S	Mahogany	30 m ³	South America
	Cherry	-	North America

	Massaranduba	60 m ³	South America
Ejnar Debel A/S	Ramin	approx. 30,000 units	China

3 Materials

3.1 ALLERGIC SYMPTOMS CAUSED BY THE CONTENT AND THE EMISSION OF CHEMICAL COMPOUNDS FROM EXOTIC WOOD SPECIES

From the literature numerous wood species are known, which can cause different symptoms in humans. In far the most cases the symptoms reported have, however, occurred in connection with processing of the wood, e.g. sawing or sanding. Exposure during processing is often many times larger than by general use of the products. Exposure can in this connection take place by inhalation of the compounds emitted, inhalation of dust and by skin contact with wood and wood dust.

Quite comprehensive surveys are available with identification of a number of compounds, which can cause allergy (Hausen, 1981; Woods and Calnan, 1976; Turjanmaa et al., 2002). These compounds have almost all of them a large molecular weight, and only in rare cases these compounds are expected to emit from the wood and usually it is expected that it is impossible to demonstrate these compounds by headspace analysis.

Far the most frequent reason for health effects by processing of wood is due to unspecified, irritative reactions caused by wood dust, which both can cause irritation of skin and the mucous membranes of the airways. These symptoms can in most cases be avoided by use of gloves, safety clothing and exhaust. Persons, who have developed allergy, will in future react to even very low exposure, and exhaust, usual safety clothing etc. will often not be adequate.

When the wood gives rise to allergic reactions it is either due to direct contact to intact wood through contact of the finished product, or – almost always – exposure to wood dust, which is produced during processing. The wood dust will of course contain the same proteins and chemical compounds as the wood itself, but due to the size of the particle, these compounds will be air borne and thereby the persons processing the wood will be exposed to dust both on the skin and in the mucous membranes – also even though they avoid direct contact with the wood specimens.

No information is available as to how frequent different wood species cause biological reactions in woodmen, sawmill workers, and persons processing the wood or users. Most literature information concerns individual cases.

Real epidemics are described in connection with new wood species with unknown allergen potential have substituted well-known wood species such as Pao Ferro (Conde-Salazar et al., 1980; Hausen, 1982).

Patients with eczema caused by wood dust often at the same time have respiratory symptoms (Estlander et al., 2001). A Danish examination (Schlünssen et al., 2002) of 2423 workers in the wood industry concludes that wood dust seems to be able to cause airways symptoms predominantly on irritative basis in spite of a relatively low exposure. Correspondingly, chronic bronchitis is described as a frequent pulmonary disease in wood workers exposed to wood dust. (Enarson and Chan-Yeung, 1990).

In numerous cases the reaction is not due to allergens from the wood itself, but from mould etc. growing on the wood as e.g. described by Halpin et al. (1994), or caused by *Fruinallaria* (lichen species), which grows on the bark (De Corres, 1984). Contact eczema can also be caused by compounds added to wood preservatives or in connection with surface treatment, glue or the similar (Wilkinson, 1979; Stoke, 1979; Johnson et al., 1983; Liden, 1990).

The symptoms of this survey have been divided into symptoms from the airways (1+2), symptoms from skin (3+4+5) and general symptoms (6) (Woods, 1976; Hausen, 1981; Hausen, 2000):

1. Respiratory symptoms with asthma and hay fever (rhino-conjunctivitis) and chronic bronchitis.
2. Other specific respiratory symptoms with effect on the general condition such as allergic pneumonia (allergic alveolitis) (Bendtzen et al., 2000), organic dust toxic syndrome (Seifert et al., 2003).
3. Irritation or urticaria by direct skin contact (contact urticaria).
4. Eczema. An eczema reaction consists of tiny vesicles in the skin, redness of the skin, peeling, cracks, callosity and pruritus. The reaction occurs when in direct contact with the causing agent. The eczema is, therefore, designated "contact eczema". Both irritative and allergic contact eczema is known, see below.
5. A special form of plant reaction, closely related to contact eczema is the "Erythema like - multiform reaction", where larger vesicles may occur on the skin at the spot of contact (Goh, 1992).
6. Other reactions, especially cancer and general reactions (due to toxic compounds in the wood) (Hausen, 1981; Woods, 1976; Wills, 1982).

Biological mechanisms:

The cause of skin and respiratory reactions can be:

Irritative	By irritation an unspecified effect of skin or mucous membranes.
Biological/toxic	Symptoms produced by biologically active compounds such as alkaloids (Woods, 1976).
Allergic	Allergic reactions are well described biological mechanisms, which have the common feature that a human being after repeated exposure to a particular compound develops a specific, hyper sensitive resistance of an immunological character to this compound. At exposure later to the compound an allergic reaction is produced. The amount of the compound to produce an allergic reaction in a person, who is allergic, is often less than the amount to induce allergy. Allergy is life long.

There are different allergic mechanisms (Bendtzen, 2000):

- a. Exposure to protein (albumen) results in an antibody reaction with symptoms consisting of asthma, urticaria and hay fever and in rare cases allergic shock (anaphylactic shock). Not all symptoms should necessarily be present. The asthma, urticaria and hay fever occur immediately after exposure to the allergen (minutes) and the reaction diminishes in a few hours. Skin contact to the allergen protein can in some cases cause localised urticaria (contact urticaria) only at the contact spot, but it can also develop into generalised urticaria, asthma etc. (reactions of this kind are designated the allergic immediate reaction, type-I reaction or mediated by IgE-antibody). Localised

urticaria can also be produced without immunological processes by irritation.

- b. In certain cases exposure to proteins causes formation of an antibody of the IgG-type. The formation of specific IgG-antibodies can result in allergic pneumonia (alveolitis), which occurs as symptoms of pneumonia up to 1 day after exposure to the allergen.
- c. Exposure to numerous chemical compounds can result in an allergic contact eczema. Eczema is not developed until 1-3 days after exposure and it will not diminish until weeks after the exposure has stopped. Eczema never develops into asthma. This type of allergic reaction is designated "mediated allergic reaction" or "delayed cutaneous hyper-sensitivity reaction" or type-IV reaction.

In Table 9 reported symptoms caused by the wood species mentioned in Tables 3, 4 and 7 are stated. It should be noted that the products mentioned in Tables 3, 4 and 7 could be surface treated, which can encapsulate the components of the wood. In stead the surface treatment can be determining for the emission of compounds.

TABLE 9 SYMPTOMS CAUSED BY EXOTIC WOOD SPECIES (HAUSEN, 1981)

TRADE NAME	BOTANICAL NAME	SYMPTOMS (DUE TO DUST)	COMPONENT
Bubinga	<i>Guibourtia tessmannii</i>	Sensitising	
Blue Gum	<i>Eucalyptus</i> and other eucalyptus species)	Sensitising	Eucalyptus oil
Dibetoú	<i>Lovoa klaineana</i>	Asthma?	
Ilomba	<i>Pycnanthus angolensis</i>	Nausea, vomit	
Imbuia	<i>Phoebe porosa</i>	Sensitising, palpitation, nausea, diarrhoea, headache	Alkaloid
Ipé	<i>Tabebuia ipé</i>	Sensitising	Desoxylapachol a.o.
Iroko	<i>Chlorophora excelsa</i>	Sensitising, asthma, alveolitis	Chlorophorin
Limba	<i>Terminalia superba</i>	Splinters cause sores. Epistaxis, skin sensitising, asthma, urticaria	2,6 dimethoxy-1,4-benzoquinon? (2,6-dmbq)
Mahogany, African	<i>Khaya ivorensis</i>	May be skin sensitising, asthma, alveolitis	Meliacin (not sensitising)
Mahogany, American	<i>Swietenia spp.</i>	Sensitising, asthma, allergic alveolitis, cancer?	Meliacin (not sensitising)
Mansonina	<i>Mansonina altissima</i>	Sensitising (frequently), asthma, cancer? epistaxis, headache, cardiac arrhythmia	Chinon? (Mansonon A-Sensitising) Glycosides
Meranti	<i>Shorea species</i>	May be sensitising	
Obeche	<i>Triplochiton scleroxylon</i>	Asthma, urticaria	
Okumé	<i>Aucoumea klaineana</i>	Sensitising, asthma?	
Ramin	<i>Gonystylus bankanus</i>	Asthma, allergic alveolitis, skin irritation (splinters), sensitising	2,6 dmbq?
Sapelli	<i>Entandophragma cylindricum</i>	May be sensitising	
Sheesham	<i>Dalbergia latifolia</i>	Sensitising	R-4-methoxy dalbergione and other chinones
Tasmanian oak	<i>Eucalyptus spp.</i>	Sensitising	Eucalyptus oil
Teak	<i>Tectona grandis</i>	Asthma, Sensitising	Desoxylapachol
Tiama	<i>Entandophragma angolense</i>	Sensitising	

TRADE NAME	BOTANICAL NAME	SYMPTOMS (DUE TO DUST)	COMPONENT
Wengé	<i>Millettia laurentii</i>	Stomach cramp, healing problems (splinters), sensitising	2,6-dmbq and other chinones

Some of the wood species mentioned in Table 9 are in Denmark used for kitchen table tops and could in this connection get into direct contact with food. It has, however, been impossible to retrieve information about potential problems in this context. A project on application of wood in the food industry (NIF, 1998) for e.g. chopping boards or pallets only comprised “non-exotic” wood species and thus it does not inform about wood species covered by this project.

Allergen proteins are well-known in the sap from rubber tree *Hevea brasiliensis*, and is extensively described in the literature in connection with rubber products made of natural rubber latex from this wood species (Turjanmaa et al., 2002), but there are no publications about asthma in persons processing wood or reactions caused by contamination of food by contact with products made of wood from *Hevea brasiliensis*.

3.2 SELECTION OF PRODUCTS

The experimental part of this project comprises an examination of 10 selected products made of exotic wood. The products partly represent product groups, which in the market survey were found on the market, and partly wood species, which extensively are used for these product groups.

The selected products/wood species can, however, only be considered as representative examples, and they are thereby not covering for all consumer products made of exotic wood on the Danish market in 2003.

The selected products are listed in Table 10.

TABLE 10 SELECTED PRODUCTS

SPECIMEN NO.	WOOD SPECIES	BOTANICAL NAME	PRODUCT	POTENTIAL SURFACE TREATMENT
1	Rubber tree	<i>Hevea brasiliensis</i>	Dining table	Lacquer**
2	Ramin	<i>Gonystylus bankanus</i>	Venetian blind	Stain
3	Sheesham	<i>Dalbergia latifolia</i>	Bed table	Wax
4	Teak	<i>Tectona grandis</i>	Tray	
5	Jatoba	<i>Hymenaea courbaril</i>	Floor	Oil
6	Merbau	<i>Intsia bijuga</i>	Floor	Oil
7	Khaya mahogany	<i>Khaya ivorensis</i>	Kitchen table top	Oil*
8	Iroko	<i>Chlorophora excelsa</i>	Kitchen table top	Oil*
9	Cherry, American	<i>Prunus serotina</i>	Kitchen table top	Oil*
10	Belalu	<i>Albiz(z)ia falcata</i>	Figure	Ink

* PRODUCTS, WHICH ARE NORMALLY OIL TREATED, BUT WHICH IN THIS SURVEY, FORM PART AS UNTREATED

** THE DISTRIBUTOR HAS INFORMED THIS PRODUCT TO HAVE BEEN WAX TREATED. IT HAS, HOWEVER, BEEN EVALUATED TO BE LACQUERED.

The products have as for the majority been examined with the surface treatment, with which they normally appear with the consumer. As for normally oil treated products, when possible products have been bought, which have not been oil treated. This does not represent a typical use situation, but on the contrary it gives a better picture of the chemical compounds emitted from the wood itself. Notoriously, an oil treatment emits numerous compounds, which might conceal the emission from the wood itself.

On basis of the results from an initial qualitative screening (described in paragraph 4.1) of the emission from the 10 products listed in Table 10, 5 products were selected for further quantitative analysis of the emission. The 5 selected products are listed in Table 11.

TABLE 11 PRODUCTS SELECTED FOR QUANTITATIVE ANALYSIS OF THE EMISSION

SPECIMEN NO.	WOOD SPECIES	BOTANICAL NAME	PRODUCT	SURFACE TREATMENT
1	Rubber tree	<i>Hevea brasiliensis</i>	Dining table	Lacquer
2	Ramin	<i>Gonystylus bankanus</i>	Venetian blind	Stain
3	Sheesham	<i>Dalbergia latifolia</i>	Bed table	Wax
6	Merbau	<i>Intsia bijuga</i>	Floor	Oil
8	Iroko	<i>Chlorophora excelsa</i>	Kitchen table top	

The selection of these 5 products is based on the following criteria:

- products, which are used on large surfaces
- products, which emit the most
- products, which are most widely used
- the type of emission from the product

The knowledge of the amount used by the individual wood species is limited. The primary criteria for the selection have, therefore, been products, which form part with a large surface in a typical home and/or has a "high" emission.

The quantitative analysis of emission is described in paragraph 4.2.

3.3 DETERMINATION OF WOOD SPECIES

For two of the selected products made of exotic wood, the distributor/importer has only been able to inform the trade name of the wood species. As the trade name can be geographically determined and vary from area to area, it is necessary to know the botanical name to identify the wood species unambiguously.

Below the determination of the botanical names of the two wood species are listed. Regular descriptions of wood species are for all 10 selected wood species listed in Appendix B (Danish Technological Institute, 2003).

3.3.1 Sheesham

Among the selected products there is a bed table made of the wood species sheesham. The bed table is part of a series of furniture, distributed via Idé Møbler.

At purchase of the table with the distributor the wood species had different designations in the catalogue, product descriptions and price tags e.g. sesame, shesam, sheesam.

Neither the distributor nor the importer could inform the botanical name of the wood species but referred solely to the trade name.

On basis of samples taken from the purchased piece of furniture the wood species has subsequently been tried determined via a literature study. The major part of the wood used in the piece of furniture in question is sapwood, which makes a unique determination of species impossible. It is either *Dalbergia latifolia* or *D. sissoo*. These two wood species can only be determined by difference in colour and not by microscopic characters. As the wood material in question primarily consists of juvenile wood, the colour is less characteristic. It is, therefore, impossible with

certainty to determine the wood species, but there is every probability that it is *Dalbergia latifolia* (Venås, 2003). This identification is used with the above-mentioned reservations throughout this report.

3.3.2 Belalu

A figure cut of an Indonesian wood species named belalu forms part of the project. The importer, who personally is in charge of the purchase of the figures in the country of origin, can only inform the Indonesian name, belalu, of the wood species.

From the name belalu there is every probability that it is the wood species with the botanical name *Albiz(z)ia falcata*, which in Danish, English and German is named batai (Venås, 2003). This corresponds to the literature description of the appearance and structure of the wood and to its application for woodcarving with a low degree of detailing. In the present report it is, therefore, assumed that it is *Albiz(z)ia falcata*.

4 Method

As described in paragraph 3.2 ten products were selected for initial qualitative screening of the emission of chemical compounds. Of these 10 products 5 were selected for further quantitative analysis.

In excess of analyses of the emission of chemical compounds to the air, samples of belalu, *Albiz(z)ia falcata*, have been analysed for the emission of chemical compounds by migration into artificial saliva and samples of rubber tree, *Hevea brasiliensis*, have been analysed for the emission of chemical compounds by migration into artificial saliva, content of latex allergens and fungicide. Description of these analyses has been treated later in this chapter.

4.1 INITIAL QUALITATIVE SCREENING

The qualitative screening is carried out at 40°C, where samples of the product to be examined, are placed in a glass and heated to 40°C for 4 hours. An air sample of 1 ml is taken with a gastight syringe and analysed by capillary column gas chromatography combined with mass spectrometric detection (GC-MS).

The components are identified by comparison of the respective mass spectres with mass spectres from NIST 98 library. The percentage part of the total VOC content is stated as area percentage of the spectre assuming that all detected components have the same response to the same amount. The detection limit is on this theory 5-10 ng/g test material. The degree of accuracy of the relative area percentages constitutes 10-15%.

Brief results of the static headspace analysis are stated in paragraph 5.1. Results in detail are stated in Appendix C.

4.2 QUANTITATIVE DETERMINATION OF THE EMISSION IN CLIMATE CHAMBER

The quantitative determination was carried though by climate chamber measurement according to ENV 13419-1 Building Products. Determination of the emission of volatile organic compounds. Part 1: Emission test chamber method (CEN, 2001).

4.2.1 Preparation of Test Specimens

The test specimens were prepared in size in relation to the chamber volume to obtain the desired material load. A relation of $n/L = 1$ between air change (n) and material load (L) is used.

4.2.2 Test Conditions

Climate chamber	225 l polished stainless steel
Temperature	$23 \pm 0.5^{\circ}\text{C}$
Relative humidity	$45 \pm 3\% \text{ RH}$
Air change in climate chamber	$1 \pm 0.05 \text{ h}^{-1}$
Air velocity	$0.15 \pm 0.05 \text{ m/s}$.
Material load	0.225 m^2
n/L	1

The test specimens were placed in the climate chamber during the entire testing period.

4.2.3 Measuring Method

The general principle of emission measurements in climate chambers is that the test specimen to be examined is placed in a climate chamber at standard testing conditions. Gases and vapours emitted from the test specimen are mixed with the chamber air. Air samples are taken at fixed intervals and are analysed at different analysis techniques.

In the examination the measurement intervals were fixed at 3, 10 and 28 days.

4.2.4 Chemical Analysis

The emissions from the examined products were collected on Tenax TA, desorbed thermally and subsequently analysed by capillary column gas chromatography combined with mass spectrometric detection, GC-MS-SCAN (29-450 amu-screening analysis) according to ISO/DIS 16000-6.2 (2002). The components were identified by comparison of the respective mass spectres with mass spectres from the NIST 98 library.

Aldehydes were collected on dinitrophenylhydrazine (DNPH) filters and subsequently analysed by liquid chromatography with UV detection (HPLC-UV).

By the analyses quantification has been carried out in relation to the external calibration standards of the detected compound of closely related chemical compounds.

Detection limit for VOC's on Tenax	0.3-1 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$
Detection limit for aldehydes on DNPH	1.2 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$
Inaccuracy of analysis results	10-15 %

Blind values have been analysed for the empty chamber prior to testing, and unexposed tubes have been analysed together with test tubes.

4.3 DETERMINATION OF NATURAL RUBBER LATEX ALLERGEN

In the literature there are innumerable descriptions of allergy towards natural rubber latex extracted from *Hevea brasiliensis*. Proteins cause the allergy. In the literature there are no studies described, in which the content of natural rubber latex allergens in the wood itself can cause reaction in natural rubber latex allergic persons.

The dining table made of rubber tree, which is one the selected products, is lacquered on legs and upper side of the table top. Samples of the tabletop have been analysed for content of natural rubber latex allergens in the wood itself. Most natural rubber latex allergens are water-soluble and in case they are able to penetrate the surface treatment, they may cause allergic symptoms at contact with the surface.

The tabletop consists of laminboards. Totally 3 test specimens have been sampled from different staves. The specimens have been sampled in the middle of the material by means of a metal drill, so that neither glue nor wax forms part of the bored material. The material was subsequently pulverised in a metal grinder. The sam-

pled test material has thus not been in contact with materials, which might contain/emit natural rubber latex allergens.

The material is extracted with phosphate-buffered salt water in the relation 1 g to 5 ml buffer according to ASTM D5712. Particles have subsequently been removed by centrifuging for minimum 15 minutes at minimum 500G. The supernatants were then tested by an immunological method for each of the allergens Hev b 1, Hev b 3, Hev b 5 and Hev b 6.02 by application of specific antibodies (FIT-kitTM) (FIT Biotech; Palusou et al., 2002) both undiluted as diluted 1:10, if a high allergen level was expected. The amount of allergen is stated for each allergen in µg/l. The total amount is stated as µg/g test material.

The detection limit of the analyses for the allergens Hev b 1, Hev b 3, Hev b 5 and Hev b 6.02 is given in Table 12.

TABLE 12 DETECTION LIMIT FOR LATEX-ALLERGENS

	HEV B 1	HEV B 3	HEV B 5	HEV B 6.02
Detection limit [µg/l]	1.2	2.3	0.5	0.1

The samples were analysed by FIT Biotech Oyj Plc., Tampere, Finland. The results are stated in paragraph 5.3.

4.4 DETERMINATION OF CONTENT OF FUNGICIDE

Among the selected wood species rubber tree is especially exposed to attack of mould and insects from the wood is felled and until it has been dried. Timber of rubber tree is due to this always treated with a fungicide/insecticide to prevent these attacks.

The treatment takes place shortly after felling and cutting and prior to drying, thereby it is carried out while the wood is still wet. The treatment takes in some cases place by dipping the timber into a container with a liquid containing different active ingredients. In other cases an actual preservative treatment takes place. As the treatment is carried out while the wood is wet, the penetration of fungicide only takes place to a limited depth and will predominantly be on/in the surface.

After drying the wood is often further processed implying that the surface is planed, sanded or smoothed. This process entails that the parts of the wood, which might contain the largest amount of fungicide, are removed. It was, however, deemed relevant to perform analyses of potential content of fungicide in the wood.

Test specimens from more staves of the rubber tree in the tabletop of the dining table were sampled (specimen no. 1, Table 10). The sampling was carried out by means of a metal drill with subsequent pulverisation of the material in a metal grinder.

The sample was analysed for content of numerous organic active ingredients: tebuconazole, propiconazole, tolylfluanid, dichlofluanid, IPBC (3-iodo-2-propynyl-butyl-carbamate) and pentachlorophenol. In excess, the sample was analysed for a number of elements (silver, arsenic, boron, bismuth, cadmium, cobalt, chromium, copper, quicksilver, manganese, nickel, lead, antimony, selenium, tin, thallium, vanadium and zinc).

4.4.1 Organic Compounds

For all analyses, except for pentachlorophenol a weighed amount of test material was extracted with acetone. For analyses to determine content of pentachlorophenol a weighed out amount was extracted with dichlormethan added deuterium marked internal standard of C13-PCP by ultrasound.

The extracts were analysed with capillary gas chromatography combined with mass spectrometry (GC-MS). A double determination was carried out.

Tebuconazole, propiconazole, tolylfluanid, IPBC and pentachlorophenol were quantified in relation to standards of the respective compounds. Dichlofluanid was searched by means of the NIST 98 library.

Detection limit for the compounds is stated in Table 13. The degree of accuracy of the analysis results is approx. 10%.

TABLE 13 DETECTION LIMIT FOR ORGANIC COMPONENTS

COMPONENT	CAS NO.	DETECTION LIMIT [µG/G]
IPBC	55406-53-6	0.5
Tolyfluanid	731-27-1	0.5
Tebuconazole	80443-41-0	0.35
Propiconazole	60207-90-1	0.35
Dichlofluanid	1085-98-9	0.5
Pentachlorophenol	87-86-5	0.1

The result of the analyses is stated in paragraph 5.4.

4.4.2 Elements

The test material was destroyed by nitric acid in teflon cylinders by microwave heating. Subsequently, the extracts were analysed for content of the elements by ICP-MS and ICP-AES (for boron).

For the analysis for boron the sample was spiked with boron and re-analysed. The retrieval was 104%.

The detection limits for the compounds are stated in Table 14. The degree of accuracy is stated together with the results in paragraph 5.4.1.2.

TABLE 14 DETECTION LIMIT FOR ELEMENTS

COMPONENT	DETECTION LIMIT [MG/KG]	COMPONENT	DETECTION LIMIT [MG/KG]
Argent (Ag)	0.5	Manganese (Mn)	0.5
Arsenic (As)	0.5	Nickel (Ni)	0.5
Boron (B)	10	Lead (Pb)	0.05
Bismuth (Bi)	0.5	Antimony (Sb)	0.5
Cadmium (Cd)	0.05	Selenium (Se)	0.5
Cobalt (Co)	0.5	Tin (Sn)	0.5
Chromium (Cr)	0.5	Thallium (Tl)	0.05
Copper (Cu)	0.5	Vanadium (V)	0.5
Quicksilver (Hg)	0.05	Zinc (Zn)	1

4.5 DETERMINATION OF THE EMISSION OF COMPOUNDS BY MIGRATION INTO ARTIFICIAL SALIVA

Two products were analysed for emission of chemical compounds by migration into artificial saliva: Lacquered dining table made of rubber tree (Specimen no. 1) and ink treated figure made of belalu (Specimen no. 10). The determinations were

carried out by assessing the intake of compounds, which might happen by children sucking and biting at the products.

For the analyses the surface of the test specimens were scraped and pulverised, then 1 g test material was firstly added internal standards and subsequently 25 ml simulated saliva (DIN 53160-1). The sample was then placed in an incubator at 37°C; it was initially shaken for 1 hour and was then left for 1 hour.

The samples were centrifuged and the saliva simulant were extracted by “solid phase extraction” SPE (IST Isolute, C18/ENV). The SPE-tubes were dried by a flow of nitrogen and were eluted with dichlormethan. The dichlormethane evaporated to 250 µl and was analysed by GC-MS (SCAN).

4.6 EVALUATION OF THE ALLERGIC POTENTIAL OF THE WOOD SPECIES

As a part of the assessment of all 5 wood species sampled for climate chamber analyses, a literature survey was carried out to evaluate the tendency of the wood species to cause allergic reactions from skin or airways.

The literature studies are based on information from numerous textbooks (Woods, 1976; Mitchell, 1979; Hausen, 1981; Lovell, 1993; Bendtzen, 2000; Hausen 2000; Krant and Cohen, 2000) and a systematic survey of “Contact Dermatitis” and searching on Medline.

4.7 PRINCIPLES FOR EVALUATION OF CHEMICAL COMPOUNDS

4.7.1 Lowest Concentration of Interest to the Indoor Climate

Health effects caused by the indoor environment are normally very unspecified and may comprise symptoms such as headache, fatigue, mucous membrane irritation and dry skin. In excess malaise caused by odour may occur. These symptoms do not necessarily imply critical health effects, but can be of decisive importance to the general well being when staying indoors.

When evaluating, whether a chemical compound or a product consisting of numerous chemical compounds can constitute a health risk, it would be natural to evaluate the result of epidemiological examinations on the reaction of humans to the exposure in question. These examinations are, unfortunately, rare and they in many cases impossible to carry out. It is, therefore, necessary to use other methods to be able to carry out a toxicological evaluation of compounds emitted from e.g. exotic wood.

In a former examination of emission from wood and wood-based materials, furniture and interior furnishings a method for health evaluation (Jensen et al., 2001) is described. This method has been applied in this project.

The main principles of the health evaluation appear from Figure 1.




FIGURE 1 FUNDAMENTAL PRINCIPLES OF HEALTH EVALUATION OF EMISSIONS

The evaluation of the individual compounds found in the emissions by the climate chamber measurements have, when possible, included the following: Toxicological effects (cancer, allergy, congenital malformations, nervous system effects and other health effects) and irritation and odour. As a superior principle the emission of carcinogenic compounds, allergens and feto-toxic compounds from the products

examined are considered as undesirable, and it is recommended to avoid import of these articles.

For all compounds an assessment for 1) effects, where no observed effect level is expected (NOEL) expected, 2) effects, where no NOEL effect level is present (e.g. carcinogenic compounds), 3) sensory irritation and odour have been carried out. The principles of this stipulation are thoroughly described in the Guidelines for Air Emission Regulation (The Danish Environmental Protection Agency, 1990).

Information about individual compounds has been retrieved by searching in the databases TOXLINE, RTECS, NIOSHtic, and Medline, and by application of existing criteria documents.

The Danish Environmental Protection Agency has carried out toxicological evaluation of chemical compounds related to air pollution, in drinking water and by soil pollution. The toxicological principles, which the Danish Environmental Protection Agency has used, were in accordance with the principles used in this project in the cases, where the assessment of the Danish Environmental Protection Agency was carried out on basis of the effects of a compound and not on basis of odour. In the cases where a toxicological assessment by the Danish Environmental Protection Agency of a chemical compound with a fixed B-value was available (The Danish Environmental Protection Agency, 1990, 1996) this was used. If the assessed value (LCI-value) deviates from this, it has been stated in the individual compound assessment.

As part of a former report on emission of wood and wood-based materials (The Danish Environmental Protection Agency, 1999) a toxicological assessment was carried out on a part of the measured compounds in this project. For these compounds the former assessment and fixed LCI-value applied, as according to our knowledge, there are no new data on the compounds that might affect the assessment.

4.7.2 Determination of LCI- and S-Values

LCI-values (Lowest Concentration of Interest) are a term, which was introduced in the report on emission from wood and wood-based materials (The Danish Environmental Protection Agency, 1999). We have defined the LCI-value as the lowest concentration of a certain compound, which not – according to our present knowledge – at permanent exposure to the indoor air would imply risk of hazardous effects to the human beings. LCI-values are considered as a quality criterion to the indoor air and not as fixed limit values.

There was only limited information about a lot of the chemical compounds and their effects, which emitted from the exotic wood products or from the surface treatment of the products, the LCI-value determination is, therefore, for a part of the compounds determined on basis of poor knowledge of the effects. This has implied that it has been necessary to work with considerable safety margins when determining the LCI-value.

For most of the emissions irritation was the effect, which was decisive for the determination of the LCI-values. More severe effects were for most compounds not found until concentrations were reached, which were way over the level, which implied irritation.

In more cases LCI-values were determined on basis of analogous considerations. In case data were missing for the compounds, and where the most essential effect was

assessed to be irritation, the LCI-values were fixed on basis of reduction of the respiratory frequency by 50% in mice (RD₅₀-value).

The values converted into LCI-values by introduction of a safety factor of 10 to protect especially sensible communities and by calculating with an influence of 24 hours 7 days per week (Nielsen et al., 1997).

One of the limitations of the LCI-values is that they very often are concluded from limited knowledge about the individual compounds. The LIC-values can, therefore, only be used by comparison of materials with a uniform emission profile and with uniform determination of the LCI-values. They can, therefore, only be used as an initial indication of potential comfort and health effects in the indoor environment.

In this report the evaluation for all compounds is according to the same principles except for formaldehyde. Formaldehyde follows WHO's recommendations for an indoor environment value, which is essentially less restrictively determined than for the other individual compounds.

Concentrations of the compounds, which were measured in the climate chamber, were calculated by means of conversion factor so that they became relevant in relation to the indoor environment. The calculation appears from formula 1, where C_m [mg/m³] is the calculated equilibrium concentration in the indoor environment, n is the air change in the indoor environment [times per hour]; V is the volume of the actual room [m³], R_s is the specific emission rate [mg x h⁻¹ x m²] determined by climate chamber tests and A is the area of the specimen in the actual room in m².

$$C_m = R \times A / n \times V \quad (1)$$

The calculations were carried out by application of a volume, V , corresponding to a standard room of 17.4 m³ c.f. DS/INF 90 (1994). For all products a material load of 0.4 m²/m³ was used, which corresponds to e.g. a table and 6 chairs or a floor area.

For all compounds identified by the climate chamber measurements a calculation for day 3, 10 and 23 was carried out. The concentration in the standard room, c , for the compound in question was divided by the fixed LCI-value. An S-value was calculated by adding the contribution of c_i/LCI_i for all individual compounds (formula 2).

$$S = \sum c_i / LCI_i \quad (2)$$

In principle this should be done for compounds with comparable effects. For the majority of LCI-values in question the irritative effect was decisive. On basis of this and as the other compounds at the same time only contributed very poorly to the total S-value, we have taken the liberty to make a total addition for all compounds.

The S-values can be used for a quick comparison of emission from the different wood species to select the products, which emit the least. The lower the S-value the more acceptable the emission from the exotic wood and/or its surface treatment. By S-values below 1 no health effects are expected.

4.7.3 Indoor-Relevant Time-Values

The indoor-relevant time-value for a product or material is an expression of the time, which passes until the concentration of all individual, emitted compounds

have dropped to 50% for the odour and irritation thresholds of the products. All concentrations have been converted into indoor-relevant concentrations by using standard room conditions, cf. the definition in 4.7.2.

For the majority of the products examined this loading is, however, to be considered as worst-case.

Regarding irritation it is estimated that the effect from more compounds is more extensive than the irritative contribution for the individual compound. In case of more compounds with irritative effects, requirements for the sum of irritative compounds in the emission are made (formula 3).

$$\sum c_i / CL_i \quad (3)$$

C_i/CL_i states the concentration of the i 'th compound in relation to the acceptable concentration of the i 'th compound, CL_i , in the indoor climate. CL is calculated as 50% of the irritation threshold for the individual compound.

The sum is determined by adding the contribution of the indoor-relevant concentration divided by the CL -value for all individual compounds with irritative effect in the emission (formula 3).

The indoor-relevant time-value is normally based on both chemical determination and sensory evaluation of the emission. In this project the indoor-relevant time-value of a product is, however, solely based on chemical measurements of the emission in the climate chamber.

The indoor-relevant time-value stated in days, which should be as low as possible, is a direct expression of how long time passes from installation of a product until the emission from the product no longer is expected to cause odour or irritation of mucous membranes in eyes, nose and upper airways.

Application of indoor-relevant time-values enables - like LCI-values - indoor-related comparison of materials and products.

The most extensive limitation is that threshold values for odour and irritation only are available for a limited number of compounds, and that there are distinct deviations between the published threshold values. Odour does not signify that the emission causes health effects, just like no odour does not imply that the emission does not cause health effects.

Indoor-relevant time-values form the basis of indoor climate labelling of building products, furniture and interior furnishings (Danish Society of Indoor Climate, 2003).

5 Results

Results of the qualitative screenings are stated overall in paragraph 5.1 and results in detail in Appendix C. Results of the quantitative climate chamber measurements are stated over all in paragraph 5.2 and results in detail in Appendices D and E.

In consideration of the health and comfort assessments, concentrations measured in climate chamber were converted to concentrations, to which persons are exposed in the indoor air in a typical room scenario.

The measured emissions can not be considered as covering for the examined type of material or as generally representative of emissions from exotic wood, but can be used as an indication of the emission from the examined types of products. The actual measurement results are exclusively valid for the analysed products.

5.1 QUALITATIVE SCREENING

By the initial screening by headspace-analysis of the 10 selected products 74 different chemical compounds were identified cf. the result tables, Appendix C. In Table 15 the total amount of emitted compounds from the individual compounds and their mutual order of priority (stated according to total amount – 10 states the largest total amount) are stated.

TABLE 15 TOTAL AMOUNT OF EMITTED COMPOUNDS BY HEADSPACE

SPECIMEN NO.	WOOD SPECIES	BOTANICAL NAME	TOTAL [µG/KG]	TOTAL LISTED IN ORDER OF PRIORITY
1	Rubber tree	<i>Hevea brasiliensis</i>	2938	9
2	Ramin	<i>Gonystylus bankanus</i>	929	6
3	Sheesham	<i>Dalbergia latifolia</i>	819	5
4	Teak	<i>Tectona grandis</i>	110	4
5	Jatoba	<i>Hymenaea courbaril</i>	959	7
6	Merbau	<i>Intsia bijuga</i>	6445	10
7	Khaya Mahogany	<i>Khaya ivorensis</i>	10	2
8	Iroko	<i>Chlorophora excelsa</i>	1739	8
9	Cherry, American	<i>Prunus serotina</i>	67	3
10	Belalu (Batai)	<i>Albiz(z)ia falcata</i>	< 15	1

If the only total amount of the emitted compounds by headspace is considered, it appears that the surface treated wood species merbau and rubber tree emit the largest amount of chemical compounds.

The compounds emitting from the individual products are listed in Table 16. The CAS no. of the compounds and their classification are stated in Appendix C.

TABLE 16 EMITTED COMPOUNDS BY HEADSPACE-ANALYSE

SPECIMEN NO.	WOOD SPECIES	BOTANICAL NAME	EMITTED COMPOUNDS
1	Rubber tree	<i>Hevea brasiliensis</i>	Acetone, 2-Butoxyethanol, Butylacetate, Butylbenzene, 1-ethyl-3,5-dimethyl-benzene, Cyclohexane (could be), Decan, Decanal, Dodecan, Acetic acid, Ethylbenzene, 1-Ethyl-4-methylbenzene (4-Ethyltoluene, Heptan (and isomers), Hexanal, 2-Methyl-1-propanol, 1-Methyl-3-propylbenzene, Methylcyclohexane, 4-Methyl-decan, 2-Methylheptan, 3-

			Methylheptan, 2-Methylhexane, 3-Methylhexane, MIBK, Octan, 2,10-Pentadecen-1-ol (could be), Pentan, 1,14-Tetradecandiol (could be), Tetracapric acid, Toluene, 1,2,3-Trimethylbenzene, 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene, Undecan, Undecanal, m-Xylen, o-Xylen, p-Xylen
2	Ramin	<i>Gonystylus bankanus</i>	Acetone, Aliphatic Hydrocarbons, Butanal, Butane, Butylacetate, Decanal, 4.4-Dimethyl-2-oxedanon, 1.2-Dimethylcyclohexanee, 2.5-Dimethylheptan, 2.4-Dimethylhexane, Dodecan, Acetic acid, Ethanol, Ethylbenzene, 3-Ethyl-2-methylhexane, Heptan (and isomers), 2-Methyl-1-propanol, 2-Methylheptan, 3-Methylhexane, MIBK, Octan, Toluene, m-Xylen, o-Xylen, p-Xylen
3	Sheesham	<i>Dalbergia latifolia</i>	Acetone, Bicyclo(3.2.1),3-methyl-4-methylen-oct-2-en, Butane, Butylacetate, Decanal, 4.4-Dimethyl-2-oxedanon, 1.2-Dimethylcyclohexanee, 2.5-Dimethylheptan, 2.4-Dimethylhexane, Acetic acid, Ethanol, Ethylbenzene, 1-Ethyl-2-methylbenzene, 1-Ethyl-3-methylbenzene, 1-Ethyl-4-methylbenzene (4-Ethyltoluene, Heptan (and isomers), Hexanal, 2-Methyl-1-butane (could be), 3-Methyl-1-propen, 2-Methyl-2-propenal (could be), Methylcyclohexane, Propylbenzene, 2-Methylheptan, 3-Methylheptan, 2-Methylhexane, 3-Methylhexane, 3-Methylloktan, Octan, α -Pinene, Propylbenzene, Toluene, 1,2,3-Trimethylbenzene, 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene, 1,2,5-Trimethylbenzene, o-Xylen, Xylenes unspec.
4	Teak	<i>Tectona grandis</i>	Acetone, Acetic acid, Hexanal, 2-Pentynal
5	Jatoba	<i>Myrtenaea courbaril</i>	Acetaldehyde, Acetone, Aldehyde, 1-ethyl-3,5-dimethylbenzene, Acetic acid, Hexanal, 4-Methyl-1-hexen, 3-Methyl-2-Butanon, 5-Methylhexanal, Pentanal
6	Merbau	<i>Intsia bijuga</i>	Aliphatic Hydrocarbons, Bromomethane, Cyclododecan, Acetic acid, Ethanol, Hexanal, Octan, Pentane, Pentanal
7	Khaya Mahogany	<i>Khaya ivorensis</i>	Acetaldehyde, Acetic acid, Hexanal
8	Iroko	<i>Chlorophora excelsa</i>	Acetone, Acetic acid, Hexanal, Nonadienal, Propion acid
9	Cherry, American	<i>Prunus serotina</i>	Acetic acid, Acetic acid methylester, Ethanol
10	belalu (Batai)	<i>Albiz(z)ia falcata</i>	Bis (2-ethylhexyl) Phtalat, Decanal, Acetic acid, Heptanal, Hexacapric acid, Hexanal, Octanal, Tetracapric acid

5.2 QUANTITATIVE CLIMATE CHAMBER MEASUREMENT

Out of the 10 selected products from headspace, 5 were selected for climate chamber measurement. By the quantitative climate chamber measurements of the emissions from the 5 products, 25 different chemical compounds were quantified. The emission from the individual products is stated in Table 17. The concentrations of the compounds, CAS no. and their classification is stated in Appendices D and E.

The most important compounds are distributed in the products examined as stated in tables 22-26 in paragraph 6.1.

TABLE 17 DISTRIBUTION OF THE EMITTED COMPOUNDS IN CLIMATE CHAMBER

SPECIMEN NO.	WOOD SPECIES	BOTANICAL NAME	EMITTED COMPOUNDS
1	Rubber tree	<i>Hevea brasiliensis</i>	Formaldehyde, Acetaldehyde, Acrolein, Propanal, Hexanal, Nonanal, Decanal, MEK (2-butanon), Acetone, 2-Methyl-1-propanol, Butane, 3-Methylhexane, Methylcyclohexane, Toluene, Xylenes/ethylbenzene, Butylacetate, 2-Butoxyethanol
2	Ramin	<i>Gonystylus bankanus</i>	Formaldehyde, Acetaldehyde, Butanal, Hexanal, Nonanal, Decanal, MEK (2-butanon), Acetone, 2-Methyl-1-propanol, Butane, 3-Methylhexane, 1,2-butandiol
3	Sheesham	<i>Dalbergia latifolia</i>	Formaldehyde, Acetaldehyde, Propoanal, Butanal, Hexanal, Acetone, 2-Methyl-1-propanol, Butane, 1-Methoxy-2-butane, 3-Methylhexane, Methylcyclohexane, Toluene, Butylacetate, α -

			pinene
6	Merbau	<i>Intsia bijuga</i>	Formaldehyde, Acetaldehyde, Propanal, Pentanal, Hexanal, Benzaldehyde, Decanal, MEK (2-butanon), Acetone, 2-Methyl-1-propanol, Butane, 2-Ethyl-1-hexanol, 3-Methylhexane, Butylacetate, Tridekan
8	Iroko	<i>Chlorophora excelsa</i>	Formaldehyde, Acetaldehyde, Hexanal, MEK (2-butanon), Acetone, 3-Methylhexane, α -pinene

Except for iroko, specimen no. 8, all specimens are surface treated with wax, stain, oil or lacquer.

Very low indoor-relevant concentrations were found for ramin, sheesham, merbau and iroko. The emissions origin primarily from the surface treatment and include mainly alcohols and glycolethers and –esters. For iroko, which was not surface treated, the emission origins from the wood itself.

The emissions from rubber tree origins primarily from the lacquer and include mainly aldehydes, aromatic hydrocarbons, alcohols and glycolethers and –esters.

5.3 DETERMINATION OF CONTENT OF NATURAL RUBBER LATEX ALLERGENS IN RUBBER TREE

Analysis of the content of natural rubber latex allergens in three specimens sampled from three different staves of the tabletop of test specimen no. 1 was carried out. The specimens were sampled in the wood itself, i.e. without surface treatment. Analysis was carried out for the proteins Hev b 1, Hev b 3, Hev b 5 and Hev b 6.02.

No content of proteins was traced in the three samples.

5.4 DETERMINATION OF CONTENT OF FUNGICIDE IN RUBBER TREE

5.4.1.1 Organic Components

Results of analyses for content of organic active ingredients: Tebuconazole, propiconazole, tolylfluanid, dichlofluanid, IPBC (3-iodo-2-propynyl-butyl-carbamate) and pentachlorophenol are shown in Table 18.

For none of the compounds concentrations above the detection limit could be demonstrated

TABLE 18 CONTENT OF ORGANIC COMPONENTS

COMPONENT	CAS NO.	CONTENT [$\mu\text{g/g}$]
IPBC	55406-53-6	<0.5
Tolylfluanid	731-27-1	<0.5
Tebuconazole	80443-41-0	<0.35
Propiconazole	60207-90-1	<0.35
Dichlofluanid	1085-98-9	<0.5
Pentachlorophenol	87-86-5	<0.1

5.4.1.2 Elements

Results of analyses for content of inorganic components are stated in Table 19. Content of boron, manganese and zinc were traced in the sample. The content of copper varies greatly, which indicates inhomogeneity of the test material. The copper may not origin from the wood itself, but has been added as pollution during the pulverisation of the test material.

The high content of boron indicates that the rubber tree has been treated with a boron fungicide.

TABLE 19 CONTENT OF ELEMENTS

COMPONENT	CONTENT [MG/KG]	COMPONENT	CONTENT [MG/KG]
Silver (Ag)	<0.5	Manganese (Mn)	21.3 ± 0.6
Arsenic (As)	<0.5	Nickel (Ni)	<0.5
Boron (B)	801 ± 7	Lead (Pb)	1.25 ± 0.15
Bismuth (Bi)	<0.5	Antimony (Sb)	<0.5
Cadmium (Cd)	0.128 ± 0.002	Selenium (Se)	<0.5
Cobalt (Co)	<0.5	Tin (Sn)	<0.5
Chromium (Cr)	<0.5	Thallium (Tl)	<0.05
Copper (Cu)	2.7; 9.9	Vanadium (V)	<0.5
Quicksilver (Hg)	<0.05	Zinc (Zn)	15.1 ± 1.3

5.5 ANALYSIS FOR MIGRATION INTO ARTIFICIAL SALIVA

Results of analysis for migration into artificial saliva from lacquered tabletop made of rubber tree (*Hevea brasiliensis*) and ink treated figure made of belalu (*Albiz(z)ia falcata*) are stated in Tables 20 and 21. A complete list of the compounds found appears from Appendix F.

TABLE 20 COMPOUNDS MIGRATED FROM LACQUERED TABLETOP (SPECIMEN NO. 1)

COMPOUND	CAS NO.	CONCENTRATION [µG/G]
2-butoxy-ethanol	111-76-2	171
Hexanoic acid	142-62-1	3.1
2-(2-ethoxy)-ethanol	111-90-0	0.8
2-ethyl-1-hexanol	104-76-7	2.3
1-methyl-2-pyrrolidinon	872-50-4	4.0
Phtalat acid anhydride	85-44-9	52
n-capric acid	334-48-5	0.8
Vanillin (isovanillin)	121-33-5 (621-59-0)	3.0
Benzaldehyde	100-52-7	1.2
Phthalic acid monobutyl ester	131-70-4	11.3
Tricaprylin	538-23-8	3.3

TABLE 21 COMPOUNDS MIGRATED FROM INK TREATED FIGURE (SPECIMEN NO. 10)

COMPOUND	CAS NO.	CONCENTRATION [µG/G]
2-Butanon (MEK)	78-93-3	0.4
1-methoxy-2-propyl acetate	108-65-6	0.6
2-butoxy ethanol	111-76-2	5.5
Butyrolacton	96-48-0	0.2
Benzaldehyde	100-52-7	0.1
2-ethyl-1-hexanol	104-76-7	0.9
1-methyl-2-pyrrolidinon	872-50-4	41
2-phenoxy-ethanol	122-99-6	3.1
2-(2-butoxyethoxy)-ethanol acetate	124-17-4	1.3
Vanillin (isovanillin)	121-33-5 (621-59-0)	0.7
Diethyl phtalate	84-66-2	0.6
2,3,5-trimethoxy-benzaldehyde	86-81-7	0.6
4-hydroxy-3,5-dimethoxy benzaldehyde	134-96-3	1.7
N-butyl-benzenesulfonamid	3622-84-2	1.7

5.6 RESULTS FROM LITERATURE SURVEY

Due to differences in the immunological mechanisms, the allergic reactions have been evaluated for asthma and urticaria respectively (IgE-antibody-mediated), allergy and for contact eczema (cell mediated allergy) separately.

5.6.1 Allergic Respiratory Symptoms and Allergic General Reactions

5.6.1.1 *Hevea Brasiliensis*, Rubber tree

In the literature there is no information available about allergic respiratory symptoms caused by exposure to wood dust from *Hevea brasiliensis*.

There are no publications on allergic reactions caused by e.g. food, which has been in contact with items made of *Hevea brasiliensis*.

Latex from *Hevea brasiliensis* contains numerous allergen proteins, there is no information about the incidence of latex allergens in wood or wood dust.

The allergen proteins have been characterised and the major-allergens were designated Hev b 1, Hev b 3, Hev b 5, and Hev b 6.02. Between 3 and 17% of the public health staff are estimated to be sensitised that means that they could develop allergic reactions by exposure to allergen proteins from the latex sap (Turjanmaa et al., 2002).

At analysis of wood from *Hevea brasiliensis* no allergen proteins could be demonstrated.

5.6.1.2 *Chlorophora Excelsa*, Iroko

Synonyms: Kambala, African teak, moreira, moule morus excelsa, swamp mahogany, rock elm

Numerous publications on respiratory symptoms, incl. allergic alveolitis exist. Potential allergen caused by allergy asthma has, however, not been identified (see paragraph 3.1).

Hausen (1981) lists 6 references, in which iroko has been described as cause of lung symptoms, may be on irritative basis, may be on allergic basis. Iroko has been stated as cause of allergic alveolitis. De Zotti (1996) has in an examination of 7 patients stated iroko as one among more cause of asthma. Azofra and Olaguibel (1989) describe a patient occupational asthma, but without documentation that allergic mechanisms are involved.

5.6.1.3 *Dalbergia Latifolia*, Sheesham

Synonyms: Indian rosewood, East Indian rosewood, Bombay blackwood, palissandre d'Asie, Asian rosewood.

Dalbergia is a large group of wood species coming from all over the world. Many different species have been described, which can often be difficult to separate. In addition, pao ferro (*Machaerium scleroxylum*) can be difficult to distinguish from dalbergia-species.

No asthma-symptoms or rhinitis seem to be described. Incidence of urticaria and Quincke-oedema quoted by e.g. Woods (1976) can be an indication of allergic reaction of type 1 caused by air borne allergens of protein character (see paragraph 3.1), there are, however, no recent descriptions of it and potential allergen has not been identified.

5.6.1.4 *Gonystylus Bankanus*, Ramin

Synonyms: Malawis, melawis

Hausen (1981) describes in 3 reports asthma, caused by ramin, which may be allergically caused (e.g. Howie et al., 1976). In excess a case of Hinojosa et al. (1986) is

described, in which an antibody-mediated reaction seems to exist. Hausen (1981) states ramin to cause allergic alveolitis. There is, however, no published information about the identification of allergen protein in the wood dust from ramin, even though the incidence of allergic lung symptoms and contact urticaria could indicate this.

5.6.1.5 *Intsia Bijuga*, *Merbau*

Not mentioned by Hausen (1981) or Mitchell (1979).

5.6.2 Allergic Skin Symptoms

5.6.2.1 *Hevea Brasiliensis*, *Rubber tree*

There were no published information in the screened literature about contact allergic eczema or contact urticaria (see paragraph 3.1) to the wood of *Hevea brasiliensis*, as no contact allergens in the wood was described. Natural rubber latex products made of the sap have on the contrary caused contact eczema (Sommer et al., 2002).

In some of these cases it was an undeclared content of natural rubber latex sap of the well-known allergen accelerators etc., but allergy towards the latex sap has also been described without such hidden amounts of accelerators have been demonstrated. It is possible that real contact allergens may occur in the sap as known from other species of plants e.g. sesquiterpenes.

In most of the cases, in which contact allergy has been demonstrated towards the latex-sap, it was an IgE-mediated allergy towards natural rubber latex proteins (see above), and it was symptoms in an "intermediate phase" between type-1 and type-4 allergy, designated "protein-contact dermatitis" (Janssens et al., 1995).

In an article from 2003 the use of Glycidyl-methacrylate is described to change the timber to increase its strength (Devi et al., 2003). Glycidyl-methacrylate is as other derivatives of methacrylate described as allergen (Lepoittevin and LeCoz, 2000). It is unknown, whether the described method has been used in practice.

5.6.2.2 *Chlorophora Excelsa*, *Iroko*

Synonyms: Kambala, African teak, moreira, moule, morus excelsa, swamp mahogany, rock elm.

The wood contains chlorophorin, which has shown to be a moderately strong allergen (Hausen, 1981). The allergen consists of two components, maybe isomers and only one of them is allergen. Contact urticaria has not been described.

Mitchell (1979) refers to an epidemic in Breslau in 1910 in persons, who processed the wood. In excess, Mitchell has listed 20 later publications on sensitisation. In newer literature there are more case descriptions (Hinnen et al., 1995; Stingeni et al., 1998).

5.6.2.3 *Dalbergia Latifolia*, *Sheesham*

In *Dalbergia* species more contact allergens are isolated, from strong to weaker allergens. Ranked in order of degressive allergenicity (Hausen, 1981): R-3,4-dimethoxydalbergion, R- and S-4-methoxydalbergion, S-4,4-dimethoxydalbergion, S-4'-hydroxy-4-methoxydalbergion.

Thus *Dalbergia latifolia* contains semi-strong R- and S-4-methoxydalbergion and 1,4 quinone latinon (Hausen, 1981), and there are numerous publications on

allergic contact eczema. Woods (1976) cites publication, in which *Dalbergia latifolia* is described as the cause of urticaria in cabinetmakers.

The wood has especially been used for musical instruments, and cases have been described of contact eczema towards the chin support of violins, the mouthpiece of flutes and handles of a knife (Hausen, 1981; Mitchell, 1979). Woods (1976) refers to a case of air borne contact eczema in a person, who lived next to a factory that processed *Dalbergia latifolia*. Other cases of contact eczema have likewise been described about workers processing the wood (Gallo et al., 1996).

Dalbergia nigra is described as the cause of UPPE, a very aggressive, but also of rare occurrence skin reaction probably based on allergy. There is no information that *Dalbergia latifolia* could cause a corresponding reaction. There is no information that *Dalbergia laifolia* could cause a corresponding reaction.

5.6.2.4 *Gonystylus Bankanus*, *Ramin*

Synonyms: Malawis, melawis

Both allergic contact eczema and unusually strong skin irritation have been described. Thus Mitchell (1979) and Hausen (1981) describe that the wood contains some very sharp fibres that can cause irritative inconvenience, in case that they are not removed. These fibres can also cause eye irritation. Reference is only made to isolated cases of contact urticaria, which may also be caused by the irritating sharp fibres.

Two references both report a case of air borne allergic contact eczema (Beck and Roberts, 1982; Bruynzeel and Dehaan, 1987). Hausen (1981) describes a case of eczema, in which the allergen is not safely identified, it states, however, that it may be 2,6 dimethoxy-1,4-benzoquinon. Bruynzeel and Dehaan refuse that this compound could be the cause.

Ramin is in excess mentioned to cause urticaria and eczema by both Mitchell (1979), Hausen (1981) and Woods (1976).

5.6.2.5 *Intsia Bijuga*, *Merbau*

Neither Hausen (1981) nor Mitchell (1979) mentions the wood species.

6 Assessment of Emissions

The assessment of potential comfort and health effects from emissions from compounds from products made of exotic wood comprises exposure to inhalation, contact and migration into artificial saliva. The assessment is based on toxicological principles and data from the literature. At the assessment the basis is a typical scenario from the home.

The impact of exotic wood products to the indoor air was assessed by: A sum of concentrations in the indoor environment, c , divided by “the lowest concentration of interest” (LCI) and an indoor-relevant time-value based on odour and irritation thresholds, see the definition in paragraph 4.7.1.

The assessments of the wood products examined appear from Tables 22-26 and from the summary in Table 27. Results in detail for the quantified individual compounds appear from Appendix C.

6.1 RESULTS

6.1.1 Compounds Emitted to the Air

TABLE 22 LACQUERED DINING TABLE, RUBBER TREE (*HEVEA BRASILIENSIS*)

Essential individual compounds			Comfort and health assessment					Indoor-relevant time-value [days]
Type of compound	Name of compound	CAS no.	Critical type of effect	LCI [$\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$]	c/LCI 3 days	c/LCI 10 days	c/LCI 28 days	
Aldehydes	Formaldehyde	50-00-0	Irritation	100	0,58	0,42	0,33	< 3 days on basis of irritation
	Acrolein	107-02-8	Irritation	3	nd	0,67	nd	
Alcohols	2-Methyl-1-propanol	78-83-1	Neurotox	400	0,11	0,06	0,04	< 3 days on basis of odour
	Butane	71-36-3	Irritation	200	0,01	<0,01	<0,01	< 3 days on basis of odour
Hydrocarbons Aromatic	Toluene	108-88-3	Neurotox	400	0,19	0,12	0,07	< 3 days on basis of odour
	Xylenessethylbenzene		Irritation	500	0,14	0,07	0,04	
Glycols	2-Butoxyethanol	111-76-2	Irritation	490	0,11	0,04	0,03	> 28 days on basis of odour
Ketones	Acetone	67-64-1	Irritation	400	0,03	0,03	0,04	< 3 days on basis of odour
Summary: S-values ($\sum c/\text{LCI}$) and indoor-relevant time-value					1,2	1,4	0,6	> 28 days on basis of odour

TABLE 23 STAINED VENETIAN BLIND, RAMIN (*GONYSTYLUS BANKANUS*)

Essential individual compounds			Comfort and health assessment					Indoor-relevant time-value [days]
Type of compound	Name of compound	CAS no.	Critical type of effect	LCI [$\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$]	c/LCI 3 days	c/LCI 10 days	c/LCI 28 days	
Aldehydes	Formaldehyde	50-00-0	Irritation	100	<0,01	0,03	0,02	< 3 days on basis of irritation
Alcohol's	2-Methyl-1-propanol	78-83-1	Neurotox	400	0,01	0,01	<0,01	< 3 days on basis of odour
	Butane	71-36-3	Irritation	200	0,18	0,13	0,09	< 3 days on basis of odour

Hydrocarbons Aliphatic	3-Methylhexane	589-34-4	Neurotox	250	<0,01	<0,01	0,02	
Ketones	Acetone	67-64-1	Irritation	400	<0,01	0,05	0,03	< 3 days on basis of odour
Summary: S-values ($\Sigma c/LCI$) and indoor-relevant time-value					0,2	0,2	0,2	< 3 days on basis of odour

TABLE 24 WAXED BED TABLE, SHEESHAM (*DALBERGIA LATIFOLIA*)

ESSENTIAL INDIVIDUAL COMPOUNDS			COMFORT AND HEALTH ASSESSMENT					INDOOR-RELEVANT TIME-VALUE [DAYS]
TYPE OF COM-POUND	NAME OF COMPOUND	CAS NO.	CRITICAL TYPE OF EFFECT	LCI [$\mu\text{G}/\text{M}^3$]	c/LCI 3 DAYS	c/LCI 10 DAYS	c/LCI 28 DAYS	
Aldehydes	Formaldehyde	.	Irritation	100	0,05	0,04	0,04	< 3 days on basis of irritation
Alcohols	Butane	71-36-3	Irritation	200	0,08	0,06	0,05	< 3 days on basis of odour
Hydrocarbons Aliphatic	3-Methylhexane	589-34-4	Neurotox	250	<0,01	0,01	0,02	
Hydrocarbons Aromatic	Toluene	108-88-3	Neurotox	400	0,01	0,01	0,02	< 3 days on basis of odour
Ketones	Acetone	67-64-1	Irritation	400	0,01	0,03	0,02	< 3 days on basis of odour
Summary: S-values ($\Sigma c/LCI$) and indoor-relevant time-value					0.2	0.2	0.2	< 3 days on basis of odour

TABLE 25 OILED FLOOR, MERBAU (*INTSIA BIJUGA*)

ESSENTIAL INDIVIDUAL COMPOUNDS			COMFORT AND HEALTH ASSESSMENT					INDOOR-RELEVANT TIME-VALUE [DAYS]
TYPE OF COM-POUND	NAME OF COMPOUND	CAS NO.	CRITICAL TYPE OF EFFECT	LCI [$\mu\text{G}/\text{M}^3$]	c/LCI 3 DAYS	c/LCI 10 DAYS	c/LCI 28 DAYS	
Aldehydes	Formaldehyde	50-00-0	Irritation	100	<0.01	<0.01	0.03	< 3 days on basis of irritation
Alcohols	2-Methyl-1-propanol	78-83-1	Neurotox	400	0.002	0.002	0.002	< 3 days on basis of odour
	Butane	71-36-3	Irritation	200	<0.01	<0.01	0.06	< 3 days on basis of odour
Hydrocarbons Aliphatic	3-Methylhexane	589-34-4	Neurotox	250	0.02	0.02	0.02	
Ketones	Acetone	67-64-1	Irritation	400	0.06	0.04	nd	< 3 days on basis of odour
Summary: S-values ($\Sigma c/LCI$) and indoor-relevant time-value					0.1	0,1	0.1	< 3 days on basis of odour

TABLE 26 KITCHEN TABLE TOP, IROKO (*CHLOROPHORA EXCELSA*)

ESSENTIAL INDIVIDUAL COMPOUNDS			COMFORT AND HEALTH ASSESSMENT					INDOOR-RELEVANT TIME-VALUE [DAYS]
TYPE OF COM-POUND	NAME OF COMPOUND	CAS NO.	CRITICAL TYPE OF EFFECT	LCI [$\mu\text{G}/\text{M}^3$]	c/LCI 3 DAYS	c/LCI 10 DAYS	c/LCI 28 DAYS	
Aldehydes	Formaldehyde	50-00-0	Irritation	100	0,06	0,04	0,04	< 3 days on basis of irritation
Hydrocarbons Aliphatic	3-Methylhexane	589-34-4	Neurotox	250	<0,01	<0,01	0,02	
Terpenes	α -pinene	80-56-8	Irritation	250	<0,01	0,02	<0,01	< 3 days on basis of odour
Ketones	Acetone	67-64-1	Irritation	400	<0,01	0,02	0,02	< 3 days on basis of odour
Summary: S-values ($\Sigma c/LCI$) and indoor-relevant time-value					0,1	0,1	0,1	< 3 days on basis of odour

TABLE 27 BREAKDOWN OF WOOD MATERIALS EXAMINED ON BASIS OF S-VALUE AND INDOOR-RELEVANT TIME-VALUE

CLASSIFICATION	PRODUCT	WOOD SPECIES	S-VALUE	INDOOR-RELEVANT TIME-
----------------	---------	--------------	---------	-----------------------

				VALUE [DAYS]
Medium-emitting material	Lacquered dining table	Rubber tree (Hevea brasiliensis)	0.6	> 28
Low-emitting material	Stained blind	Ramin (Gonystylus bankanus)	0.2	< 3 days
Low-emitting material	Waxed bed table	Sheesham (Dalbergia latifolia)	0.2	< 3 days
Low-emitting material	Oiled floor	Merbau (Intsia bijuga)	0.1	< 3 days
Low-emitting material	Kitchen table top	Iroko (Chlorophora excelsa)	0.1	< 3 days

6.2 ASSESSMENT OF EMISSIONS OF INDIVIDUAL COMPOUNDS

By the climate chamber measurements of the 5 examined wood species (with or without surface treatment) 7 individual compounds (iroko), 12 individual compounds (ramin), 14 individual compounds (sheesham), 15 individual compounds (merbau) and 17 individual compounds (rubber tree) were found in the emission. Totally 25 individual compounds were demonstrated by the climate chamber measurements. There were thus more individual compounds, which could be retrieved in more of the products. There were only very few compounds in the emissions from the examined wood species, in which it appeared that health effects of the compounds (cancer, allergy, congenital malformation and nervous system effects) had an effect in relation determination of the LCI-value.

For formaldehyde the effects cancer and irritation had an effect in relation to the total assessment of the emissions. The assessment is for formaldehyde based on recommendations from WHO and is less restrictively determined than all the other individual compounds.

Acetaldehyde has like formaldehyde two significant effects, cancer and irritation, where the irritative effect occurs at significantly higher concentrations than for formaldehyde. The risk of cancer is, furthermore, less documented.

Acrolein is assessed to have both an irritative and allergen effect.

For 2-methyl-1-propanol, 3-methylhexane and toluene the LCI-value is determined on basis of the neurotic effects of the individual compounds in question.

For the remainder individual compounds, the LCI-values are based on their irritative effects, see Table 22-26.

6.3 ASSESSMENT OF THE TOTAL EMISSIONS

The examined products were assessed health-wise by determination of the S-value on basis of LCI-values and on basis of comfort considerations by the indoor-relevant time-value. The comfort effects include odour and mucous membrane irritation.

The assessment of the examined exotic wood species and their potential surface treatment appear from the summaries in Tables 22-26. Values for emission have been measured after 3, 10 and 28 days.

The S-value appears for the individual products on basis of a loading of $0.4 \text{ m}^2/\text{m}^3$ corresponding to a wood-based material in a room corresponding to a floor or a table and 6 chairs.

The S-values vary for the 3-days measurement for the 5 products (iroko, ramin, sheesham, merbau and rubber tree) between 0.1 and 1.2, where rubber tree has the highest S-value. (An S-value below 1 is considered to be unproblematic). By the measurements on day 10 S-values were found, which for the 5 products varied between 0.1 and 1.4.

Rubber tree still had the highest S-value. The increase in S-value for rubber tree was based on identification of acrolein in the second sample (day 10) and not in the first (day 3). The result of the measurement is safe, it can, however, not be explained, why acrolein was not demonstrated by the first measurement. By measurements after 28 days the values had dropped further. At that time the S-values for all products - rubber tree, too – were below 1.

The stated measurements thus show a picture indicating that emission of individual compounds from the examined exotic wood species or their surface treatments only take place to a limited extent. None of the products will at the stated emission concentrations cause health effects.

Rubber tree is the only product examined which as an S-value, which in the measurement period exceeds 1. The individual compounds important in relation to the calculated S-values, are formaldehyde and acrolein. It is less probable that they are components of the rubber tree itself. There is every probability that they origin from the surface treatment.

The problems in question with the emission from the rubber tree could thus be solved by substituting the surface treatment or leave the product to emit unpacked 1 month before the customer brings it at home.

6.3.1 Compounds emitted by Migration of Artificial Saliva

The assessment of the 3 compounds occurring at the highest concentration in the two specimens and allergen compounds are listed in Tables 28 and 29. These compounds are in this case chosen on basis of an impression that it would give "worst case" scenario. No information has been found, which describes how much of a wood product a child can consume by sucking or chewing at it.

TABLE 28 CHEMICAL COMPOUNDS EMITTED BY MIGRATION INTO ARTIFICIAL SALIVA FROM A LACQUERED TABLE TOP, *HEVEA BRASILIENSIS*

COMPOUND	CAS NO.	CONCENTRATION [µG/G]	REMARKS
2-butoxy-ethanol	111-76-2	171	NOAEL= 30 mg/kg/day (corresponds to µg/g/day), safety factor, SF = 100 TDI = 0.3 mg/kg/day
Phthalic acid anhydride	85-44-9	52	Respiratory- and contact allergen. LOAEL= 1562 mg/kg/day SF = 1000 TDI = 1.5 mg/kg/day
Phthalic acid mono-butyl ester	131-70-4	11.3	TDLo = 400 mg/kg/day, SF = 1000 TDI = 0.4 mg/kg/day
Vanillin	121-33-5	3.0	Contact allergen

TABLE 29 CHEMICAL COMPOUNDS EMITTED BY MIGRATION INTO ARTIFICIAL SALIVA FROM AN INK TREATED FIGURE, *ALBIZIA FALCATA*

COMPOUND	CAS NO.	CONCENTRATION [µG/G]	REMARKS
2-butoxy ethanol	111-76-2	5.5	NOAEL= 30 mg/kg/day, SF = 100 TDI=0.3 mg/kg/day
1-methyl-2-pyrrolidinon	872-50-4	41	NOAEL = 300 mg/kg/day, SF = 100 TDI = 3 mg/kg/day
2-phenoxy-ethanol	122-99-6	3.1	TDLo = 3000 mg/kg/day, SF = 1000 TDI = 3 mg/kg/day

Vanillin	121-33-5	0.7	Contact allergen
----------	----------	-----	------------------

The determination of compounds migrated into artificial saliva was carried out to assess the intake of compounds, which possibly may occur by children sucking and biting at the products. The examination was carried out by migration to 25 ml artificial saliva, as described in paragraph 4.5.

In this context the LCI-values can not be used. In stead calculations of TDI-values have been carried out. TDI (Tolerable Daily Intake) states the amount of chemical pollution, which a human being daily can consume through an entire life without constituting a health risk and the value is determined on basis of the knowledge, which is available on the toxicological properties.

On basis of the toxicological examinations the highest dose is determined, which does not cause demonstrable harmful effects in the most sensible animal species (NOAEL). The safety factor, SF, is normally 100, but in the cases, where no NOAEL is available, but only LOAEL (the lowest dose, which can cause a demonstrable harmful effect) and TD₅₀ (the dose, which is toxic to 50% of a species) the factor has been determined at 1000 or higher. TDI has only been calculated for the 3 compounds occurring in the highest concentration in the 2 examined specimens.

As appears from the following calculation none of the compounds will occur in concentrations exceeding the TDI-value. In a worst case calculation it is estimated that a child weighing 10 kg, consume the entire amount of saliva from 1 gram specimen a day. For 2-butoxy-ethanol 171 µg/g specimen was found corresponding to 171 µg/10 kg body weight/day, which corresponds to 0.017 mg/kg/day. The TDI-value for 2-butoxy-ethanol is 0.3 mg/kg/day.

None of the compounds (in 1 gram test material), which occur in concentrations that exceed the calculated TDI-values, see Tables 28 and 29. On the contrary compounds occur in both the products, which are listed on the list of The Danish Working Environment Service for allergy and sensitivity producing compounds in the working environment, including vanillin and phthalic acid anhydride.

Generally, it is considered inappropriate that products emit allergy-producing compounds.

Furthermore, it is considered inappropriate that phthalates such phthalic acid monobutyl ester and diethylphthalat are emitted from the products.

The problem is, of course, of most importance, if the products are used to store food (salad bowls, chopping boards, kitchen table tops etc.) or if they are used for purposes, in which they will get into close and long contact with the skin e.g. musical instruments or trinkets.

In Denmark the use of phthalates in toys and articles for small children is limited to a maximum concentration of 0.05% (w/w). This can be used as a restrictive comparison, as infants hardly suck at exotic wood articles to the same extent as at toys.

The demonstrated concentrations of phthalates are significantly below 0.05%.

For products, which might get into contact with food, the accepted maximum concentration varies depending of the type of phthalate and is between 1 and 60 mg/kg, corresponding to 1-60 µg/g (Fabech, 2003). It must, therefore, recommended that exotic wood articles (or other articles) if used for storage or in contact with food are not surface treated with other compounds than those appearing from

the Positive List of the Danish Veterinary and Food Administration (BEK 111 af 20/2 03 om materialer og genstande bestemt til kontakt med fødevarer) (*Statutory Order no. 111 of 20/2 2003 on materials and articles for contact with food*).

6.4 HEALTH ASSESSMENT OF ELEMENTS

An overall health assessment of the demonstrated elements has been carried out. Compounds, the concentration of which is below the detection limit, have not been assessed. The assessments have been carried out as ingestion assessments, which is a restrictive method, as provisions thus are made for the use of the products i.e. food e.g. a salad bowl.

At assessment the monographs EHC (Environmental Health Criteria) and JECFA (Joint Expert Committee on Food Additives) (www.inchem.org). The different values for acceptable or tolerable intake is not directly comparable, as some have been determined as provisional or as maximum values, while others are not.

Boron (B): 801 ± 7 mg/kg: The estimated daily intake from food is 1.2 mg, from drinking water 0.2-0.6 mg/litre. The tolerable daily intake is 0.4 mg/kg body weight.

Cadmium (Cd): $0,128 \pm 0,002$ mg/kg: The estimated daily intake from food is 0.001-0.004 mg. A provisionally tolerable weekly intake of 7 mg/kg body weight has been determined.

Copper (Cu): 2,7; 9,9 mg/kg: The estimated daily intake from food is 2-5 mg. A provisional maximum tolerable intake is 0.05-0.5 mg/kg body weight.

Manganese (Mn): 21.3 ± 0.6 mg/kg: The estimated daily intake from food 2-9 mg, 10-50 microgram/day from drinking water 1-10 mg/day is considered to be acceptable.

Lead (Pb): 1.25 ± 0.15 mg/kg: A provisional tolerable weekly intake of 50 mg/kg body weight has been laid down.

Zinc (Zn): 15.1 ± 1.3 2 mg/kg: The estimated daily intake from the food is 8,8-14,4 mg. A tolerable intake of 0.3-1 mg/kg body weight has been laid down.

The only compound exceeding the limits for tolerable daily intake is boron. Taking the content into consideration it would be critical to use *Hevea brasiliensis* without surface treatment, if the wood has been treated with a boron containing fungicide.

6.4.1 Assessment of Risk of Allergic Reactions

When processing wood the exposure to wood dust could cause irritative, unspecified reactions from both skin and respiratory system.

Among the 5 Selected wood species *ramin* must be considered to be more skin and airway irritating than the other four ones.

Allergic reactions in airways can be seen at exposure to *iroko* and *ramin*.

Allergic contact eczema can especially be seen in contact with *iroko* and *sheesham* and, presumably to a less extent to *ramin*.

Considering the fact that we have only one product of *Hevea brasiliensis*, products made of this material do not seem to present risk to persons, who are allergic to natural rubber latex.

7 Concluding Discussion

7.1 COMPOUNDS FOUND IN THE EMISSION

For the examined products made of exotic wood (with or without surface treatment) only minor amounts of chemical compounds were found in the emission at the climate chamber measurements.

Totally 25 individual compounds were demonstrated at the climate chamber measurements, out of which more of the individual compounds could be identified in more of the products. There is every probability that a part of these compounds origins from the surface treatments of the products in question.

For only very few compounds in the emissions from the wood species examined the health effects of the compounds (cancer, allergy, congenital malformation and nervous system effects and other effects) became of importance in relation to the determination of the LCI-value.

The examined products have been assessed in relation to health by determining the S-value on basis of LCI-values and on basis of comfort considerations of the indoor-relevant time-value.

The S-values vary the 3-days measurements for the 5 products (iroko, ramin, sheesham, merbau and rubber tree) between 0.1 and 1.2, where rubber tree has the highest S-value. By the measurements on day 10 S-values were found, which for the 5 products varied between 0.1 and 1.4. Rubber tree still had the highest S-value.

By the measurements on day 28 the values had dropped further. At that time the S-value for all products - rubber tree, too – was below 1 (An S-value below 1 is considered to be unproblematic).

The stated measurements thus show a picture indicating that emission of individual compounds from the examined exotic wood species or their surface treatments only to a very limited extend takes place. The obtained S-values and indoor-relevant time-values are based on a material loading, which for most of the products would be an absolute “worst case”. Nevertheless, none of the products would at the scenario stated imply health effects.

Rubber tree is the only examined product, which has an S-value, which during the measuring period exceeds 1. The individual compounds important in relation to the calculated S-value are formaldehyde and acrolein. It is less plausible that they are constituents of the rubber tree itself. There is on the contrary every probability that they origin from the surface treatment.

The results of the examination thereby shows that the examined untreated exotic wood species have the same low emission as the untreated wood species oak, beech, and ash, which were examined in Environmental Project no. 501 (The Danish Environmental Protection Agency, 1999).

The problems in question with emission from rubber tree could be solved by changing the surface treatment or by leaving the product to emit unpacked for 1 month, before release to the consumers.

The emission of chemical compounds from the products has been very low. An explanation to this could be that exotic wood species are imported from geographical area, in which the relative humidity frequently is much higher than is the case in Denmark. By a drying process a major part of the compounds is expected to emit. At the same time some of the surface treatments will contribute to encapsulate the compounds in the wood and thereby limit the emission.

7.2 COMPOUNDS FOUND BY MIGRATION INTO ARTIFICIAL SALIVA

None of the compounds found occur in concentrations that exceed the calculated TDI-values. In return compounds occur in both products, which are listed on the list of the Danish Working Environment Service for allergy and sensitising compounds including vanillin and phthalic anhydride.

It is generally assessed that it is inappropriate that allergen compounds from the products in question are emitted, it is also inappropriate that phthalates such as phthalic acid monobutyl ester and diethylphthalate are emitted from the products. The problem is of most importance in case the products are used for storage of food (salad bowls, chopping boards etc.) or if they are used for purposes, in which they get in close and long contact with the skin e.g. musical instruments or trinkets.

It is, therefore, generally suggested that exotic wood products (or other products), which have not been surface treated with other compounds than those appearing from the positive list of the Ministry of the Food, Agriculture and Fisheries, if they are used for storage of food or in close contact like e.g. chopping boards and kitchen table tops. At the same time it must be recommended that rubber tree is not used without surface treatment, if the wood has been treated with boron fungicides. It will most probably be hard to obtain complete information about the products, which at the place of origin have been used as fungicide.

It should be noted that the results presented in the report solely concern the products examined and they should only be considered as based on random samplings. The results obtained are, therefore, not representative for the respective wood species or for exotic wood in full.

7.3 RISK OF ALLERGIC REACTIONS

Processing of wood can imply exposure to wood dust, which may cause irritative, unspecified reactions from skin and airways.

Among the 5 examined wood species *ramin* should be considered to be more skin and respiratory irritation than the other 4. Allergic reactions in airways can be seen by exposure to *iroko* and *ramin*. Allergic contact eczema can especially be seen from *iroko* and *sheesham*, and, probably to a less extent from *ramin*.

Considering the fact that we have only examined one product made of rubber tree, products made of this type do not seem to present a risk to persons, which are allergic to natural rubber latex.

7.4 FUTURE EXAMINATIONS

In this project 10 Selected products have been examined for the emission of chemical compounds, out of which only 5 have been subjected to a quantitative analysis of the emission in climate chamber. The project, therefore, only gives limited information about the emission of chemical compounds from consumer products made of exotic wood.

The project, however, demonstrated that it on basis of the examined wood species/products could be interesting to carry out further examinations of products made of rubber tree (*Hevea brasiliensis*) – especially when the wood species is used for toys or products getting in contact with food. This requirement is based on the results of the project concerning compounds found in the wood itself and compounds emitted from the surface by migration.

A lot of exotic wood species exist, which have not been treated in this project and which widely are used in the residences. We find that it could be interesting to analyse these wood species in a similar project.

By future analyses it is essential to test both untreated wood and wood with a surface treatment.

8 References

- Andersen, Eddy (2003) Asian House. Personal correspondence
- ASTM D5712, Standard Test Method for Analysis of Protein in Natural Rubber Latex and its Products. American Society for Testing and Materials
- Azofra J and Olaguibel JM (1989) *Allergy*; 44:156-8
- Beck MH and Roberts MM (1982) A case of ramin wood sensitivity. *Contact Dermatitis*; 12: 74-75
- Bendtsen, Hanne V. (2003) HTH Køkkener A/S. Personal correspondence
- Bendtsen K, Marker O, Svehag SE, Svejgaard A, Kilian M (2000) Basal og klinisk immunologi, 3.udg. FADL
- Bruynzeel DP and Dehaan P (1987) Sensitivity to ramin wood. *Contact Dermatitis*; 17:318-9
- CEN ENV 13419-1 (2001) Building Products. Determination of the emission of volatile organic compounds. Part 1: Emission test chamber method. European Committee for Standardisation
- Clayton GD, Clayton FE (1994) *Patty's Industrial Hygiene and Toxicology*. New York, Chichester, Brisbane, Toronto, Singapore
- Conde-Salazar L, Diez AG, Rafeensperger F, Hausen BM (1980) Contact allergy to the Brazilian rosewood substitute *Machaerium scleroxylon* Tul. (Pao Ferro). *Contact Dermatitis*; 6:246-50
- Danmarks Statistik (2002) Statistikbanken
- Danish Society of Indoor Climate (2003) Standard Test Method for Determination of the Indoor-Relevant Time-Value by Chemical Analysis and Sensory Evaluation, 2nd Ed.
- De Corres LF (1984) Contact dermatitis from *Frullania*, *Compositae* and other plants. *Contact Dermatitis*; 11:74-79
- Devi RR, Ali , Maji TK (2003) Chemical modification of rubber tree with styrene in combination with a crosslinker. Effect on dimensional stability and strength property. *Bioresour Technol*; 88:185-8
- DeZotti R, Gubian F (1996) Asthma and rhinitis in wooding workers. *Allergy Asthma Proc.*; 17:199-203
- DS/INF 90 (1994) Anvisning for bestemmelse and vurdering af afgasning fra byggevarer. Dansk Standard, København
- Enarson DA, Chan-Yeung M (1990) Characterization of health effects of wood dust exposures. *Am J Ind Med*; 17: 33-8

Estlander, T, Jolanki R, Alanko K, Kanerva L (2001) Occupational allergic contact dermatitis caused by wood dust. *Contact Dermatitis*; 44:213-7

Fabech, Bente (2003) Fødevaredirektoratet. Personal correspondence

FIT Biotech. FITkit™ – The first specific tests for identifying and quantifying individual NRL allergens. FIT Biotech Oy Plc, Tampere, Finland

FIT Biotech. FITkit™ Hev b 5. CAT 350-040. FIT Biotech Oy Plc, Tampere, Finland

Frederiksen, Torben Friis (2003) Inbodan A/S. Personal correspondence

Gallo R, Guarrera M, Hausen BM (1996) Airborne contact dermatitis from East Indian Rosewood (*Dalbergia latifolia* Roxb.)

Goh CL (1992) Noneczematous Contact reactions. In Rycroft RJG, Menné T, Frosch PJ, Benezra C. (eds). *Textbook of Contact dermatitis*. Springer-Verlag, 222-226

Gottlieb, Anders (2003) Junckers Industrier A/S. Personal correspondence

Halpin DMG, Graneek BJ, Turner-Warwick M and Newman Taylor AJ (1994) Extrinsic allergic alveolitis and asthma in a sawmill worker: Case report and review of the literature. *Occ Environ Med*; 51: 160-64

Hausen BM (1981) *Woods Injurious to human health. A manual*. Walther the Gruyter. Berlin

Hausen BM (1982) Incidence and significance of toxic and allergic contact dermatitis caused by *Machaerium scleroxylum* Tul (Pao ferro – a substitute for palisander (*Dalbergia nigra* All.) *Hautarzt*; 33:321-8 (abstr)

Hausen BM (2000) Woods. In: Kanerva L, Elsner P, Wahlberg JE, Maibach HI. *Handbook of occupational dermatology* 771-81. Springer Verlag, Berlin

Hinnen U, Willa-Craps C, Elsner P (1995) Allergic contact dermatitis from iroko and pine wood dust. *Contact Dermatitis*; 33:428

Hinojosa M, Losada E, Moneo I, Dominguez J, Carillo T, Sanchez-Cano M (1986) Occupational asthma caused by African maple (Obeche) and Ramin: evidence of cross reactivity between these two woods. *Clin allergy*; 16; 2:145-53

Howie AD, Boyd G, Moran F (1976) Pulmonary hypersensitivity to ramin (*Gonystylus bancanus*) *Thorax*; 31:585-7

IKEA A/S (2003) IKEA katalog 2003

Indo Art Aps (2003) Personal correspondence

IQI, International Quality Import (2003) Personal correspondence

IRPTC (1995) SIDS Document for 1,2-Butylene glycol.
<http://www.chem.unep.ch/irptc/sids/volume1/584-03-2.pdf>

ISO/DIS 16000-6.2 (2002) Indoor air – Part 6: Determination of volatile organic compounds in indoor and chamber air by active sampling on TENAX TA sorbent, thermal desorption and gas chromatography using MSD/FID. International Organization for Standardization

Janssens V, Morren M, Dooms-Goossens A, Degreef H (1995) Protein Contact Dermatitis. Myth or reality?. Br. J. Dermatol.; 132:1-6

Jensen LK, Larsenic A, Mølhave L, Hansen MK, and Knudsen B (2001) Health evaluation of volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions from wood and wood based materials. Arch.Environm.Health, 56: 419-432

Jensen, Michael (2003) Faxe Design A/S. Personal correspondence

Johansen, Millie (2003) BIVA Møbler Odense A/S. Personal correspondence

Johnsson M, Buhagen M, Leira HL, Solvang S (1983) Fungicide-induced contact dermatitis. Contact Dermatitis; 9:285-8

Konge, Lars (2003) Rustic Møbler A/S. Personal correspondence

Kopp, Kasper (2003) KoppWood Aps. Personal correspondence

Krant J, Cohen D (2000) Contact dermatitis in cabinet makers. In Kanerva L, Elsner P, Wahlberg JE, Maibach HI. Handbook of occupational dermatology 854 - 61. Springer Verlag, Berlin

Lepoittevin J-P, LeCoz C (1992) Dictionary of Occupational allergens. In: Rycroft RJG, Menné T, Frosch PJ, Benezra C (eds). Textbook of Contact dermatitis. Springer Verlag, 1186

Liden C (1990) Facial dermatitis caused by chlorthalonil in a paint. Contact Dermatitis; 22:206-11

Lorentzen, Hanne (2003) Ejnar Debel A/S. Personal correspondence

Lovell CR (1993) Plants and the skin. Blackwell Scientific Publications Oxford

The Danish Environmental Protection Agency (1990) Begrænsning af luftforurening fra virksomheder. Vejledning fra Miljøstyrelsen nr. 6 (*Limitation of air pollution from companies. Guideline from the Danish Environmental Protection Agency no. 6*)

The Danish Environmental Protection Agency (1996) B-values. Orientering fra the Miljøstyrelsen nr. 15 (*B-values. Information from the Danish Environmental Protection Agency no. 15*).

The Danish Environmental Protection Agency (1999) Emission of volatile organic compounds from wood, wood-based material, furniture and furnishings. Environmental report no. 501

The Danish Environmental Protection Agency (2003) Tropisk træ – Miljøvejledning (Tropical wood – Environmental guideline)

- Mitchell JC, Rook A (1976) Dermatitis from plants: the importance of botanical names. *Contact Dermatitis*; 2:56-7
- Mitchell JC, Rook A (1979) *Botanical Dermatology*. Greengrass, Vancouver
- Morsing, Niels (2003) *Viden om Træ – Exotic wood species er*. Danish Technological Institute Timber
- Møller, Anne (2003) Tarkett Sommer A/S. Personal correspondence
- Nielsen GD, Hansen LF, Wolkoff P (1997) Chemical and biological evaluation of building material emissions. II. Approaches for setting in door air standards or guidelines for chemicals. *Indoor Air*, 7:17-32
- NIF (1998) *Nordic Wood 2*. Part report no. 1. Literature review: The suitability of materials used in the food industry, involving direct or indirect contact with food products. Project P 98076, Nordic Industrial Fund
- Palosuo T, Alenius H, Turjanmaa K (2002) Quantitation of allergens. *Methods*, 27:52-58
- Rubæk, Sanne (2003) Trip Trap Denmark A/S. Personal correspondence
- Schaper M (1993) Development of a Database for Sensory Irritants and Its Use in Establishing Occupational Exposure Limits. *Am.Ind.Hyg.Assoc.J.*, 54 (9):488-544
- Schlünssen V, Schaumburg I, Taudorf E, Mikkelsen AB, Sigsgaard T (2002) Respiratory symptoms and lung function among Danish woodworkers. *J Occup Envir Med*; 44:82-98
- Seifert SA, VonEssen S, Jacobitz K, Crouch R, Lintner CP (2003) Organic dust toxic syndrome: a review. *J Toxicol Clin Toxicol.*; 41:185-93
- Sommer S, Wilkinson SM, Beck MH, English JS, Gawkrödger DJ, Goh C (2002) Type IV hypersensitivity reactions to natural rubber latex. Results of a multicentre study. *Br. J Dermatol*; 146:114-7
- Stingeni L, Mariotti M, Lisi P (1998) Airborne allergic contact dermatitis from iroko (*Chlorophora excelsa*) *Contact Dermatitis*; 38:287
- Stoke JCJ (1979) Captafol dermatitis in the timber industry. *Contact Dermatitis*; 5:284-92
- Straarup, Christian (2002) *Danmarks Tømmermænd*. Nepenthes
- Danish Technological Institute (2003) *Timber Update*
- Turjanmaa K, Alenius H, Reunala T, Palosuo T (2002) Recent developments in latex-allergy. *Current Opinion in Allergy and Clinical Immunology*; 2:407-12
- Venås, Thomas (2003) Danish Technological Institute. Personal correspondence
- WHO (1997) *Food Additives Series 32*.
<http://www.inchem.org/documents/jecfa/jecmono/v32je04.htm>
- Wilkinson DS (1979) Timber preservatives. *Contact Dermatitis*; 5:278-9

- Wills JH (1982) Nasal carcinoma in wood workers. *J Occupat. Med*; 24:526-30
- Wolkoff P, Clausen PA, Jensen B, Nielsen PA, and Wilkins CK (1997) Are we measuring the relevant indoor pollutants? *Indoor Air*, 7:92-106
- Woods B, Calnan CD (1976) Toxic woods. *Br J Dermatol*; 95: suppl 13, 1-97

1 Tropical Wood Species

Lists of wood species, which Statistics Denmark includes as "tropical wood species" in the Combined Nomenclature.

The positions 4403 41 – 4403 49, 4407 24 – 4407 29, 4408 31 – 4408 39 and 4412 13 – 4412 99 (Wood, unprocessed or debarked; wood, sawn or squared longitudinally; panels for veneering; plywood):

abura, African Mahogany, afrormosia, ako, alan, andiroba, aningré, avodiré, azobe, balau, balsa, bossé chair, bossé foncé, cativo, cedro, dabema, dark red meranti, dibetoú, doussie, framiré, freijo, fromager, fuma, geronggang, ilomba, imbuia, ipé, iroko, jaboty, jelutong, jequitiba, jongkong, kapur, kempas, keruing, kosisipo, kotibé, koto, pink meranti, limba, louro, massaranduba, Mahogany, makoré, mandioqueira, mansonina, mengkulang, meranti bakau, merawan, merbau, merauh, mersawa, moabi, niangon, nyatoh, obeche, okumé, onzabili, orey, ovengkol, ozigo, padauk, paldao, guatemalansk palisander, para-palisander, Brazil rosewood, rosewood, pau amarelo, pau marfim, pulai, punah, quaruba, ramin, sapelli, saqui-saqui, sepetir, sipo, sucupira, suren, tauari, teak, tiama, tola, virola, white lauan, white meranti, white sereya, yellow meranti.

The positions 4414 00 10, 4418 10 10, 4418 20 10, 4419 00 10, 4420 10 11 and 4420 90 91 (Wood frames for paintings, photos and the like; windows, glass door and frames for glass doors; door step; dinner service and kitchen fittings; statuettes and other decoration articles; other articles):

African Mahogany, alan, azobé, balsa, dibetoú, ilomba, imbuia, iroko, jelutong, jongkong, kapur, kempas, keruing, limba, pink meranti, Mahogany (*Swietenia* species), makoré, mansonina, meranti bakau, merbau, dark red meranti, obeche, okumé, para-palisander, Brazil rosewood, rosewood, ramin, sapelli, sipo, teak, tiama, white lauan, white meranti, white sereya, yellow meranti, virola.

1 Description of Wood Species

In the appendix the distribution, the appearance and structure of the wood and the application of the 10 selected wood species in this project are given.

1.1 RUBBER TREE, *HEVEA BRASILIENSIS*

1.1.1 Distribution

The natural habitat of rubber tree is the Amazon region in South America. There are 12 species of *Hevea*. Out of these only *H. Brasiliensis* has been grown to extract natural rubber (latex). The species has to a large extent been planted in other tropical regions including West Africa and Southeast Asia, as the latex production is unprofitable in the Amazon due to a plant disease, which makes it impossible to grow rubber tree – except for scattered growths. Especially, Malaysia has very large rubber estates. The occurrence of synthetic rubber has resulted in the fact that large areas of *H. Brasiliensis* have been felled and converted into other crops, and thus a lot of rubber tree has been placed on the market. Likewise growths of rubber productions are clear-cut when they become unprofitable.

1.1.2 The Appearance and Texture of the Wood

The heartwood is not distinct from the sapwood in appearance. The colour is whitish to light yellow at sawing, but turns into a pale cream tone often with a cast of pink. A brownish discoloration may turn up due to an enzymatic oxidation of certain phenols in the wood. The grain is usually straight and the texture is moderately coarse but even. The wood has a distinct sour smell. The texture of the wood with large open tracheal cells reminds of that of ash.

1.1.3 Application

The good working properties have made rubber tree popular in the furniture industry. Both solid wood and laminated components are used. The wood is also used for skirting and is adequately hard as flooring. Plywood, paper pulp and particleboard are other important applications. Danish research has been a contributory cause to the fact that approx. 10 mio m³ raw timber is at disposal annually.

1.2 RAMIN, *GONYSTYLUS BANKANUS*

1.2.1 Distribution

Ramin grows in the tropical peat swamps, principally, in Malaysia and Sarawak. There are likewise incidence in Indonesia, Borneo, Sumatra and the Philippines.

1.2.2 The Appearance and Texture of the Wood

The sapwood is normally between 38 and 50 mm, but it is not visually distinct from the heartwood. The colour of both is pale straw, and there are no distinct differences what regards the appearance between the different types of wood in the log. This means that the wood is perceived as having a uniform texture. A wavy grain can often be seen and numerous large vessels, which give the surface light spots in the cross section and brown lines on the other surfaces. At felling there is an unpleasant odour from the wood, which disappears after the wood is seasoned and thus does not constitute a problem in later processes.

1.2.3 Application

Interior cabinet-making, mouldings, Venetian blinds, curtain rods, handles, broomsticks, veneer, flooring, furniture, wood carving works etc.

1.3 SHEESHAM, *DALBERGIA LATIFOLIA*

1.3.1 Distribution

East Indian rosewood is together with Brazilian rosewood the species, which out of approx. 15 rosewood species are most important to the industry and trade. East Indian rosewood occurs throughout India, but mostly in the southern parts and on Sri Lanka.

1.3.2 The Appearance and Texture of the Wood

The yellowish sapwood is narrow, while the heartwood ranges from rose to dark-brown with darker purple-black lines. The wood is more uniform in colour and lines than Brazilian rosewood, but with a more coarse texture, and it is also more aromatic. The grain is in principle straight, but a wavy interlocked grain may appear. Annual rings-like interlocked zones are visible.

1.3.3 Application

Due to the high density of the wood species, good strength properties, low loss of volume and long durability, it is used for exclusive furniture, handles and interior furnishings – in India also for house and boat constructions. There is some doubt as to how appropriate East Indian rosewood is for plywood.

1.4 TEAK, *TECTONA GRANDIS*

1.4.1 Distribution

Indian, Myanmar (Burma), Thailand and Laos are natural habitats for teak. Teak has been exported to Malaysia, Sumatra, Java, and the Philippines. Teak has been cultivated in the tropical Africa and in Central America. Large plantations are subject to construction in Brazil.

Southeast Asia - Old Growth

The much-coveted teak is heartwood from the so-called "old growth", which means from the original virgin forest, its colour is dark golden brown without markings. The grain is straight and occasionally wavy and the texture is coarse and

uneven. The wood has a dark gloss and is somewhat greasy. Even trees that are more than 60 years old give a high quality.

Southeast Asia - Plantation

Plantation teak is aesthetically less attractive, less glossy, paler, more uniform grain, less oily and not so nice to touch. As early as in the beginning of the 19th century, the Dutch immigrants started to plant teak plantations. They may be the first to consider the term sustainability as a business concept. Today forestry is in some areas problematic, in case the trees are felled too early or in case of a too heavy felling.

Oceania - Plantation

Oceanian plantation teak constitutes only 0.3% of the total production world-wide. In areas with moderate rainfalls the quality is on level with teak from Myanmar (Burma). In areas with large rain amounts more sapwood is developed and the quality of the timber is poorer due the characteristics of the wood.

Africa

There is some disagreement, whether the properties of teak grown in this part of the world are comparable with teak from its natural areas. Some scientists find that the wood is less applicable for marine environments and, therefore, it should not be used for shipbuilding. It is assessed to have a relatively poor quality.

Central- and South America

As in certain parts of South-East Asia some companies are in this region suspected to run doubtful plantations and practice of trade. The result is a poorer quality due to too early felling of stems.

1.4.2 The Appearance and Texture of the Wood

The sapwood, which is distinctly marked, is light to pale yellow. The heartwood varies freshly-cut from wax-coloured to light grey-green. In contact with the light and air the heartwood soon turns into uniform darker, chocolate-brown markings.

The wood is ringed or semi-ring porous, which implies that especially radial cuts turn slightly streaked. The coarse pores have tulle and embedment of calcium phosphate and silicic acid. Freshly cut teak has a characteristic odour similar to that of leather. The grain is, generally, straight but can also be wavy. The texture is coarse and uneven and may seem greasy.

1.4.3 Application

The fantastic properties of teak make it applicable for numerous purposes.

On the export markets teak is often used for shipbuilding, especially, decks of ships, furniture and interior.

As teak is fairly resistant to Teredo (shipworm), it is often used in constructions in contact with salt water. In Denmark it is, however, not used for port constructions.

In recent years there has, furthermore, been an increasing market for teak for both outdoor and indoor furniture on the export markets.

On the domestic markets teak is used for building constructions, in which its fantastic resistance to biological degradation can be used for window constructions, load-bearing constructions and solid doorframes.

Saw dust is in Java used for incense. In traditional medicine a paste of wood dust is used against headache and nausea, inflammation and as an anthelmintic.

Both the cortex and the roots, and the tender leaves produce a yellow-brown or red colour, which is used in paper and carpets.

1.5 JATоба, *HYMENAEA COURBARIL*

1.5.1 Distribution

The West Indies, Mexico, Central America, Surinam, Guyana, Venezuela, Paraguay, Peru and Brazil are the main countries in the distribution area.

1.5.2 The Appearance and Texture of the Wood

The rather wide sapwood is described as white, grey, and is clearly demarcated from the heartwood, which darkens into a russet to a reddish-brown colour after drying, and it is frequently marked with dark streaks. The vessels are seen as distinct light spots in the cross section. The grain is slightly wavy and very decorative.

1.5.3 Application

Jatoba is used for all kinds of constructions both indoors and outdoors, ship building, cabinet-making, lathe works, steam bending, stairs and floors. In the USA locust tree, hickory and oak have been replaced by jatoba. Other sources compare the mechanical properties with those of teak, but teak is, however, generally superior.

1.6 MERBAU, *INTSIA BIJUGA*

1.6.1 Distribution

Merbau occurs widely in Southeast Asia in lowland tropical forests, especially, in the south of Thailand, in Malaysia, Indonesia and in the northern part of Sarawak. Merbau, furthermore, occurs on Madagascar and on numerous Pacific islands and in Australia.

1.6.2 The Appearance and Texture of the Wood

The sapwood is whitish, width 4-7.5 cm and is well demarcated from the heartwood, which is brown or dark red-brown after exposure for some time. The colour between planks can vary widely. The wood is diffuse-porous with a coarse but homogeneous structure. The vessels are semi full of a yellowish to orange-brown substance, which gives the wood a greasy surface. The grain is wavy.

1.6.3 Application

Merbau is suitable for flooring and skirting with its warn, hazel appearance. Even in construction works, for sleepers and for bridge and port constructions merbau is suitable due to its good strength properties, high durability and low loss in volume at drying. Merbau has been unnoticed in Europe, but recently it's used for application of e.g. flooring.

1.7 KHAYA MAHOGANY, *KHAYA IVORENSIS*

1.7.1 Distribution

Khaya grows in the tropical West Africa from Liberia in the west to the Ivory Coast, Ghana, Nigeria and Cameroun in the east to Angola in the south. Other khaya species occur in East Africa, Uganda and Tanzania.

1.7.2 The Appearance and Texture of the Wood

The sapwood is narrow and yellowish-white, while the heartwood is golden-orange to brown after felling. After exposure for some time the colour turns darker, reddish brown with a cast of gold. The grain is straight but often with a ribbon pattern – light and dark. The scattered pores are large and visible in the cross section – predominantly empty – but with whitish deposits. On the other surfaces yellow bands of soft tissue are reported to form a zig-zag pattern. The texture is coarser than American Mahogany (*Swietenia macrophylla*).

1.7.3 Application

Khaya is valued for veneer and solid wood furniture, fine cabinet-making, ship building, plywood and interior. In more applications it replaces the American Mahogany due to a lower price and larger supplies.

1.8 IROKO, *CHLOROPHORA EXCELSA*

1.8.1 Distribution

Iroko is most probably the best known African wood species. The distribution comprises large parts of the tropical Africa from Sierra Leone in the west to Tanzania in the east (10 degrees nb to 15 degrees sb).

1.8.2 The Appearance and Texture of the Wood

As is the case for many tropical wood species the sapwood is distinctly different from the heartwood by its whitish yellow colour – width 5-10 cm. The heartwood is golden-orange – and after some time tawny or very similar to teak. Large deposits of calcium carbonate are in the wood due to damages of the wood. The scattered pores are coarse and filled with tulle. Yellow bands of soft tissue form zig-zag patterns especially on the tangential surfaces.

1.8.3 Application

Iroko is a very important wood species both in East and West Africa. It is valued for port constructions, shipbuilding, flooring, indoor and outdoor cabinet-making, veneer and solid wood furniture etc.

1.9 AMERICAN CHERRY, *PRUNUS SEROTINA*

1.9.1 Distribution

American cherry grows in the northeast part of Canada and the USA, as well as in the south in Florida and Texas. The species also occurs in the west and south Mexico and in Guatemala.

1.9.2 The Appearance and Texture of the Wood

The narrow sapwood is whitish to reddish brown in colour and is not easy to distinct from the heartwood. The heartwood is reddish-brown to deep red. The grain is arranged in a fine, straight and tight structure, and the wood often has narrow brown pith flecks and small gum pockets. Dark brown and black wavy structures and twisting are a valuable deviating tendency utilized by the veneer industry. Radially cut surfaces are very attractive due to their appearance. Some flooring manufacturers are reported to steam Black cherry lumber to bleed the darker heartwood into the sapwood for a more uniform colour. Cherry is reported to have a strong resemblance to true Mahogany, and is often called New England mahogany.

1.9.3 Application

Is used for veneer and solid wood furniture, the interior and fine cabinet-making.

1.10 BELALU (BATAI), *ALBIZ(Z)IA FALCATA*

1.10.1 Distribution

Grows on the Malaysian peninsula, on Borneo, Java, Philippines, Indonesia and Hawaii.

1.10.2 The appearance and texture of the wood

The sapwood is white or light brown with a pink tinge. The heartwood is very similar – light brown or pale yellow with a pink tinge. The texture is coarse but even. The grain is often wavy and reaction wood is not abnormal.

1.10.3 Application

Predominantly for use indoors. Light furniture, wood carving with a low degree of detail, matches, paper pulp, pellets etc.

1 Results of Headspace Analyses

In this appendix tables with results in detail of the headspace-analyse of 10 selected products:

Specimen no. 1: Rubber tree, dining table, lacquered
Specimen no. 2: Ramin, Venetian blind, stained
Specimen no. 3: Sheesham, bed table, wax treated
Specimen no. 4: Teak, tray, untreated
Specimen no. 5: Jatoba, parquet flooring, oiled
Specimen no. 6: Merbau, parquet flooring, oiled
Specimen no. 7: Khaya Mahogany, tabletop, untreated
Specimen no. 8: Iroko, tabletop, untreated
Specimen no. 9: American cherry, tabletop, untreated
Specimen no. 10: Belalu, figure, inked

The compounds of the list are stated with type and classification, instructive danger symbol and R-sentence. Explanations to classifications, danger symbols and R-phrases are in Appendix H.

Appendix C

TABLE C.1 LIST OF ALL COMPOUNDS FOUND BY HEADSPACE

NAME	CAS NO.	TYPE	SPECIMEN NO.	CLASSIFICATION 2002 (REF 1)	INSTRUCTIVE DANGER SYMBOL (REF 2)	INSTRUCTIVE R-SENTENCE (REF 2)
Acetaldehyde	75-07-0		5, 7	Fx;R12 Xi;R36/37 Carc3;R40		
Acetone	67-64-1	Ketone	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 8	F;R11 Xi;R36 R66 R67		
Aldehyde		Aldehyde	5	-		
Aliphatic hydrocarbons		Hydrocarbon, aliphatic	2	-		
Aliphatic hydrocarbons		Hydrocarbon, aliphatic	6	-		
Bicyclo(3.2.1),3-methyl-4-methylen-oct-2-en	49826-53-1	Terpene?	3	-		
Bis (2-ethylhexyl) Phtalate	117-81-7	Ester	10	Rep2;R60-61		
Bromomethane	74-83-9	Hydrocarbon, halogenated	6	T;R23/25 Xi;R36/37/38 Xn;R48/20 Mut3;R68 N;R50-59		
Butanal	123-72-8	Aldehyde	2	F;R11		
Butanol	71-36-3	Alcohol	2, 3	R10 Xn;R22 Xi;R37/38-41 R67		
2-Butoxyethanol	111-76-2	Glycol,-ether,-ester	1	Xn;R20/21/22 Xi;R36/38		
Butylacetate	123-86-4	Ester	1, 2, 3	R10 R66 R67		
Butylbenzene	135-98-8	Hydrocarbon, aromatic	1	-	N	R50/53
1-ethyl-3,5-dimethyl-benzene	934-74-7	Hydrocarbon, aromatic	1, 5	-	Xn	R22
Cyclohexane (Could be)	53778-43-1	Hydrocarbon, aliphatic	1	-		
Cyclododecane	294-62-2	Hydrocarbon, aliphatic	6	-		
Decane	124-18-5	Hydrocarbon, aliphatic	1	-	N	R50/53
Decanal	112-31-2	Aldehyde	1, 2, 3, 10	-	N	R50/53
4,4-Dimethyl-2-oxedanon	1823-52-5	Ketone ?	3	-		
1,2-Dimethylcyclohexane	583-57-3	Hydrocarbon, aliphatic	3	-	Xn	R22
2,5-Dimethylheptane	2216-30-0	Hydrocarbon, aliphatic	3	-		
2,4-Dimethylhexane	589-43-5	Hydrocarbon, aliphatic	3	F;R11 Xi;R38		

NAME	CAS NO.	TYPE	SPECIMEN NO.	CLASSIFICATION 2002 (REF 1)	INSTRUCTIVE DANGER SYMBOL (REF 2)	INSTRUCTIVE R-SENTENCE (REF 2)
				Xn;R65 R67 N;R50/53		
Dodecane	112-40-3	Hydrocarbon, aliphatic	1	-	N	R51/53
Acetic acid	64-19-7	Acid	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10	R10 C;R35		
Acetic acid methylester	79-20-9	Acid	9	F;R11 Xi;R36 R66 R67		
Ethanol	64-17-5	Alcohol	2, 3, 6, 9	F;R11		
Ethylbenzene	100-41-4	Hydrocarbon, aromatic	1, 2, 3	F;R11 Xn;R20		
1-Ethyl-2-methylbenzene	611-14-3	Hydrocarbon, aromatic	3	-	N	R51/53
3-Ethyl-2-methylhexane	16789-46-1	Hydrocarbon, aliphatic	2	-		
1-Ethyl-3-methylbenzene	620-14-4	Hydrocarbon, aromatic	3	-		
1-Ethyl-4-methylbenzene (4-Ethyltoluene)	622-96-8	Hydrocarbon, aromatic	1, 3	-	Xn;N	R43-51/53
Heptane (and isomers)	142-82-5	Hydrocarbon, aliphatic	1, 2, 3	F;R11 Xi;R38 Xn;R65 R67 N;R50/53		
Heptanal	111-71-7	Aldehyde	10	-		
Hexadecanacid	57-10-3	Acid	10	-		
Hexanal	66-25-1	Aldehyde	1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10	-		
2-Methyl-1-butanol (Could be)	137-32-6	Alcohol	3	-		
4-Methyl-1-hexen	3769-23-1	Hydrocarbon, aliphatic	5	-		
2-Methyl-1-propanol	78-83-1	Alcohol	1, 2	R10 Xi;R37/38-41 R67		
3-Methyl-1-propene	115-11-7	Hydrocarbon, aliphatic	3	Fx;R12		
3-Methyl-2-Butanone	563-80-4	Ketone	5	F;R11		
2-Methyl-2-propeneal (Could be)	78-85-3	Aldehyde	3	-		
1-Methyl-3-propylbenzene	1074-43-7	Hydrocarbon, aromatic	1	-	N	R51/53
Methylcyclohexane	108-87-2	Hydrocarbon, aliphatic	1, 3	F;R11 Xi;R38 Xn;R65 R67 N;R51/53		
4-Methyl-decane	2847-72-5	Hydrocarbon, aliphatic	1	-		

NAME	CAS NO.	TYPE	SPECIMEN NO.	CLASSIFICATION 2002 (REF 1)	INSTRUCTIVE DANGER SYMBOL (REF 2)	INSTRUCTIVE R-SENTENCE (REF 2)
Propylbenzene	98-82-8	Hydrocarbon, aromatic	3	R10 Xi;R37 Xn;R65 N;R51/53		
2-Methylheptane	592-27-8	Hydrocarbon, aliphatic	1, 2, 3	F;R11 Xi;R38 Xn;R65 R67 N;R50/53		
3-Methylheptane	589-81-1	Hydrocarbon, aliphatic	1, 3	F;R11 Xi;R38 Xn;R65 R67 N;R50/53		
2-Methylhexane	591-76-4	Hydrocarbon, aliphatic	1, 3	F;R11 Xi;R38 Xn;R65 R67 N;R50/53		
3-Methylhexane	589-34-4	Hydrocarbon, aliphatic	1, 2, 3	F;R11 Xi;R38 Xn;R65 R67 N;R50/53		
5-Methylhexanal	1860-39-5	Aldehyde	5	-		
3-Methyloctane	2216-33-3	Hydrocarbon, aliphatic	3	-	N	R50/53
MIBK	108-10-1	Ketone	1, 2	F;R11 Xn;R20 Xi;R36/37 R66		
Nonadienal	5910-87-2	Aldehyde	8	-	N	R50
Octane	111-65-9	Hydrocarbon, aliphatic	1, 2, 3, 6	F;R11 Xi;R38 Xn;R65 R67 N;R50/53		
Octanal	124-13-0	Aldehyde	10	-		
2,10-Pentadecen-1-ol (Could be)	1000131-00-6	Alcohol	1	-		
Pentane	109-66-0	Hydrocarbon, aliphatic	1, 6	Fx;R12 Xn;R65 R66 R67 N;R51/53		
Pentanal	110-62-3	Aldehyde	5, 6	-		
2-Pentynal	1000143-24-5	Aldehyde	4	-		
α -Pinene	80-56-8	Terpene	3	(fpr CAS 8006-64-2 turpentine, vegetable: R10 Xn;R20/21/22-65	N	R50/53

NAME	CAS NO.	TYPE	SPECIMEN NO.	CLASSIFICATION 2002 (REF 1)	INSTRUCTIVE DANGER SYMBOL (REF 2)	INSTRUCTIVE R-SENTENCE (REF 2)
				Xi;R36/38 R43 N;R51/53)		
Propanoic acid	79-09-4	Acid	8	C;R34		
Propylbenzene	103-65-1	Hydrocarbon, aromatic	3	R10 Xi;R37 Xn;R65 N;R51/53		
1,14-Tetradecandiol (Could be)	19812-64-7	Glycol,-ether,-ester	1	-		
Tetradecanoic acid	544-63-8	Acid	10	-		
Toluene	108-88-3	Hydrocarbon, aromatic	1, 2, 3	F;R11 Xn;R20		
1,2,3-Trimethylbenzene	526-73-8	Hydrocarbon, aromatic	1, 3	-	Xn;N	R22-51/53
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	95-63-6	Hydrocarbon, aromatic	1. 3	R10 Xn;R20 Xi;R36/37/38 N;R51/53		
1,2,5-Trimethylbenzene	108-67-8	Hydrocarbon, aromatic	3	R10 Xi;R37 N;R51/53		
Undecane	1120-21-4	Hydrocarbon, aliphatic	1	-	N	R50/53
Undecanal	112-44-7	Aldehyde	1	-	N	R50
m-Xylene	108-38-3	Hydrocarbon, aromatic	1. 2	R10 Xn;R20/21 Xi;R38		
o-Xylene	95-47-6	Hydrocarbon, aromatic	1. 2. 3	R10 Xn;R20/21 Xi;R38		
p-Xylene	106-42-3	Hydrocarbon, aromatic	1. 2	R10 Xn;R20/21 Xi;R38		
Xylenes unspec	1330-20-7	Hydrocarbon, aromatic	3	R10 Xn;R20/21 Xi;R38		

References:

- 1) The Danish Environmental Protection Agency (2002). BEK No. 439 of 03/06/2002. Statutory Order on the list of dangerous substances
- 2) Environmental project no. 635, 2001. Report on instructive list for self classification of dangerous substances

1 Results of Quantitative Analyses

In this appendix tables with results in detail of the quantitative analyses of 5 selected products are stated:

Specimen no. 1: Rubber tree, dining table, lacquered

Specimen no. 2: Ramin, Venetian blind, stained

Specimen no. 3: Sheesham, bed table, wax treated

Specimen no. 6: Merbau, parquet flooring, oiled

Specimen no. 8: Iroko, table top, untreated

The results are stated in separate tables for each product.

TABLE D.1 RUBBER TREE (*HEVEA BRASILIENSIS*), DINING TABLE

COMPOUND	CAS NO.	MEASURE- MENT TIME [DAYS]	EMISSION RATE [$\mu\text{G}/\text{M}^2\cdot\text{H}$]	CONCENTRATION IN STANDARD ROOM AT 0.4 M^2/M^3 [$\mu\text{G}/\text{M}^3$]	LCI [$\mu\text{G}/\text{M}^3$]	c/LCI [$\mu\text{G}/\text{M}^3$]	ODOUR THRESHOLD [$\mu\text{G}/\text{M}^3$]
Formaldehyde	50-00-0	3	72	58	100	0.58	124*
		10	53	42		0.42	
		28	41	33		0.33	
Acetaldehyde	75-07-0	3	12	10	5200	<0.01	340
		10	9	7		<0.01	
		28	54	43		<0.01	
Acrolein	107-02-8	3	Nd	-	3	-	120*
		10	2,5	2.0		0.67	
		28	Nd	-		-	
Propanal	123-38-6	3	3	2.4	4300	<0.01	14
		10	Nd	-		-	
		28	5	4.0		<0.01	
Hexanal	66-25-1	3	4	3.2	3400	<0.01	58
		10	4	3.2		<0.01	
		28	3	2.4		<0.01	
Nonanal	124-19-6	3	<1	<0.8	3100	<0.01	14
		10	<1	<0.8		<0.01	
		28	2	1.6		<0.01	
Decanal	112-31-2	3	<1	<0.8	3100	<0.01	6
		10	<1	<0.8		<0.01	
		28	4	3.2		<0.01	
MEK (2- butanone)	78-93-3	3	Nd	.	2900	<0.01	870
		10	2	1.6		<0.01	
		28	3	2.4		<0.01	
Acetone	67-64-1	3	16	13	400	0.03	14000
		10	15	12		0.03	
		28	18	14		0.04	
2-Methyl-1- propanol	78-83-1	3	53	42	400	0.11	2570
		10	29	23		0.06	
		28	18	14		0.04	

Appendix D

COMPOUND	CAS NO.	MEASURE- MENT TIME [DAYS]	EMISSION RATE [$\mu\text{G}/\text{M}^2\cdot\text{H}$]	CONCENTRATION IN STANDARD ROOM AT 0.4 M^2/M^3 [$\mu\text{G}/\text{M}^3$]	LCI [$\mu\text{G}/\text{M}^3$]	c/LCI [$\mu\text{G}/\text{M}^3$]	ODOUR THRESHOLD [$\mu\text{G}/\text{M}^3$]
Butanol	71-36-3	3 10 28	3 1 1	2.4 0.8 0.8	200	0.01 <0.01 <0.01	90
3-Methylhexane	589-34-4	3 10 28	Traces Traces 7	- - 5.6	250	- - 0.02	-
Methylcyclohexane	108-87-2	3 10 28	<1 <1 2	<0.8 <0.8 1.6	1000	<0.01 <0.01 <0.01	>200000
Toluene	108-88-3	3 10 28	93 60 36	74 48 29	400	0.19 0.12 0.07	644
Xylenes/ ethylbenzene	-	3 10 28	90 46 25	72 37 20	500	0.14 0.07 0.04	-
Butylacetate	123-86-4	3 10 28	18 10 8	14 8 6	2700	<0.01 <0.01 <0.01	47
Unknown	-	3 10 28	4 3 1	3.2 2.4 0.8			-
2-Butoxyethanol	111-76-2	3 10 28	65 27 18	52 22 14	490	0.11 0.04 0.03	5

*: THRESHOLD VALUE FOR IRRITATION; "Nd": BELOW THE DETECTION LIMIT

TABLE D.2 RAMIN (*GONYSTYLUS BANKANUS*), VENETIAN BLIND

COMPOUND	CAS NO.	MEASURE- MENT TIME [DAYS]	EMISSION RATE [$\mu\text{G}/\text{M}^2\cdot\text{H}$]	CONCENTRATION IN STANDARD ROOM AT 0,4 M^2/M^3 [$\mu\text{G}/\text{M}^3$]	LCI [$\mu\text{G}/\text{M}^3$]	c/LCI [$\mu\text{G}/\text{M}^3$]	ODOUR THRESHOLD [$\mu\text{G}/\text{M}^3$]
Formaldehyde	50-00-0	3 10 28	1 4 2	0.8 3.2 1.6	100	<0.01 0.03 0.02	124*
Acetaldehyde	75-07-0	3 10 28	1 8 Nd	0.8 6 -	5200	<0.01 <0.01 -	340
Butanal	123-72-8	3 10 28	Nd 14 Nd	- 11 -	2800	- <0.01 -	28
Hexanal	66-25-1	3 10 28	Nd 3 2	- 2.4 1.6	3400	- <0.01 <0.01	58
Nonanal	124-19-6	3 10 28	<1 <1 2	<0.8 <0.8 1.6	3100	<0.01 <0.01 <0.01	14
Decanal	112-31-2	3 10 28	<1 <1 4	<0.8 <0.8 3.2	3100	<0.01 <0.01 <0.01	6
MEK (2- butanone)	78-93-3	3 10 28	Nd 2 2	- 1.6 1.6	2900	- <0.01 <0.01	870
Acetone	67-64-1	3 10	3 24	2.4 19	400	<0.01 0.05	14000

COMPOUND	CAS NO.	MEASURE- MENT TIME [DAYS]	EMISSION RATE [$\mu\text{G}/\text{M}^2\cdot\text{H}$]	CONCENTRATION IN STANDARD ROOM AT 0,4 M^2/M^3 [$\mu\text{G}/\text{M}^3$]	LCI [$\mu\text{G}/\text{M}^3$]	c/LCI [$\mu\text{G}/\text{M}^3$]	ODOUR THRESHOLD [$\mu\text{G}/\text{M}^3$]
		28	14	11		0.03	
2-Methyl-1-propanol	78-83-1	3 10 28	6 5 3	5 4 2.4	400	0.01 0.01 <0.01	2570
Butanol	71-36-3	3 10 28	44 32 23	35 26 18	200	0.18 0.13 0.09	90
3-Methylhexane	589-34-4	3 10 28	2 2 6	1.6 1.6 5	250	<0.01 <0.01 0.02	-
1,2-butandiol	112-31-2	3 10 28	8 5 4	6 4 3.2	700	<0.01 <0.01 <0.01	-

*: THRESHOLD VALUE FOR IRRITATION; "Nd": BELOW THE DETECTION LIMIT

TABLE D.3 SHEESHAM (*DALBERGIA LATIFOLIA*), BED TABLE

COMPOUND	CAS NO.	MEASURE- MENT TIME [DAYS]	EMISSION RATE [$\mu\text{G}/\text{M}^2\cdot\text{H}$]	CONCENTRATION IN STANDARD ROOM AT 0.4 M^2/M^3 [$\mu\text{G}/\text{M}^3$]	LCI [$\mu\text{G}/\text{M}^3$]	c/LCI [$\mu\text{G}/\text{M}^3$]	ODOUR THRESHOLD [$\mu\text{G}/\text{M}^3$]
Formaldehyde	50-00-0	3 10 28	6 5 5	5 4 4	100	0.05 0.04 0.04	124*
Acetaldehyde	75-07-0	3 10 28	2 4 4	1.6 3.2 3.2	5200	<0.01 <0.01 <0.01	340
Propoanal	123-38-6	3 10 28	Nd Nd 7	- - 6	4300	- - <0.01	14
Butanal	123-72-8	3 10 28	Nd Nd 3	- - 2.4	2800	- - <0.01	28
Hexanal	66-25-1	3 10 28	1 3 3	0.8 2.4 4	3400	<0.01 <0.01 <0.01	58
Acetone	67-64-1	3 10 28	5 14 9	4 11 7	400	0.01 0.03 0.02	14000
2-Methyl-1-propanol	78-83-1	3 10 28	1 1 2	0.8 0.8 1.6	400	<0.01 <0.01 <0.01	2570
Butanol	71-36-3	3 10 28	19 15 12	15 12 10	200	0.08 0.06 0.05	90
1-Methoxy-2-butanol	53778-73-8	3 10 28	2 1 2	1.6 0.8 1.6	1000	<0.01 <0.01 <0.01	-
3-Methylhexane	589-34-4	3 10 28	3 4 7	2.4 3.2 6	250	<0.01 0.01 0.02	-
Methylcyclohexane	108-87-2	3 10	1 1	0.8 0.8	1000	<0.01 <0.01	>200000

COMPOUND	CAS NO.	MEASURE- MENT TIME [DAYS]	EMISSION RATE [$\mu\text{G}/\text{M}^2\cdot\text{H}$]	CONCENTRATION IN STANDARD ROOM AT 0.4 M^2/M^3 [$\mu\text{G}/\text{M}^3$]	LCI [$\mu\text{G}/\text{M}^3$]	c/LCI [$\mu\text{G}/\text{M}^3$]	ODOUR THRESHOLD [$\mu\text{G}/\text{M}^3$]
		28	2	1.6		<0.01	
Toluene	108-88-3	3	5	4	400	0.01	644
		10	5	4		0.01	
		28	8	7		0.02	
Butylacetate	123-86-4	3	2	1.6	2700	<0.01	47
		10	2	1.6		<0.01	
		28	2	1.6		<0.01	
α -pinene	80-56-8	3	2	1.6	250	<0.01	3890
		10	2	1.6		<0.01	
		28	2	1.6		<0.01	

*: THRESHOLD VALUE FOR IRRITATION; "Nd": BELOW THE DETECTION LIMIT

TABLE D.4 MERBAU (*INTSIA BIJUGA*), PARQUET FLOORING

COMPOUND	CAS NO.	MEASURE- MENT TIME [DAYS]	EMISSION RATE [$\mu\text{G}/\text{M}^2\cdot\text{H}$]	CONCENTRATION IN STANDARD ROOM AT 0,4 M^2/M^3 [$\mu\text{G}/\text{M}^3$]	LCI [$\mu\text{G}/\text{M}^3$]	c/LCI [$\mu\text{G}/\text{M}^3$]	ODOUR THRESHOLD [$\mu\text{G}/\text{M}^3$]
Formaldehyde	50-00-0	3	1	0.8	100	<0.01	124*
		10	1	0.8		<0.01	
		28	4	3.2		0.03	
Acetaldehyde	75-07-0	3	3	2.4	5200	<0.01	340
		10	6	5		<0.01	
		28	Nd	-		-	
Propanal	123-38-6	3	5	4	4300	<0.01	14
		10	Nd	-			
		28	Nd	-			
Pentanal	110-62-3	3	2	1.6	3100	<0.01	22
		10	2	1.6		<0.01	
		28	Nd	-		-	
Hexanal	66-25-1	3	5	4	3400	<0.01	58
		10	5	4		<0.01	
		28	Nd	-		-	
Benzaldehyde	100-52-7	3	4	3.2	1200	<0.01	186
		10	Nd	-		-	
		28	Nd	-		-	
Decanal	112-31-2	3	3	2.4	3100	<0.01	6
		10	3	2.4		<0.01	
		28	5	4		<0.01	
MEK (2- butanone)	78-93-3	3	7	6	2900	<0.01	870
		10	2	1.6		<0.01	
		28	1	0.8		<0.01	
Acetone	67-64-1	3	27	22	400	0.06	14000
		10	21	17		0.04	
		28	Nd	-		-	
2-Methyl-1- propanol	78-83-1	3	1	0.8	400	0.002	2570
		10	1	0.8		0.002	
		28	2	1.6		0.004	
Butanol	71-36-3	3	<1	<0.8	200	<0.01	90
		10	<1	<0.8		<0.01	
		28	14	11		0.06	
2-Ethyl-1-hexanol	104-76-7	3	<1	<0.8	500	<0.01	500
		10	<1	<0.8		<0.01	
		28	3	2.4		<0.01	
3-Methylhexane	589-34-4	3	5	4	250	0.02	-

COMPOUND	CAS NO.	MEASURE- MENT TIME [DAYS]	EMISSION RATE [$\mu\text{G}/\text{M}^2\cdot\text{H}$]	CONCENTRATION IN STANDARD ROOM AT 0,4 M^2/M^3 [$\mu\text{G}/\text{M}^3$]	LCI [$\mu\text{G}/\text{M}^3$]	c/LCI [$\mu\text{G}/\text{M}^3$]	ODOUR THRESHOLD [$\mu\text{G}/\text{M}^3$]
		10 28	6 5	5 4		0.02 0.02	
Butylacetate	123-86-4	3 10 28	<1 <1 3	<0.8 <0.8 2.4	2700	<0.01 <0.01 <0.01	47
Tridecane	629-50-5	3 10 28	4 4 3	3.2 3.2 2.4	5000	<0.01 <0.01 <0.01	16600

*: THRESHOLD VALUE FOR IRRITATION; "Nd": BELOW THE DETECTION LIMIT

TABLE D.5 IROKO (*CHLOROPHORA EXCELSA*), TABLE TOP

COMPOUND	CAS NO.	MEASURE- MENT TIME [DAYS]	EMISSION RATE [$\mu\text{G}/\text{M}^2\cdot\text{H}$]	CONCENTRATION IN STANDARD ROOM AT 0,4 M^2/M^3 [$\mu\text{G}/\text{M}^3$]	LCI [$\mu\text{G}/\text{M}^3$]	c/LCI [$\mu\text{G}/\text{M}^3$]	ODOUR THRESHOLD [$\mu\text{G}/\text{M}^3$]
Formaldehyde	50-00-0	3 10 28	8 5 5	6 4 4	100	0,06 0,04 0,04	124*
Acetaldehyde	75-07-0	3 10 28	Nd 2 2	- 1.6 1.6	5200	- <0,01 <0,01	340
Hexanal	66-25-1	3 10 28	1 3 2	0.8 2.4 1.6	3400	<0,01 <0,01 <0,01	58
MEK (2- butanone)	78-93-3	3 10 28	Nd 2 2	- 1.6 1.6	2900	<0,01 <0,01 <0,01	870
Acetone	67-64-1	3 10 28	4 10 8	3.2 8 6	400	<0,01 0,02 0,02	14000
3-Methylhexane	589-34-4	3 10 28	<1 2 7	<0.8 1.6 6	250	<0,01 <0,01 0,02	-
α -pinene	80-56-8	3 10 28	2 7 2	1.6 6 1.6	250	<0,01 0,02 <0,01	3890

*: THRESHOLD VALUE FOR IRRITATION; "Nd": BELOW THE DETECTION LIMIT

1 List of All Compounds Found in the Emission

In this appendix a list of all compounds found in the emission from the 10 selected products is given. In the list it is stated, whether the compounds are emitted from the products at headspace or at climate chamber testing.

Specimen no. 1: Rubber tree, dining table, lacquered
Specimen no. 2: Ramin, Venetian blind, stained
Specimen no. 3: Sheesham, bed table, wax treated
Specimen no. 4: Teak, tray, untreated
Specimen no. 5: Jatoba, parquet flooring, oiled
Specimen no. 6: Merbau, parquet flooring, oiled
Specimen no. 7: Khaya Mahogany, table top, untreated
Specimen no. 8: Iroko, table top, untreated
Specimen no. 9: American cherry, table top, untreated
Specimen no. 10: Belalu, figure, inked

The compounds of the list are stated by type, B-values, classification, instructive danger symbol and R-sentence. Explanations to classifications, danger symbols and R-phrases are in Appendix H.

Appendix E

TABLE E.1 LIST OF ALL COMPOUNDS FOUND BY EMISSION

NAME	CAS NO.	TYPE	HEADSPACE, SPECIMEN NO.	CLIMATE CHAMBER, SPECIMEN NO.	C-VALUE [MG/M ³] (REF 3)	CLASSIFICATION 2002 (REF 1)	INSTRUCTIVE DANGER SYMBOL (REF 2)	INSTRUCTIVE R- SENTENCE (REF 2)
Acetaldehyde	75-07-0	Aldehyde	5	1, 2, 3, 6, 8	0.02	Fx;R12 Xi;R36/37 Carc3;R40		
Acetone	67-64-1	Ketone	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 8	1, 2, 3, 6, 8	0.4	F;R11 Xi;R36 R66 R67		
Acrolein (2-propeneal)	107-02-8	Aldehyde		1	0.001	F;R11 T;R24/25 Tx;R26 C;R34 N;R50		
Aldehyde		Aldehyde	5			-		
Aliphatic hydrocarbons		Hydrocarbon, aliphatic	2			-		
Aliphatic hydrocarbons		Hydrocarbon, aliphatic	6			-		
Benzaldehyde	100-52-7	Aldehyde		6		Xn;R22		
Bicyclo(3.2.1),3-methyl-4-methylen-oct-2-en	49826-53-1	Terpene?	3			-		
Bis (2-ethylhexyl) Phtalate	117-81-7	Ester	10		0.005	Rep2;R60-61		
Bromomethane	74-83-9	Hydrocarbon, halogenated	6		0.1	T;R23/25 Xi;R36/37/38 Xn;R48/20 Mut3;R68 N;R50-59		
Butanal	123-72-8	Aldehyde	2	2, 3	0.001	F;R11		
1,2-Butandiol	584-03-2	Glycol,-ether,-ester		2		-		
Butanol	71-36-3	Alcohol	2, 3	1, 2, 3, 6	0.2	R10 Xn;R22 Xi;R37/38-41 R67		
2-Butoxyethanol	111-76-2	Glycol,-ether,-ester	1	1	0.04	Xn;R20/21/22 Xi;R36/38		
Butylacetate	123-86-4	Ester	1, 2, 3	1, 3, 6	0.1	R10 R66 R67		
Butylbenzene	135-98-8	Hydrocarbon, aromatic	1			-	N	R50/53

NAME	CAS NO.	TYPE	HEADSPACE, SPECIMEN NO.	CLIMATE CHAMBER, SPECIMEN NO.	C-VALUE [MG/M ³] (REF 3)	CLASSIFICATION 2002 (REF 1)	INSTRUCTIVE DANGER SYMBOL (REF 2)	INSTRUCTIVE R- SENTENCE (REF 2)
1-ethyl-3,5-dimethyl-benzene	934-74-7	Hydrocarbon, aromatic	1, 5			-	Xn	R22
Cyclohexane (could be)	53778-43-1	Hydrocarbon, aromatic ?	1			-		
Cyclododecane	294-62-2	Hydrocarbon, aliphatic	6			-		
Decane	124-18-5	Hydrocarbon, aliphatic	1			-	N	R50/53
Decanal	112-31-2	Aldehyde	1, 2, 3, 10	1, 2, 6		-	N	R50/53
4.4-Dimethyl-2-oxedanon	1823-52-5	Ketone ?	3			-		
1.2-Dimethylcyclohexane	583-57-3	Hydrocarbon, aliphatic	3		1	-	Xn	R22
2.5-Dimethylheptane	2216-30-0	Hydrocarbon, aliphatic	3			-		
2.4-Dimethylhexane	589-43-5	Hydrocarbon, aliphatic	3		1	F;R11 Xi;R38 Xn;R65 R67 N;R50/53		
Dodecane	112-40-3	Hydrocarbon, aliphatic	1			-	N	R51/53
Acetic acid	64-19-7	Acid	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10		0.1	R10 C;R35		
Acetic acid methylester	79-20-9	Acid	9		0.7	F;R11 Xi;R36 R66 R67		
Ethanol	64-17-5	Alcohol	2, 3, 6, 9		5	F;R11		
Ethylbenzene	100-41-4	Hydrocarbon, aromatic	1, 2, 3	1	0.5	F;R11 Xn;R20		
2-Ethyl-1-hexanol	104-76-7	Alcohol		6		-		
1-Ethyl-2-methylbenzene	611-14-3	Hydrocarbon, aromatic	3			-	N	R51/53
3-Ethyl-2-methylhexane	16789-46-1	Hydrocarbon, aliphatic	2			-		
1-Ethyl-3-methylbenzene	620-14-4	Hydrocarbon, aromatic	3			-		
1-Ethyl-4-methylbenzene (4-Ethyltoluene	622-96-8	Hydrocarbon, aromatic	1,3			-	Xn;N	R43-51/53

NAME	CAS NO.	TYPE	HEADSPACE, SPECIMEN NO.	CLIMATE CHAMBER, SPECIMEN NO.	C-VALUE [MG/M ³] (REF 3)	CLASSIFICATION 2002 (REF 1)	INSTRUCTIVE DANGER SYMBOL (REF 2)	INSTRUCTIVE R- SENTENCE (REF 2)
Formaldehyde	50-00-0	Aldehyde		1, 2, 3, 6, 8	0.01	T;R23/24/25 C;R34 Carc3;R40 R43		
Heptane (and isomers)	142-82-5	Hydrocarbon, aliphatic	1, 2, 3		1	F;R11 Xi;R38 Xn;R65 R67 N;R50/53		
Heptanal	111-71-7	Aldehyde	10			-		
Hexadecanoic acid	57-10-3	Acid	10			-		
Hexanal	66-25-1	Aldehyde	1, 3, 4 5, 6, 8, 10	1, 2, 3, 6, 8		-		
MEK (2-butanone)	78-93-3	Ketone		1, 2, 6, 8	1	F;R11 Xi;R36 R66 R67		
1-Methoxy-2-butanol	53778-73-8	Glycol,-ether,-ester		3		-		
2-Methyl-1-butanol (Could be)	137-32-6	Alcohol	3			-		
4-Methyl-1-hexen	3769-23-1	Hydrocarbon, aliphatic	5			-		
2-Methyl-1-propanol	78-83-1	Alcohol	1, 2	1, 2, 3, 6	0.4	R10 Xi;R37/38- 41 R67		
3-Methyl-1-propene	115-11-7	Hydrocarbon, aliphatic	3		0.06	Fx;R12		
3-Methyl-2-Butanone	563-80-4	Ketone	5		0.5	F;R11		
2-Methyl-2-propeneal (Could be)	78-85-3	Aldehyde	3			-		
1-Methyl-3-propylbenzene	1074-43-7	Hydrocarbon, aromatic	1			-	N	R51/53
Methylcyclohexane	108-87-2	Hydrocarbon, aliphatic	1, 3	1, 3	1	F;R11 Xi;R38 Xn;R65 R67 N;R51/53		
4-Methyl-decane	2847-72-5	Hydrocarbon, aliphatic	1			-		
Propylbenzene	98-82-8	Hydrocarbon, aromatic	3		0.03	R10 Xi;R37 Xn;R65 N;R51/53		
2-Methylheptane	592-27-8	Hydrocarbon, aliphatic	1, 2, 3		1	F;R11 Xi;R38 Xn;R65 R67 N;R50/53		

NAME	CAS NO.	TYPE	HEADSPACE, SPECIMEN NO.	CLIMATE CHAMBER, SPECIMEN NO.	C-VALUE [MG/M ³] (REF 3)	CLASSIFICATION 2002 (REF 1)	INSTRUCTIVE DANGER SYMBOL (REF 2)	INSTRUCTIVE R- SENTENCE (REF 2)
3-Methylheptane	589-81-1	Hydrocarbon, aliphatic	1, 3		1	F;R11 Xi;R38 Xn;R65 R67 N;R50/53		
2-Methylhexane	591-76-4	Hydrocarbon, aliphatic	1, 3		1	F;R11 Xi;R38 Xn;R65 R67 N;R50/53		
3-Methylhexane	589-34-4	Hydrocarbon, aliphatic	1, 2, 3	1, 2, 3, 6, 8	1	F;R11 Xi;R38 Xn;R65 R67 N;R50/53		
5-Methylhexanal	1860-39-5	Aldehyde	5			-		
3-Methyloctane	2216-33-3	Hydrocarbon, aliphatic	3			-	N	R50/53
MIBK	108-10-1	Ketone	1, 2		0.2	F;R11 Xn;R20 Xi;R36/37 R66		
Nonadienal	5910-87-2	Aldehyde	8			-	N	R50
Nonanal	124-19-6	Aldehyde		1, 2		-	N	R50
Octane	111-65-9	Hydrocarbon, aliphatic	1, 2, 3, 6		1	F;R11 Xi;R38 Xn;R65 R67 N;R50/53		
Octanal	124-13-0	Aldehyde	10			-		
2,10-Pentadecen-1-ol (Could be)	1000131-00-6	Alcohol	1			-		
Pentane	109-66-0	Hydrocarbon, aliphatic	1, 6		1	Fx;R12 Xn;R65 R66 R67 N;R51/53		
Pentanal	110-62-3	Aldehyde	5, 6	6		-		
2-Pentynal	1000143-24-5	Aldehyde	4			-		
α-Pinene	80-56-8	Terpene	3	3, 8	0.05	(fpr CAS 8006- 64-2 turpentine, vegetable: R10 Xn;R20/21/22- 65 Xi;R36/38 R43 N;R51/53)	N	R50/53
Propanal	123-38-6	Aldehyde		1, 3, 6	-	F;R11 Xi;R36/37/38		
Propanoic acid	79-09-4	Acid	8		0.05	C;R34		

NAME	CAS NO.	TYPE	HEADSPACE, SPECIMEN NO.	CLIMATE CHAMBER, SPECIMEN NO.	C-VALUE [MG/M ³] (REF 3)	CLASSIFICATION 2002 (REF 1)	INSTRUCTIVE DANGER SYMBOL (REF 2)	INSTRUCTIVE R- SENTENCE (REF 2)
Propylbenzene	103-65-1	Hydrocarbon, aromatic	3			R10 Xi;R37 Xn;R65 N;R51/53		
1,14-Tetradecandiol (Could be)	19812-64-7	Glycol,-ether,-ester	1			-		
Tetradecanoic acid	544-63-8	Acid	10			-		
Toluene	108-88-3	Hydrocarbon, aromatic	1, 2, 3	1, 3	0.4	F;R11 Xn;R20		
Tridecane	629-50-5	Hydrocarbon, aliphatic		6		-	N	R51/53
1,2,3-Trimethylbenzene	526-73-8	Hydrocarbon, aromatic	1, 3		0.03	-	Xn;N	R22-51/53
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	95-63-6	Hydrocarbon, aromatic	1, 3		0.03	R10 Xn;R20 Xi;R36/37/38 N;R51/53		
1,2,5-Trimethylbenzene	108-67-8	Hydrocarbon, aromatic	3		0.03	R10 Xi;R37 N;R51/53		
Unknown						-		
Undecane	1120-21-4	Hydrocarbon, aliphatic	1			-	N	R50/53
Undecaneal	112-44-7	Aldehyde	1			-	N	R50
m-Xylene	108-38-3	Hydrocarbon, aromatic	1, 2		0.1	R10 Xn;R20/21 Xi;R38		
o-Xylene	95-47-6	Hydrocarbon, aromatic	1, 2, 3		0.1	R10 Xn;R20/21 Xi;R38		
p-Xylene	106-42-3	Hydrocarbon, aromatic	1, 2		0.1	R10 Xn;R20/21 Xi;R38		
Xylenes unspec	1330-20-7	Hydrocarbon, aromatic	3		0.1	R10 Xn;R20/21 Xi;R38		

REFERENCES:

- 1) THE DANISH ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY (2002). BEK No. 439 of 03/06/2002. STATUTORY ORDER ON THE LIST OF DANGEROUS SUBSTANCES
- 2) ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECT NO. 635, 2001. REPORT ON INSTRUCTIVE LIST FOR SELF-CLASSIFICATION OF DANGEROUS SUBSTANCES
- 3) B-VALUE GUIDELINE – SURVEY OF B-VALUES. GUIDELINE FROM THE DANISH ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY, 2/2002

1 List of All Compounds Found at Migration into Artificial Saliva

In this appendix there is a list of all the compounds found at migration into artificial saliva from two selected products.

Specimen no. 1: Rubber tree, dining table, lacquered

Specimen no. 10: Belalu, figure, inked

The compounds of the list are stated with type and B-values, classification, instructive danger symbol and R-sentence. Explanations to classifications, danger symbols and R-phrases are in Appendix H.

Appendix F

TABLE F.1 LIST OF ALL THE COMPOUNDS FOUND AT MIGRATION INTO ARTIFICIAL SALIVA

NAME	CAS NO.	COMPOUND TYPE	PRODUCT	CONCENTRATION [µG/G]	C-VALUE [MG/M ³] (REF 3)	CLASSIFICATION 2002 (REF 1)	INSTRUCTIVE DANGER SYMBOL (REF 2)	INSTRUCTIVE R- SENTENCE (REF 2)
Benzaldehyde	100-52-7	Aldehyde	Lacquered dining table	1.2		Xn;R22		
			Inked figure	0.1				
2-Butanone (MEK)	78-93-3	Ketone	Inked figure	0.4	1	F;R11 Xi;R36 R66 R67		
2-Butoxy-ethanol	111-76-2	Glycol,-ether,-ester	Lacquered dining table	171	0.04	Xn;R20/21/22 Xi;R36/38		
			Inked figure	5.5				
2-(2-Butoxyethoxy)-ethyl acetate (butyldiglycolacetate)	124-17-4	Glycol,-ether,-ester	Inked figure	1.3	0.03			R52/53
N-Butyl-benzenesulphonamide	3622-84-2	Amid	Inked figure	1.7				
Butyrolacton	96-48-0	Lakton	Inked figure	0.2	0.3			
n-Decanacid	334-48-5	Acid	Lacquered dining table	0.8				
Diethyl phtalate	84-66-2	Ester	Inked figure	0.6	0.01			
2-(2-Ethoxy)-ethanol	111-90-0	Glycol,-ether,-ester	Lacquered dining table	0.8	1 (notified 0.01)			
2-Ethyl-1-hexanol	104-76-7	Alcohol	Lacquered dining table	2.3				
			Inked figure	0.9				
Hexanacid	142-62-1	Acid	Lacquered dining table	3.1				
4-Hydroxy-3,5-dimethoxy Benzaldehyde	134-96-3	Aldehyde	Inked figure	1.7			Xn	R43
(Isovanillin - 3-Hydroxy-4-methoxybenzaldehyde) / Vanillin	621-59-0	Aldehyde	Lacquered dining table	3.0				
			Inked figure	0.7				
1-Methoxy-2-propyl acetate (2-methoxy-1-methylethylacetate)	108-65-6	Glycol,-ether,-ester	Inked figure	0.6	0.01	R10 Xi;R36		

NAME	CAS NO.	COMPOUND TYPE	PRODUCT	CONCENTRATION [µG/G]	C-VALUE [MG/M ³] (REF 3)	CLASSIFICATION 2002 (REF 1)	INSTRUCTIVE DANGER SYMBOL (REF 2)	INSTRUCTIVE R- SENTENCE (REF 2)
1-Methyl-2-pyrrolidinon (NMP)	872-50-4	Amid (cyclic)	Lacquered dining table	4.0	0.5	Xi;R36/38		
			Inked figure	4 ¹				
2-Phenoxy-ethanol (phenylglycol)	122-99-6	Glycol,-ether,-ester	Inked figure	3.1	0.1	Xn;R22 Xi;R36		
Phthalate acid anhydride	85-44-9	Acidanhydride	Lacquered dining table	52	0.001	Xn;R22 Xi;R37/38-41 R42/43		
Phthalacid monobutyl ester	131-70-4	Ester	Lacquered dining table	11.3	0.01			
Tricaprylin (glyceryl trioctanoate)	538-23-8	Ester	Lacquered dining table	3.3				
2,3,5-Trimethoxy- benzaldehyde	86-81-7	Aldehyde	Inked figure	0.6			Xn	R43
Vanillin (3-Methoxy-4- hydroxybenzaldehyde) / (isovanillin)	121-33-5		Lacquered dining table	3.0				
			Inked figure	0.7				

REFERENCES:

- 1) THE DANISH ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY (2002). BEK No. 439 OF 03/06/2002. STATUTORY ORDER ON THE LIST OF DANGEROUS SUBSTANCES
- 2) ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECT NO. 635, 2001. REPORT ON INSTRUCTIVE LIST FOR SELF CLASSIFICATION OF DANGEROUS SUBSTANCES
- 3) B-VALUE GUIDELINE – SURVEY OF B-VALUES. GUIDELINE FROM THE DANISH ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY, 2/2002

1 Evaluation of Individual Compounds

In this appendix the evaluation of the found individual compounds are listed. The compounds are divided into the following groups:

- Aldehydes
- Alcohols
- Glycols, -esters, -ethers
- Ketones
- Aliphatic hydrocarbons
- Aromatic hydrocarbons
- Terpenes

1.1 ALDEHYDES

In general: Aldehydes are volatile organic compounds characterized by their irritative properties. Aldehydes irritate skin, eyes and the upper respiratory system.

Acetaldehyde

CAS no. 75-07-0

Acute toxicity

LD₅₀ oral, rat: 1930 mg/kg. LD₁₀, inhalation, rat: 7,200 mg/m³/4h.
LC₅₀ inhalation, rat: 36,000 mg/m³/30 min
Application of acetaldehyde in hamster eyes results in eye injury, lacrimation and photophobia.

Chronic toxicity

Acetaldehyde causes genetic damage to somatic cells *in vivo*.
Increased incidence of tumours has been observed in inhalation studies on rats and hamsters exposed. In both animal species a tissue damage of the respiratory tract was seen. In rats, a dose-related increase in nasal adenocarcinomas and squamous cells carcinomas at doses of 1350 mg/m³ and greater were reported.

Human health effects

Inhalation: Vapour at a concentration of 45 mg/m³ did not induce any toxicological effects as shown in a study in volunteers whereas exposure vapours of 90 mg/m³ caused a minor eye irritation.

RD₅₀ x 0.03/40 has been calculated to 5.2 mg/m³ (VOCBASE, 1996).

Skin contact: Repeated exposure may cause dermatitis and conjunctivitis.

	<p>Eye contact: Industrial exposure to the vapours results in irritation of the eyes and mucous membranes, headache and sore throat. Vapours at a higher concentration and extended exposure may injure the corneal epithelium, causing persistent lachrymation, photophobia and body sensation.</p>
<i>Odour</i>	<p>Odour threshold value is reported to be 0.34 mg/m³ (VOCBASE, 1996).</p>
<i>LCI</i>	<p>LCI-value: 5.2 mg/m³ Justification: The LCI-value refers to sensory irritation.</p>
Acroleine	CAS no. 107-02-8
<i>Acute toxicity</i>	<p>LDLo oral, man: 10 mg/kg TCLo inhalation, man: 2.3 mg/m³ (irritation)</p>
<i>Chronic toxicity</i>	<p>No long-term studies in rats and mice were carried out. A one year study in hamsters did not show an increased tumour incidence. Acrolein is genotoxic in <i>in vitro</i> and <i>in vivo</i> test systems.</p>
<i>Human health effects</i>	<p>Inhalation: Exposure to vapour at a concentration of 2.3 mg/m³ causes lachrymation and marked eye, nose and throat irritation within 5 minutes. Severe pulmonary irritant and a higher concentration causes injury to lungs. Respiratory insufficiency may persist for at least 18 months. Delayed hypersensitivity was reported with a multiple organ involvement.</p> <p>Considered a reproductive toxicant at high doses.</p> <p>Skin contact: Corrosive.</p> <p>Eye contact: Burning sensation in the eyes at low concentration vapours. Vapours violently irritant and lachrymation at high concentrations.</p> <p>RD₅₀ x 0.03/40 has been calculated to 0.003 mg/m³ (VOCBASE, 1996).</p>
<i>Odour</i>	<p>Odour threshold value is reported to be 0.410 mg/m³ (VOCBASE, 1996).</p>
<i>LCI</i>	<p>LCI-value: 0.003 mg/m³ Justification: The LCI-value refer to sensory irritation</p>
Benzaldehyde	CAS no. 100-52-7
<i>Acute toxicity</i>	<p>LD₅₀ oral, rat: 1300 mg/kg</p>

	Moderate skin and eye irritant.
<i>Chronic toxicity</i>	No information was available.
<i>Human health effects</i>	<p>Inhalation: Workers chronically exposed to the vapours complained of headache, fatigue, itching of the throat, lachrymation, loss of sense of taste, numbness of the tongue and tremor. Symptoms usually disappeared rapidly after removal from the exposure.</p> <p>Skin contact: Moderate skin irritant and skin sensitizer. Repeated exposure may cause irritant as well as allergic contact dermatitis.</p> <p>Eye contact: Moderate eye irritation.</p> <p>RD₅₀ x 0.03/40 has been calculated to 1.2 mg/m³ (VOCBASE, 1996).</p>
<i>Odour</i>	Odour threshold value is reported to be 0.190 mg/m ³ (VOCBASE, 1996).
<i>LCI</i>	<p>LCI-value: 1.2 mg/m³</p> <p>Justification: The LCI-value refers to sensory irritation.</p>
Pentanal	CAS no. 110-62-3
<i>Acute toxicity</i>	<p>LD₅₀ oral, rat: 3200 mg/kg</p> <p>LD₅₀ dermal, rabbit: 6000 mg/kg</p> <p>LC₁₀ inhalation, rat: 14,000 mg/m³</p> <p>Moderate skin irritant and severe eye irritant in rabbits.</p>
<i>Chronic toxicity</i>	No information was available.
<i>Human health effects</i>	RD ₅₀ x 0.03/40 has been calculated to 3.1 mg/m ³ (VOCBASE, 1996).
<i>Odour</i>	Odour threshold value is reported to be 0.022 mg/m ³ (VOCBASE, 1996).
<i>LCI</i>	<p>LCI-value: 3.1 mg/m³</p> <p>Justification: The LCI-value refers to sensory irritation.</p>
Propanal	CAS no. 123-38-6
<i>Acute toxicity</i>	<p>LD₁₀ oral, rat: 800 mg/kg</p> <p>LD₁₀ dermal, rabbit: 3400 mg/kg</p> <p>LC₁₀ inhalation, rat : 464,000 mg/m³</p> <p>A skin irritant and severe eye irritant.</p>

<i>Chronic toxicity</i>	No information was available.
<i>Human health effects</i>	RD ₅₀ x 0.03/40 has been calculated to 4.3 mg/m ³ (VOCBASE, 1996).
<i>Odour</i>	Odour threshold value is reported to be 0.014 mg/m ³ (VOCBASE, 1996).
<i>LCI</i>	LCI-value: 4.3 mg/m ³ Justification: The LCI-value refers sensory irritation.
Butanal	CAS no. 123-72-8
<i>Acute toxicity</i>	LD ₅₀ oral, rat: 2940 mg/kg LD ₅₀ dermal, rabbit: 530 mg/kg Severe eye irritant and moderate to severe skin irritant in rabbits.
<i>Chronic toxicity</i>	No information was available.
<i>Human health effects</i>	RD ₅₀ x 0.03/40 has been calculated to 2.8 mg/m ³ (VOCBASE, 1996).
<i>Odour</i>	Odour threshold value is reported to be 0.028 mg/m ³ (VOCBASE, 1996).
<i>LCI</i>	LCI-value: 2.8 mg/m ³ Justification: The LCI-value refers sensory irritation.
Hexanal	CAS no. 66-25-1
<i>Acute toxicity</i>	LD ₅₀ oral, rat: 4890 mg/kg LC ₁₀ inhalation, rat: 8195 mg/m ³ /4 hr (effects not given) An irritant to skin and eyes of laboratory animals.
<i>Chronic toxicity</i>	No information was available.
<i>Human health effects</i>	RD ₅₀ x 0.03/40 has been calculated to 3.4 mg/m ³ (VOCBASE, 1996).
<i>Odour</i>	Odour threshold value is reported to be 0.058 mg/m ³ (VOCBASE, 1996).
<i>LCI</i>	LCI-value: 3.4 mg/m ³ Justification: The LCI-value refers to sensory irritation.
Nonanal	CAS no. 124-19-6
<i>Acute toxicity</i>	Severe skin irritant.
<i>Chronic toxicity</i>	No information was available.

<i>Odour</i>	Odour threshold value is reported to be 0.014 mg/m ³ (VOCBASE, 1996).
<i>LCI</i>	LCI-value: 3.1 mg/m ³ Justification: The LCI-value refers to the sensory irritation of saturated aldehydes and corresponds to the value of pentanal.

Decanal

CAS no. 112-31-2

<i>Acute toxicity</i>	LD ₅₀ oral, rat: 3730 mg/kg LD ₅₀ dermal, rabbit: 5040 mg/kg Severe skin irritant in laboratory animals.
-----------------------	--

<i>Chronic toxicity</i>	No information was available.
-------------------------	-------------------------------

<i>Odour</i>	Odour threshold value is reported to be 0.006 mg/m ³ (VOCBASE, 1996).
--------------	--

<i>LCI</i>	LCI-value: 3.1 mg/m ³ Justification: The LCI-value refers to the sensory irritation of saturated aldehydes and corresponds to the value of pentanal.
------------	--

Formaldehyde

CAS no. 50-00-0

<i>Acute toxicity</i>	LD ₅₀ oral, rat: 800 mg/kg LD ₅₀ inhalation, rat: 590 mg/m ³ TC ₁₀ inhalation, man: 300 :g/m ³ (effects on nose and CNS)
-----------------------	---

<i>Chronic toxicity</i>	Formaldehyde in a long-term inhalation study carried out in rats causes squamous metaplasia of the nasal mucosa in two-third of the exposed animals. In one-third of animals, tumours of nasal cavity, mainly squamous-cell carcinoma were seen.
-------------------------	--

<i>Human health effects</i>	Inhalation: Exposure to vapours of low concentrations causes irritation of the respiratory tract, limited to upper respiratory airways only. Vapour concentrations of approximately 2.4 mg/m ³ cause slight formication of the nose and pharynx. At a higher concentration discomfort rapidly increases and lacrimation, olfactory changes, aggression and pulmonary changes were reported.
-----------------------------	--

Asthmatic symptoms may occur due to allergic sensitivity, even at low concentrations as well as urticaria.

Skin contact: Sensitization, leading to allergic contact dermatitis is frequent.

Eye contact: Vapours at a concentration of 2.4 mg/m³ cause slight formication, at higher concentrations

burning of the eyes and severe lachrymation. Eye irritation is reported from at vapour concentrations from 0.6 to 0.06 mg/m³.

RD₅₀ x 0.03/40 has been calculated to 0.0038 mg/m³ (VOCBASE, 1996).

The World Health Organization has reassessed formaldehyde in 1996 and concluded: "The lowest concentration which has been associated with nose and throat irritation in humans after short-term exposure is 0.1 mg/m³, although some individuals can sense the presence of formaldehyde at lower concentrations. To prevent significant sensory irritation in the general population, an air quality guideline value of 0.1 mg/m³ as a 30 minute average is recommended. Since this guideline value of (0.1 mg/m³) is over one order of magnitude lower than a presumed threshold for cytotoxic damage to the nasal mucosa, this guideline value presents an exposure level at which there is negligible risk of upper respiratory tract cancer in humans." Larsen, J.C., Inst. for Toxicology, National Food Agency of Denmark, (Personal information), April 1997. Mølhav, L., Inst. of Environmental and Occupational Medicine, Aarhus University (Personal information).

The International Agency for Research on Cancer, IARC, has assessed the carcinogenic potential of formaldehyde and classified it as probably carcinogenic to humans (allocated to group 2A) on basis of certain evidence for carcinogenicity in experimental animals and limited evidence for humans. Cytotoxicity is considered to play a significant essential role in the carcinogenic effect of formaldehyde, and there is some genetic changes in the nasal mucosa of humans exposed to concentrations lower than 0.1 mg/m³. It is, therefore, probable that the concentration by lifelong exposure should be under 0.1 mg/m³ as a yearly average to take adequate account for the carcinogenic effect.

The Danish Building Code contains requirements concerning formaldehyde emission from wood-based panels to secure that the formaldehyde concentration in the indoor air at realistic conditions for use does not exceed 0.15 mg/m³. (Building Code 1995, Ch. 11.3.2).

The Statutory Order from the Ministry of Environment, No. 289 of June 22, 1983, contains requirements concerning particle boards, plywood and similar panels for use in furniture, fixture and similar. These panels are only to be used if the equilibrium concentration of formaldehyde

determined by chamber testing does not exceed the 0.15 mg/m³ air. It should be noted that the requirements are given to the panels and not to the finished furniture in total and that the test conditions (material load and air exchange rate) corresponding to requirements in the Danish Building Code and statutory order from the Ministry of the Environment are different, and thus the results cannot be compared directly.

Odour

Odour threshold value is reported to be 1.1 mg/m³ (VOCBASE, 1996).

LCI

LCI-value: 0.1 mg/m³

Justification: The LCI-value of 0.1 mg/m³ refers to the 1996 evaluation for WHO Air Quality Guidelines.

1.2 ALCOHOLS

In general: Alcohols are organic compounds characterized by rather low acute toxicity in single-dose oral toxicity experiments.

2-Methyl-1-propanol

CAS no. 78-83-1

Acute toxicity

LD₅₀ oral, rat: 2400 mg/kg

Chronic toxicity

No information was available.

Human health effects

Inhalation: Exposure to high concentration vapours caused vertigo, nausea, vomiting and headache with effects on hearing.

Skin contact: When applied for 15 minutes on hands of volunteers only slight irritation was seen. Causes defatting and dehydration on the skin.

Eye contact: Irritation, blurred vision and transient corneal vacuolization.

RD₅₀ x 0.03/40 has been calculated to 4.2 mg/m³ (VOCBASE, 1996).

Odour

Odour threshold value is reported to be 2.6 mg/m³ (VOCBASE, 1996).

LCI

LCI-value: 0.4 mg/m³

Justification: The LCI-value refers to irritation and neurotoxicity and corresponds to the C-value.

Butanol

CAS no. 71-36-3

Acute toxicity

LD₅₀ oral, mouse: 5200 mg/kg

	LD ₅₀ dermal, rabbit: 3400 mg/kg LC ₅₀ inhalation, rat: 24,000 mg/m ³
<i>Chronic toxicity</i>	<p>Inhalation study in rats for 4 months at concentrations of 0.8, 6.6 and 40 mg/m³ showed, already after 30 days, effects on the central nervous system e.g. decrease in hexobarbital sleeping time and increase in reflex activity. Furthermore, other signs of toxicity were noted, e.g. increase in thyroid activity, increase in cholinesterase levels, dilatations of vessels and pulmonary oedema.</p> <p>Inhalation study in mice of 4 months' duration at a concentration 0.8, 6.6 and 40 mg/m³ showed already after 30 days an increase in reflex activity.</p>
<i>Human health effects</i>	<p>Inhalation: The primary effects of exposure to vapours for short periods have varying degrees of irritation of the mucous membranes and central nervous system depression.</p> <p>Vapour concentration of above 75 mg/m³ produced mild irritation of nose, throat and eyes. At a vapour concentration of 150 mg/m³ effects were more pronounced and associated with headache.</p> <p>A 10-year study on workers indicated that systemic intoxication was unlikely when exposure was kept below 300 mg/m³, whereas slight headache, vertigo and drowsiness were noted. In some cases dermatitis on fingers or hands was seen.</p> <p>Skin contact: Prolonged or repeated skin contact may produce dermatitis due to defatting action.</p> <p>Eye contact: Irritation. Some people develop corneal inflammation associated with burning sensation.</p> <p>RD₅₀ x 0.03/40 has been calculated to 8.9 mg/m³ (VOCBASE, 1996).</p>
<i>Odour</i>	Odour threshold value is reported to be 0.09 mg/m ³ (VOCBASE, 1996)
<i>LCI</i>	<p>LCI-value: 0.2 mg/m³</p> <p>Justification: The LCI-value refers to irritation and corresponds to the C-value.</p>
2-ethyl-1-hexanol	CAS no. 104-76-7
<i>Acute toxicity</i>	LD ₅₀ oral, rats, mice, rabbits and guinea pigs was 2053-6400 mg/kg, 2500 mg/kg, 1180 mg/kg and 1860 mg/kg, respectively.

LC inhalation, rat >2000 ppm/6H. TC_{Lo} inhalation rats, mice was 210 mg/m³ and 270 mg/m³ causing ataxia and respiratory depression.

LD₅₀ dermal, rats, rabbits and guinea pigs: >3000 mg/kg, 1970->2600 mg/kg, and >8300 mg/kg respectively.

The substance is moderate-severe irritant to the eyes in rabbits and mild-moderate skin irritating.

LD_{Lo} oral, human: 428.6 mg/kg.

Chronic toxicity

Teratogenic effects have been seen in rats and mice. 2-ethyl-1-hexanol is not carcinogenic in rats and mice after oral administration. 2-ethyl-1-hexanol has been tested in several *in vitro* and *in vivo* test systems. Data do not indicate that 2-ethyl-1-hexanol is genotoxic.

Odour

The odour threshold value is reported to be 0,5 mg/m³ (VOCBASE, 1996).

LCI

LCI-value: 0.5 mg/m³

Justification: The LCI-value is based on the odour threshold value on 0,5 mg/m³, which is a factor 3000 lower than the LD_{Lo} human on 428.6 mg/kg converted from oral to inhalation exposure $428.6 \times 70/20 = 1500$ mg/m³

1.3 GLYCOLS, -ESTERS, -ETHERS

In general: Glycol ether acetates have the same systematic toxicological effects as their parent glycol ethers and it is reasonable to consider that their toxicity is equivalent on a molar basis.

In most studies on glycol-ethers and their acetates it has not been described in detail whether the studies have been done on special isomers or on mixtures of isomers. In this evaluation it has, however, not been possible to distinguish between effects of special isomers and mixtures of isomers.

1,2-butandiol

CAS no. 584-03-2

Acute toxicity

LD₅₀ oral, rats 16000 mg/kg and 3720 mg/kg in mice. The substance is irritant to the eyes in rabbits but not skin irritating.

Chronic toxicity

NOAEL by oral administration (6 weeks) to rats was 200 mg/kg/day. No reproductive toxicity in parental rats or offspring when exposed oral. NOAEL for parental and F1 generation was 1000 mg/kg. No data are available on carcinogenic effects. In Ames test 1,2-butandiol was not mutagenic. It did not produce chromosomal changes in Chinese hamster CHL cells.

Odour

Odour threshold value is not reported (VOCBASE, 1996).

LCI
 LCI-value: 0.7 mg/m³
 Justification: The LCI-value is based on a sub-acute study (oral) in animals, with a NOAEL on 200 mg/kg/day and a conversion from oral to inhalation exposure.

$$LCI = NOAEL \times 70 \text{ kg/SF}^I \times \text{SF}^{II} \times \text{SF}^{III} \times 20 \text{ m}^3 = 200 \text{ mg/kg} \times 70/10 \times 10 \times 10 \times 20 = 0.7 \text{ mg/m}^3$$

Butyl acetate (all isomers) CAS no. 123-86-4

Acute toxicity
 LD₅₀ oral, rats, rabbits and mice 14100, 7400 and 7100 mg/kg body weight respectively.
 LC₅₀ inhalation, rats 9480 mg/m³ (4 h). 14220 mg/m³ (12 h) resulted in eye irritation in guinea pigs.

Chronic toxicity
 Butyl acetate did not induce lesions in mice or rats given in oral doses up to 2000 mg/kg (four weeks). No gross lesions were seen in rats receiving 600 mg/kg (oral) for 90 days. No teratogenic effects were found when rabbits and rats inhaled a concentration of 7110 mg/m³.
 Negative results were reported when tested for the mutagenic potential. No studies on the carcinogenic potential have been found.

Human health effects
 The lowest concentration inducing an observable adverse effect in humans is 948 mg/m³ (throat irritation) and 1422 mg/m³ (nose and eye irritation). Observed effects on skin are fissures and degreasing. One case of contact allergy in man has been reported. RD₅₀ x 0.03/40 has been calculated to 2.7 mg/m³ (VOCBASE, 1996).

Odour
 The odour threshold value is reported to be 0.047 mg/m³ (VOCBASE, 1996).

LCI
 LCI-value: 2.7 mg/m³
 Justification: Based on an inhalation study in humans, with a LOEL for throat irritation at 948 mg/m³.

$$LCI = LOEL / \text{SF}^I \times \text{SF}^{II} \times \text{SF}^{III} = 948 \text{ mg/m}^3 / 1 \times 10 \times 10 = 9.50 \text{ mg/m}^3$$

 By using the RD₅₀-calculation the LCI is 2.7 mg/m³. Because the C-value is based on an estimated odour threshold value, it does not correspond to the LCI-value.

1-Methoxy-2-butanol CAS no. 53778-73-7

Acute toxicity
 LD₅₀ oral, rats: 3000 mg/kg

	TC _{Lo} inhalation, mammals (species unspecified): 26000 mg/m ³ /7H resulted in weight loss or decreased weight gain.
<i>Chronic toxicity</i>	No information was available.
Odour	<i>Odour threshold value is not reported (VOCBASE, 1996).</i>
LCI	Model 1: This compound is considered unknown because of lack of data Model 2: LCI-value: 1 mg/m ³ Justification: LCI is a factor 10000 lower than the LD ₅₀ on 3000 mg/kg converted from oral to inhalation exposure: 3000 mg/kg x 70/20 = 10500 mg/m ³ . The proposed LCI-value is considered to have no adverse chronic effects in humans.
2-Butoxyethanol	CAS no. 111-76-2
<i>Acute toxicity</i>	LD ₅₀ oral, rats, mice, rabbits, guinea pigs: 470-917 mg/kg, 1167 mg/kg, 300 mg/kg, 1200 mg/kg LC ₅₀ inhalation, rats, mice, guinea pigs: 2205 mg/m ³ /4H, 3430 mg/m ³ /7H, >3100 mg/m ³ /1H LD ₅₀ dermal, rabbit: 220 mg/kg LC _{Lo} inhalation, rats: 1800 mg/m ³ /7H LD _{Lo} oral, human: 143 mg/kg TD _{Lo} oral, human: 600 mg/kg (dyspnoe, coma) TC _{Lo} inhalation, human: 490 mg/m ³ /8H (irritant to the eyes and nose nausea, headache) The substance is a moderate to severe eye irritant in rabbits and mild skin irritating.
<i>Chronic toxicity</i>	An inhalation study where rats were exposed to 98, 421 or 1200 mg/m ³ for 9 weeks or to 25, 123, of 378 mg/m ³ for 13 weeks showed increased liver weight and haematologic changes consistent with intravascular hemolysis. The NOEL for the sub-chronic study was 123 mg/m ³ . 2-butoxyethanol does not cause adverse effects on the male reproductive system and effects on reproduction and development are only reported in the presence of maternal toxicity.
<i>Human health effects</i>	
Inhalation:	<i>Irritating of the eyes and nose, headache and nausea.</i>
Odour	The odour threshold value is reported to be 0.005 mg/m ³ (VOCBASE, 1996).
LCI	LCI-value: 4,9 mg/m ³ .

Justification: LCI is based on the irritating effect in humans, with a TC_{Lo} at 490 mg/m^3 . $LCI = TC_{Lo}/SF^I \times SF^{II} \times SF^{III} = 490 \text{ mg/m}^3/1 \times 10 \times 10 = 4.9 \text{ mg/m}^3$. The LCI-value for 2-butoxyethanol does not correspond to the C-value (0.04 mg/m^3).

1.4 KETONES

In general: Ketones are volatile organic compounds which can act on the central and peripheral nervous system, respiratory system, and kidney and liver function.

Ketones produce, when inhaled at lower concentrations, nausea and vomiting. They possess narcotic properties when inhaled in high concentrations. Some ketones are neurotoxic, e.g. methylethylketone. Rat experiments showed nerve changes, which were characteristic for peripheral neuropathy.

Recent reports indicate that prolonged exposure of workers to ketones may be associated with the possible development of peripheral neuropathy.

Ketones are irritating to the eyes and respiratory system. These properties are more distinct among the unsaturated ketones and in the higher members of the group.

Acetone

CAS no. 67-64-1

Acute toxicity

LD_{50} oral, rat: 9750 mg/kg
 LD_{50} oral, rabbit: 5300 mg/kg
 LC_{10} inhalation, rat: $38,000 \text{ mg/m}^3/4 \text{ hours}$
 Mild skin irritation and moderate eye irritation were shown in experimental animals.

Chronic toxicity

No mutagenic, genotoxic or carcinogenic effects were reported.

Human health effects

Inhalation: High concentrations of acetone cause severe effects on the central nervous system, e.g. dizziness, nausea, loss of coordination. Inhalation of vapours at concentrations above 28500 mg/m^3 leads to acute intoxication shown as headache, vomiting, weakness, loss of consciousness. Acetone has no known effects on the peripheral nervous system.

The critical effect of exposure to acetone is most probably irritation of the mucous membranes and eyes. Irritation of nose and throat was reported at 700 mg/m^3 , but not at 475 mg/m^3 .

Skin contact: Skin contact with acetone for 30-90 minutes results in irritation and reversible changes within epidermis.

Eye contact: Irritation.

	RD ₅₀ x 0.03/40 has been calculated to 77.5 mg/m ³ (VOCBASE, 1996).
<i>Odour</i>	Odour threshold value is reported to be 14 mg/m ³ (VOCBASE, 1996).
<i>LCI</i>	LCI-value: 0.4 mg/m ³ Justification: The LCI-value refers to irritation, systemic toxicity and consider the low odour threshold value. The LCI-value corresponds to the C-value.
2-Butanon = MEK	CAS no. 78-93-3
<i>Acute toxicity</i>	LD ₅₀ oral, rats, mice: 2737 mg/kg, 3000 mg/kg LC ₅₀ inhalation, rats, mice: 23500 mg/m ³ /8H, 32000 mg/m ³ /4H LD ₅₀ dermal, rabbit: 6480 mg/kg TC _{Lo} inhalation, mice, guinea pigs: 25000 mg/m ³ /2H, 30000 mg/m ³ /4H (conjunctive irritation, cough, general anaesthetic, emphysema) TC _{Lo} inhalation, human: 290-1000 mg/m ³ /5M (conjunctive irritation) LD _{Lo} oral, human: 714.3 mg/kg The substance is an eye irritant in rabbits and mild-moderate skin irritating.
<i>Chronic toxicity</i>	MEK is fetotoxic and teratogenic in rats at 1000-3000 ppm/7H, 6-15 day after conception. No data are available on carcinogenic effect. MEK has been tested in a number of mutagenicity test. The only evidence of mutagenicity is a study on aneuploidy in <i>Saccharomyces cerevisia</i> .
<i>Human health effects</i>	Inhalation and eye contact: MEK vapor concentrations of 350 ppm (1015 mg/m ³ /3-5M) irritate the eyes, nose, and throat of most subjects. Exposure to 580 mg/m ³ was estimated to be a "satisfactory" 8-hr exposure. Skin contact: MEK is slightly irritating. A single case of contact urticaria has been described. Chronic exposure to 880-1770 mg/m ³ resulted in dermatoses, numbness of fingers and arms, and various symptoms as headache, dizziness, gastrointestinal upset, and loss of appetite and weight. MEK potentiates the neurotoxicity of <i>n</i> -hexane and methyl <i>n</i> -butyl ketone.
<i>Odour</i>	The odour threshold value is reported to be 0,87 mg/m ³ (VOCBASE, 1996).
<i>LCI</i>	LCI-value: 2.9 mg/m ³ .

Justification: LCI is based on the irritating effect in humans, with a TC_{Lo} at 290 mg/m^3 . $LCI = TC_{Lo}/SF^I \times SF^{II} \times SF^{III} = 290 \text{ mg/m}^3/1 \times 10 \times 10 = 2.9 \text{ mg/m}^3$. The LCI-value for MEK does not correspond to the C-value (1 mg/m^3).

**3-methyl-2-butanon
=MIPK**

CAS no. 563-80-4

Acute toxicity

LD₅₀ oral, mice: 2572-3000 mg/kg
LD₅₀ dermal, rabbits: 6350 mg/kg
LC₅₀ inhalation, rats: 6377 ppm = 22479 mg/m³
LC_{Lo} inhalation, rats: 5700 ppm/4H = 20092 mg/m³

The substance is mild irritant to the eyes in rabbits and mild-moderate skin irritating.

Chronic toxicity

No information was available

Human health effects

The compound is reported to be an irritant to the eyes and respiratory system. The threshold for bronchoconstriction and for ocular irritation was within the range of 300-500 ppm (1057.5-1762.5 mg/m³), the threshold for eye irritation being the lowest. MIPK does not produce irritation or sensitization in 10% concentration (patch test).

Odour

Odour threshold value is not reported (VOCBASE, 1996).

LCI

LCI-value: 10 mg/m^3 (based on 10.6 mg/m^3).
Justification: The LCI is based on the irritating effect in humans at 1057.5 mg/m^3 . $LCI = LOEL/SF^I \times SF^{II} \times SF^{III} = 1057.5 \text{ mg/m}^3/1 \times 10 \times 10 = 10.6 \text{ mg/m}^3$.

1.5 ALIPHATIC HYDROCARBONS

3-Methylhexan

CAS no. 589-34-4

Acute toxicity

Not found in NIOSHTIC, RTECS, Shaper, or Wolkoff.

Chronic toxicity

Not found in NIOSHTIC, RTECS, Shaper, or Wolkoff.

Odour

Odour threshold value is not reported (VOCBASE, 1996).

LCI

LCI was established in analogy to n-heptane.
RTECS: TC_{Lo} in human inhalation is 1000 ppm. Behavioural changes and hallucinations were seen. (1929 study). National TLVs are in the range from 200 to 500 ppm. In rats exposed through inhalation TC_{Lo} was 4000 ppm (1987) and 420 mg/m^3 (ca. 1600 ppm; 1990).

NOEL for 3-Methylhexan (rats, inhalation) is estimated to be 1000 ppm. 1/10 for rat to human, 1/10 for susceptible groups, 1/10 for data quality results in 1.0 ppm (ca. 0.25 mg/m³)

Methylcyclohexan

CAS no. 108-87-2

Acute toxicity

Not found in NIOSHTIC, Shaper, or Wolkoff. RTECS: Rat inhalation TCLO 11g/m³ (ca. 4%), Rabbit inhalation, TCLO 10054 ppm. TLVs in the range of 200 to 500 ppm. Behavioural effects, anaesthetic.

Chronic toxicity

Only LDLo available, Gastrointestinal effects reported.

Odour

Odour threshold value is not reported (VOCBASE, 1996).

LCI

LOEL estimated to 10054 ppm. 1/10 for rat to human, 1/10 for susceptible groups, 1/10 for data quality results in LCI = 10 ppm (ca. 2.5 mg/m³). (For comparison 1/40 TLV = ca. 40 ppm ca. 10 mg/m³.)

Tridecane

CAS no. 629-50-5

Acute toxicity

Not found in NIOSHTIC, RTECS, Shaper or Wolkoff. et al

Chronic toxicity

Not found in NIOSHTIC, RTECS, Shaper or Wolkoff. et al

Odour

Odour threshold value is not reported (VOCBASE, 1996).

LCI

RTECS: LCI is established in analogy to Decane as no inhalation data are available for Nonane and Dodecane. (For Decane: RTECS Rat inhalation TCLO about 500 mg/m³ (ca. 3000 ppm). Effects: enzyme induction, behavioural effects, Tumorigenic according to RTECS. NOEL for Decane estimated to 500 mg/m³. 1/10 for rat to human, 1/10 for susceptible groups results in LCI Decane = 30 ppm (ca. 5 mg/m³). For Undecane: using, 1/10 for data quality results in LCI = 3 ppm (ca. 0.5 mg/m³). (For comparison 1/40 GV-DK = 65 ppm or 350 mg/m³. 1/40xGV = 8.8 mg/m³)

1.6 AROMATIC HYDROCARBONS

In general: The aromatics are primary skin irritants, and repeated or prolonged skin contact may cause dermatitis. Eye contact may cause lacrimation and irritation. The acute toxicity is higher for toluene than for benzene, and decreases

further with increasing chain length, except for the highly branched derivatives (C₈ to C₁₈). The alkybenzenes are CNS depressants and neurotoxics.

Toluene	CAS no. 108-88-3
<i>Acute toxicity</i>	The Danish EPA's Report 1989 gives LC50 mouse to 19950 and 236033 mg/m ³ . NOEL for rat inhalation is 300 PPM. (B-value = 0.4 mg/m ³). Neurotoxic effects.
<i>Chronic toxicity</i>	Not relevant according to the Danish EPA's report 1989 by the.
<i>Odour</i>	Odour threshold value is not reported (VOCBASE, 1996).
<i>LCI</i>	B-value accepted as LCI. LCI = 0.4 mg/m ³ .
Ethylbenzene	CAS no. 100-41-4
<i>Acute toxicity</i>	LD ₅₀ oral, rats 3.5 to 5.5 g/kg. It is a severe irritant to the eyes in rabbits and a mild skin irritant. Exposure to 668 mg/m ³ is irritating the eyes. Dermal contact causes erythema and inflammation.
<i>Chronic toxicity</i>	13.6-136 mg/kg (oral) 182 days caused no effects in rats. There were no effects (inhalation) in rabbits and guinea pigs at concentrations of 1736 to 2604 mg/m ³ . It has not been fetotoxic in rats, mice or rabbits. Reproductive studies have been inconclusive.
<i>Human health effects</i>	Inhalation of 434 mg/m ³ in humans caused irritation. The lowest published toxic concentration in humans has been reported to 434 mg/m ³ (8H) with irritation in nose and eyes. RD ₅₀ x 0.03/40 has been calculated to 8 mg/m ³ (VOCBASE, 1996).
<i>Odour</i>	The odour threshold value is reported to 2-2.6 mg/m ³ (van Gemert, 1977) and to 10.2 mg/m ³ (VOCBASE, 1996).
<i>LCI</i>	LCI-value: 4.3 mg/m ³ Justification: LCI is based on the irritation on humans with a LOEL on 434 mg/m ³ . $LCI = LOEL / SF^I \times SF^{II} \times SF^{III} = 434 \text{ mg/m}^3 / 1 \times 10 \times 10 = 4.3 \text{ mg/m}^3$. The LCI does not correspond to the C-value 0.5 mg/m ³ . The criteria for standard setting (C-value) have not been available.

1.7 TERPENES

α -Pinene

CAS no. 80-56-8

Acute toxicity

LD₅₀ oral, rats 2.3-5.1 g/kg.

LC₅₀ inhalation, rats 11700 mg/m³ (6 h). α -pinene is a skin and throat irritant. LC₁₀ inhalation, rats and guinea pigs 625 mg/m³ and 572 mg/m³ respectively.

Guinea pigs were sensitized by α -pinene in one study, but this could not be repeated by another research group. In general, allergic reactions to α -pinene are considered to be due to 3-carene present as an impurity.

Chronic toxicity

Pinene caused leukemic changes in fowl, and deviations in plasma proteins and erythroblastosis. It is not mutagenic in Ames test. By dermal exposure α -pinene was found to be a promoter (cancer), but the effect is probably caused by the skin irritation.

Human health effects

The human oral fatal dose is 180 g. Few patients have been reported specifically allergic to α -pinene. Subjects with cardiac diseases may experience increased olfactory sensitivity towards pinene. 450 mg/m³ of α -pinene caused irritation of the eyes, nose and/or throat during experimental exposure, while 225 mg/m³ caused no symptoms.

Odour

The odour threshold value is reported to be 3.9 mg/m³ (VOCBASE, 1996).

LCI

LCI-value: 0.25 mg/m³

Justification: LCI is based on an inhalation study in humans, with a NOEL on 225 mg/m³.

$LCI = NOEL / SF^I \times SF^{II} \times SF^{III} = 225 \text{ mg/m}^3 / 1 \times 10 \times 10 = 2.25 \text{ mg/m}^3$.

The LCI is based on the LCI on terpenes (general). This value differs from the C-value 0.05 mg/m³, because of using a SF^{III} on 100 and a LOEL on 50 mg/m³.

1 Explanations to Classification, Danger Symbols and R-phrases

Explanations to classification, danger Symbols and R-phrases used in Appendices C, E and F:

The beneath mentioned are from Statutory Order no. 329 of 16 May 2002, on classification, packaging, labelling, sale and storage of chemical substances and products

Health hazardous:

Tx »Very toxic«

T »Toxic«,

C »Corrosive«

Xn »Harmful«

Xi »Irritant«

Risk of fire:

E »Explosive«

Fx »Extremely flammable«

F »Flammable «

O »Oxidizing «

Environmental risk:

N »Dangerous for the environment«

Carcinogenic Substances:

For the purpose of classification, and having regard to the current state of knowledge, such substances are divided into three categories:

Carcinogenic category 1 (category Carc1):

Substances known to be carcinogenic to man.

Carcinogenic category 2 (category Carc2):

Substances, which should be regarded as if they are carcinogenic to man.

Carcinogenic category 3 (category Carc3):

Substances, which cause concern for man owing to possible carcinogenic effects but in respect of which the available information is not adequate for making a satisfactory assessment.

Mutagenic Substances

For the purpose of classification having regard to the current state of knowledge such substances are divided into three categories:

Mutagenic category 1 (category Mut1):

Substances known to be mutagenic to man.

Mutagenic category 2 (category Mut2):

Substances, which should be regarded as if they are mutagenic to man.

Mutagenic category 3 (category Mut3):

Substances, which cause concern for man owing to possible mutagenic effects.

Substances toxic to reproduction:

For the purpose of classification and having regard to the current state of knowledge, such substances are divided into three categories:

Toxic to reproduction category 1 (category Rep1):

Substances known to impair fertility in humans or cause developmental toxic effect in the progeny.

Toxic to reproduction category 2 (category Rep2):

Substances, which should be regarded as if they impair fertility in humans or to cause developmental toxic effect in the progeny.

Toxic to reproduction category 3 (category Rep3):

Substances, which cause concern for human fertility or to cause developmental toxic effect in the progeny.

Environmental Effects:

The primary objective of classifying substances dangerous for the environment is to alert the user to the hazards these substances present to ecosystems.

For the purposes of classification and having regard to the current state of knowledge the criteria are divided into two groups according to the acute and/or long-term effects of the substances in aquatic systems or their acute and/or long-term effects in non-aquatic systems.

R-phrases

R1	Explosive when dry
R2	Risk of explosion by shock, friction, fire or other source of ignition
R3	Extreme risk of explosion by shock, friction, fire or other source of ignition
R4	Form very sensitive explosive metallic compounds
R5	Heating may cause an explosion
R6	Explosive with or without contact with air
R7	May cause fire
R8	Contact with combustible material may cause fire
R9	Explosive when mixed with combustible material
R10	Flammable
R11	Highly flammable
R12	Extremely flammable
R14	Reacts violently with water
R15	Contact with water liberates extremely flammable gases
R16	Explosive when mixed with oxidizing substances
R17	Spontaneously flammable in air
R18	In use, may form flammable/explosive vapour-air mixture
R19	May form explosive peroxides
R20	Harmful by inhalation
R21	Harmful in contact with skin

R22	Harmful if swallowed
R23	Toxic by inhalation
R24	Toxic in contact with skin
R25	Toxic if swallowed
R26	Very toxic by inhalation
R27	Very toxic in contact with skin
R28	Very toxic if swallowed
R29	Contact with water liberates toxic gas
R30	Can become highly flammable in use
R31	Contact with acids liberates toxic gas
R32	Contact with acid liberates very toxic gas
R33	Danger of cumulative effects
R34	Causes burns
R35	Causes severe burns
R36	Irritating to eyes
R37	Irritating to respiratory system
R38	Irritating to skin
R39	Danger of very serious irreversible effects
R40	Limited evidence of carcinogenic effects
R41	Risk of serious damage to eyes
R42	May cause sensitization by inhalation
R43	May cause sensitization by skin contact
R44	Risk of explosion if heated under confinement
R45	May cause cancer
R46	May cause heritable genetic damage
R48	Danger of serious damage to health by prolonged exposure
R49	May cause cancer by inhalation
R50	Very toxic to aquatic organisms
R51	Toxic to aquatic organisms)
R52	Harmful to aquatic organisms
R53	May cause long-term adverse effects in the aquatic environment
R54	Toxic to flora
R55	Toxic to fauna
R56	Toxic to soil organisms
R57	Toxic to bees
R58	May cause long-term adverse effects in the environment
R59	Dangerous for the ozone layer
R60	May impair fertility
R61	May cause harm to the unborn child
R62	Possible risk of impaired fertility
R63	Possible risk of harm to the unborn child
R64	May cause harm to breastfed babies
R65	Harmful: may cause lung damage if swallowed
R66	Repeated exposure may cause skin dryness or cracking
R67	Vapours may cause drowsiness and dizziness
R68	Possible risk of irreversible effects

Combination of R-phrases

R14/15	Reacts violently with water, liberating extremely flammable gases
R15/29	Contact with water liberates toxic, extremely flammable gas
R20/21	Harmful by inhalation and in contact with skin
R20/22	Harmful by inhalation and if swallowed
R20/21/22	Harmful by inhalation, in contact with skin and if swallowed
R21/22	Harmful in contact with skin and if swallowed
R23/24	Toxic by inhalation and in contact with skin

R23/25	Toxic by inhalation and if swallowed
R23/24/25	Toxic by inhalation, in contact with skin and if swallowed
R24/25	Toxic in contact with skin and if swallowed
R26/27	Very toxic by inhalation and in contact with skin
R26/28	Very toxic by inhalation and if swallowed
R26/27/28	Very toxic by inhalation, in contact with skin and if swallowed
R27/28	Very toxic in contact with skin and if swallowed
R36/37	(Irritating to eyes and respiratory system
R36/38	Irritating to eyes and skin
R36/37/38	Irritating to eyes, respiratory system and skin
R37/38	Irritating to respiratory system and to skin
R39/23	Toxic: danger of very serious irreversible effects through inhalation
R39/24	Toxic: danger of very serious irreversible effects in contact with skin
R39/25	Toxic: danger of very serious irreversible effects if swallowed
R39/23/24	Toxic: danger of very serious irreversible effects through inhalation and in contact with skin
R39/23/25	Toxic: danger of very serious irreversible effects through inhalation and if swallowed
R39/24/25	Toxic: danger of very serious irreversible effects in contact with skin and if swallowed
R39/23/24/25	Toxic: danger of very serious irreversible effects through inhalation, in contact with skin and if swallowed
R39/26	Very toxic: danger of very serious irreversible effects through inhalation
R39/27	Very toxic: danger of very serious irreversible effects in contact with skin
R39/28	Very toxic: danger of very serious irreversible effects if swallowed
R39/26/27	Very toxic: danger of very serious irreversible effects through inhalation and in contact with skin
R39/26/28	Very toxic: danger of very serious irreversible effects through inhalation and if swallowed
R39/27/28	Very toxic: danger of very serious irreversible effects in contact with skin and if swallowed
R39/26/27/28	Very toxic: danger of very serious irreversible effects through inhalation, in contact with skin and if swallowed
R42/43	May cause sensitization by inhalation and skin contact
R48/20	Harmful: danger of serious damage to health by prolonged exposure through inhalation
R48/21	Harmful: danger of serious damage to health by prolonged exposure in contact with skin
R48/22	Harmful: danger of serious damage to health by prolonged exposure if swallowed
R48/20/21	Harmful: danger of serious damage to health by prolonged exposure through inhalation and in contact with skin
R48/20/22	Harmful: danger of serious damage to health by prolonged exposure through inhalation and if swallowed
R48/21/22	Harmful: danger of serious damage to health by prolonged exposure in contact with skin and if swallowed
R48/20/21/22	Harmful: danger of serious damage to health by prolonged exposure through inhalation, in contact with skin and if swallowed
R48/23	Toxic: danger of serious damage to health by prolonged exposure through inhalation
R48/24	Toxic: danger of serious damage to health by prolonged exposure in contact with skin)
R48/25	Toxic: danger of serious damage to health by prolonged exposure if swallowed
R48/23/24	Toxic: danger of serious damage to health by prolonged exposure

	through inhalation and in contact with skin
R48/23/25	Toxic: danger of serious damage to health by prolonged exposure through inhalation and if swallowed
R48/24/25	Toxic: danger of serious damage to health by prolonged exposure in contact with skin and if swallowed
R48/23/24/25	Toxic: danger of serious damage to health by prolonged exposure through inhalation, in contact with skin and if swallowed
R50/53	Very toxic to aquatic organisms, may cause long-term adverse effects in the aquatic environment
R51/53	Toxic to aquatic organisms, may cause long-term adverse effects in the aquatic environment)
R52/53	Harmful to aquatic organisms, may cause long-term adverse effects in the aquatic environment
R68/20	Harmful: possible risk of irreversible effects through inhalation
R68/21	Harmful: possible risk of irreversible effects in contact with skin
R68/22	Harmful: possible risk of irreversible effects if swallowed
R68/20/21	Harmful: possible risk of irreversible effects through inhalation and in contact with skin
R68/20/22	Harmful: possible risk of irreversible effects through inhalation and if swallowed
R68/21/22	Harmful: possible risk of irreversible effects in contact with skin and if swallowed
R68/20/21/22	Harmful: possible risk of irreversible effects through inhalation, in contact with skin and if swallowed

References:

- 1) Danish Environmental Protection Agency, Statutory Order no. 439 of 3 June 2002, on the list of dangerous substances
- 2) Environmental project no. 635, 2001. Report on informative list for classification of dangerous substances
- 3) B-values. Information from the Danish Environmental Protection Agency, 2/2002